#### ECE430.217 Data Structures

# Radix sort

**Byoungyoung Lee** 

https://compsec.snu.ac.kr

byoungyoung@snu.ac.kr

### **Outline**

### This topic covers radix sort:

- Bucket sort is  $\Theta(n+m)$
- Is it possible to capitalize on the linear behaviour?
- Consider sorting digits

### **Motivation: Problem of Bucket Sort**

Suppose we want to sort 10 digit numbers with repetitions

- We could use bucket sort, but this would require the use of 10<sup>10</sup> buckets
- With one byte per counter, this would require 9 GiB

This may not be very practical...

### Radix Sort: Idea

### Consider the following scheme

Given the numbers

16 31 99 59 27 90 10 26 21 60 18 57 17

If we first sort the numbers based on their last digit only, we get:

90 10 60 31 21 16 26 27 57 17 18 99 59

Now sort according to the first digit:

10 16 17 18 21 26 27 31 57 59 60 90 99

The resulting sequence of numbers is a sorted list

## **Radix Sort: Algorithm**

#### **Algorithm of Radix Sort:**

- Suppose we are sorting decimal numbers
- Create an array of 10 queues
- For each digit, starting with the least significant
  - Place the i-th number into the bin corresponding to the current digit
  - Remove all numbers from the bins in the order of bins

### **Radix Sort**

Suppose that two *n*-digit numbers are equal for the first *m* digits:

$$a = a_n a_{n-1} a_{n-2} a_{n-m+1} a_{n-m} a_1 a_0$$

$$b = a_n a_{n-1} a_{n-2} a_{n-m+1} b_{n-m} b_1 b_0$$
where  $a_{n-m} < b_{n-m}$ 

For example, 103574 < 103892 because 1 = 1, 0 = 0, 3 = 3 but 5 < 8 Then, on iteration n - m, a will be placed in a lower bin than b When they are taken out, a will precede b in the list

For all subsequent iterations, a and b will be placed in the same bin, and will therefore continue to be taken out in the same order Therefore, in the final list, a must precede b

## **Example 1: Sorting decimal numbers**

Sort the following decimal numbers:

86 198 466 709 973 981 374 766 473 342

Note that we will interpret 86 as 086

Next, create an array of 10 queues:

0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		

## Example 1: 3<sup>rd</sup> digit

Push according to the 3<sup>rd</sup> digit:

086 198 466 709 973 981 374 766 473 342

0				
1	981			
2	34 <mark>2</mark>			
3	973	473		
4	374			
5				
6	086	466	76 <mark>6</mark>	
7				
8	198			
9	709			

and dequeue: 981 342 973 473 374 086 466 766 198 709

## Example 1: 2<sup>nd</sup> digit

Enqueue according to the 2<sup>nd</sup> digit:

981 342 973 473 374 086 466 766 198 709

0	7 <b>0</b> 9			
1				
2				
3				
4	342			
5				
6	466	7 <mark>6</mark> 6		
7	973	4 <b>7</b> 3	374	
8	981	086		
9	198			

and dequeue: 709 342 466 766 973 473 374 981 086 198

## Example 1: 1<sup>st</sup> digit

Enqueue according to the 1<sup>st</sup> digit:

709 342 466 766 973 473 374 981 086 198

0	086		
1	198		
2			
3	<b>3</b> 42	<b>3</b> 74	
4	<b>4</b> 66	<b>4</b> 73	
5			
6			
7	<b>7</b> 09	<b>7</b> 66	
8			
9	973	981	

and dequeue: 086 198 342 374 466 473 709 766 973 981

The numbers are now sorted:

086 198 342 374 466 473 709 766 973 981

The next example uses the binary representation of numbers, which is even easier to follow

### **Example 2: Sorting binary numbers**

Sort the following base 2 numbers:

1111 11011 11001 10000 11010 101 11100 111 1011 10101

First, interpret each as a 5-bit number:

01111 11011 11001 10000 11010 00101 11100 00111 01011 10101

Next, create an array of two queues:

0				
1				

#### Place the numbers

01111 11011 11001 10000 11010 00101 11100 00111 01011 10101 into the queues based on the 5<sup>th</sup> bit:

0	10000	11010	11100					
1	0111 <mark>1</mark>	1101 <mark>1</mark>	1100 <mark>1</mark>	0010 <mark>1</mark>	0011 <mark>1</mark>	0101 <mark>1</mark>	1010 <mark>1</mark>	

