

```
[ ]: import jax
import numpy

from numpy.linalg import inv
from jax import numpy as jnp
from jax import grad
```

1 Prolem №1

You will work with the following function for exercise, $f(x, y) = e^{-(\sin(x) + \cos(y))^2}$

Draw the computational graph for the function. Note, that it should contain only primitive operations - you need to do it automatically.

```
[ ]: #Function of first problem
def func_p1(x, y):
    return jnp.exp(- jnp.power((jnp.sin(x[0]) + jnp.cos(y[0])), 2))

def dfunc_p1(x, y):
    return grad(func_p1, argnums=(0, 1))(x, y)
```

```
[ ]: z=jax.xla_computation(dfunc_p1)(numpy.random.rand(1), numpy.random.rand(1))

with open("t1.txt", "w") as f:
    f.write(z.as_hlo_text())

with open("t1.dot", "w") as f:
    f.write(z.as_hlo_dot_graph())
```

2 Problem №2

Compare analytic and autograd approach for the hessian of: $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Ax + b^T x + c$

```
[ ]: from jax import jacfwd, jacrev

[ ]: A = numpy.random.rand(100, 100)
b = numpy.random.rand(100)
c = 1

def func_p2(x):
    return 0.5 * x.T @ A @ x + b @ x + c

def hessian(f):
    return jax.jacfwd(jax.grad(f))
```

```
def d2func_p2(x):
    return hessian(func_p2)(x)

hessian_auto2 = d2func_p2(numpy.random.rand(100))
hessian_anal2 = (A + A.T) / 2
```

Difference between autograde and analytical solution:

```
[ ]: numpy.linalg.norm(hessian_anal2 - hessian_auto2)
```

```
[ ]: 2.1407686e-06
```

Cringe moment for visualising it

```
[ ]: z = jax.xla_computation(d2func_p2)(numpy.random.rand(100))

with open("t2.txt", "w") as f:
    f.write(z.as_hlo_text())

with open("t2.dot", "w") as f:
    f.write(z.as_hlo_dot_graph())
```

3 Problem №3

Suppose we have the following function $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2$, select a random point $x_0 \in \mathbb{B}^{1000} = \{0x_i1|i\}$. Consider 10 steps of the gradient descent starting from the point x_0 :

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k).$$

Your goal in this problem to write the function, that takes 10 scalar values α_i and return the result of the gradient descent on function $L = f(x_{10})$. And optimize this function using gradient descent on $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{10}$. Suppose, $\alpha_0 = 1$.

$$\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_k - \beta \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha}$$

Choose any β and the number of steps your need. Describe obtained results.

```
[ ]: def func_p3(x):
    return 0.5 * x.T @ x

def dfunc_p3(x):
    return grad(func_p3)(x)
```

```
[ ]: # Do it later...
def gradient(x0, alpha0, num_steps=10):
    x = x0
    alpha = alpha0
    for i in range(0, num_steps):
        x = x - alpha * dfunc_p3(x)
```

4 Problem №4

Compare analytic and autograd approach for the gradient of: $f(X) = -\log(\det(X))$

Analytical gradient: $df = -\frac{1}{\det(X)} \cdot \det(X) \langle X^{-T}, dX \rangle$

$$df = -\langle X^{-T}, dX \rangle$$

$$\nabla f = -X^{-T}$$

```
[ ]: X = numpy.random.rand(100, 100)

func_p4 = lambda X: -jnp.log(jnp.linalg.det(X))
dfunc_p4 = lambda X: grad(func_p4)(X)

grad_auto4 = dfunc_p4(X)
grad_anal4 = -(inv(X)).T

print("Difference between analytical and autograde methods:", numpy.linalg.
      ↪norm(grad_auto4 - grad_anal4))
```

Difference between analytical and autograde methods: 0.00039553354

5 Problem №5

Compare analytic and autograd approach for the gradient and hessian of: $f(x) = x^T x x^T x$

```
[ ]: def func_p5(x):
      return jnp.dot(x.T, x) * jnp.dot(x.T, x)

def dfunc_p5(x):
    return grad(func_p5)(x)

def d2func_p5(x):
    return hessian(func_p5)(x)
```

Analytical gradient: $df = 4 \langle x, x \rangle \cdot \langle x, dx \rangle$

$$\nabla f = 4 \langle x, x \rangle \cdot x$$

Analytical hessian: $d^2 f = 4 \cdot (\langle dx_2, x \rangle \langle x, dx_1 \rangle + \langle x, dx_2 \rangle \langle x, dx_1 \rangle + \langle x, x \rangle \langle dx_2, dx_1 \rangle)$

$$d^2 f = 4 \cdot x^T (3x \cdot dx_2^T) dx_1 = 12x^T \cdot x dx_2^T \cdot dx_1$$

$hessian(f) = 12x \cdot x^T$ - it will be matrix...

```
[ ]: x = numpy.random.rand(2)
      #x = numpy.ones(2)
      grad_auto5 = grad(func_p5)(x)
      grad_anal5 = 4 * jnp.dot(x, x) * x
```

```
print("Difference between analytic and auto gradient",numpy.linalg.  
↳norm(grad_auto5 - grad_anal5))
```

Difference between analytic and auto gradient 0.0

```
[ ]: hessian_auto5 = d2func_p5(x)  
hessian_anal5 = 12 * jnp.outer(x, x.T)  
  
print("Difference between analytic and auto hessian:",numpy.linalg.  
↳norm(hessian_auto5 - hessian_anal5))  
  
#print("Hessian auto: ", hessian_auto5, hessian_auto5.shape)  
#print("Hessian anal: ", hessian_anal5, hessian_anal5.shape)
```

Difference between analytic and auto hessian: 5.4479795