#### Remove them in order:

10000 11010 11100 01111 11011 11001 00101 00111 01011 10101

#### Place the numbers

10000 11010 11100 01111 11011 11001 00101 00111 01011 10101 into the queues based on the 4<sup>th</sup> bit:

0	10000	11100	11001	00101	10101		
1	110 <mark>1</mark> 0	011 <mark>1</mark> 1	110 <mark>1</mark> 1	001 <mark>1</mark> 1	010 <mark>1</mark> 1		

#### Remove them in order:

10000 11100 11001 00101 10101 11010 01111 11011 00111 01011

#### Place the numbers

10000 11100 11001 00101 10101 11010 01111 11011 00111 01011 into the queues based on the 3<sup>rd</sup> bit:

0	10000	11001	11010	11011	01011		
1	11 <mark>1</mark> 00	00 <mark>1</mark> 01	10 <mark>1</mark> 01	01 <mark>1</mark> 11	00 <mark>1</mark> 11		

#### Remove them in order:

10000 11001 11010 11011 01011 11100 00101 10101 01111 00111

#### Place the numbers

10000 11001 11010 11011 01011 11100 00101 10101 01111 00111 into the queues based on the 2<sup>nd</sup> bit:

0	10000	00101	10101	00111			
1	1 <mark>1</mark> 001	1 <mark>1</mark> 010	1 <mark>1</mark> 011	0 <mark>1</mark> 011	1 <mark>1</mark> 100	0 <mark>1</mark> 111	

#### Remove them in order:

10000 00101 10101 00111 11001 11010 11011 01011 11100 01111

#### Place the numbers

10000 00101 10101 00111 11001 11010 11011 01011 11100 01111 into the queues based on the 1st bit:

0	00101	00111	<mark>0</mark> 1011	<mark>0</mark> 1111			
1	<b>1</b> 0000	<b>1</b> 0101	<b>1</b> 1001	<b>1</b> 1010	<b>1</b> 1011	<b>1</b> 1100	

#### Remove them in order:

00101 00111 01011 01111 10000 10101 11001 11010 11011 11100

The numbers are now sorted:

00101 00111 01011 01111 10000 10101 11001 11010 11011 11100

This required 5n enqueues and dequeues

- In this case, it n = 10

### Run-time analysis

Recall: Bucket sort is  $\Theta(n + m)$  where m is the number of buckets

In fact, this *m* corresponds to the maximum number

#### In radix sort,

- the number of iterations would be:
  - $\lfloor \log_{10}(m) \rfloor + 1$  digits for decimal numbers
  - $\lfloor \log_2(m) \rfloor + 1$  bits for binary numbers
- each iteration takes  $\Theta(n)$

Run time of radix sort is therefore  $\Theta(n \ln(m))$ 

- For this to be faster than previous sorting algorithms, it must be true that ln(m) < ln(n) or m << n
- Therefore, it is only truly useful if we are sorting lists of relatively small range of numbers

## Run-time analysis

The following table summarizes the run-times of bucket sort for sorting n numbers in the range 0, ..., m-1

Case	Run Time	Comments
Worst	$\Theta(n \ln(m))$	No worst case
Average	$\Theta(n \ln(m))$	
Best	$\Theta(n \ln(m))$	No best case

It requires  $\Theta(n)$  memory for the queues

## Summary

Radix sort uses bucket sort on each digit of a set of numbers

- Interesting in theory, less useful in practice
- Useful only if sorting numbers with significant duplication
- The idea is used elsewhere

### References

- [1] Donald E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 3:* Sorting and Searching, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Addison Wesley, 1998, §5.2.3, p.144-8.
- [2] Cormen, Leiserson, and Rivest, *Introduction to Algorithms*, McGraw Hill, 1990, Ch. 7, p.140-9.
- [3] Weiss, *Data Structures and Algorithm Analysis in C++*, *3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.*, Addison Wesley, §7.5, p.270-4.

### References

Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radix\_sort

- [1] Donald E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 3:* Sorting and Searching, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Addison Wesley, 1998, §5.2.3, p.144-8.
- [2] Cormen, Leiserson, and Rivest, *Introduction to Algorithms*, McGraw Hill, 1990, Ch. 7, p.140-9.
- [3] Weiss, Data Structures and Algorithm Analysis in C++, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed., Addison Wesley, §7.5, p.270-4.