

# Website Evaluation Form

## Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

*Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.*

## I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated:  
https://krhenuber.github.io/pilotwebsite/homepage.html\_\_\_\_\_
2. Author of site evaluated: \_Kaitlyn Henuber\_\_\_\_\_
3. Evaluator's first name: \_Jordan\_\_\_\_\_

## II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):  
Macbook Pro
2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)  
Safari
3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):  
Cable Modem

### III Design and Development

**Instruction:** For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
<b>DESIGN</b>					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> <b>The pages are labeled correctly and have content on there that makes sense for the website</b>	1	2	3	4	5
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> Design is really nice and it makes the overall site look nice and clean	1	2	3	4	5
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> Navigation is at the top, and you don't have to scroll far for it	1	2	3	4	5
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> The button is in the top right corner	1	2	3	4	5
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> While there is one picture I think there is potential for more media to be displayed on the website.	1	2	3	4	5
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> Loads quickly onto the page	1	2	3	4	5
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> I'll give this a one, since there is only a single photo.	1	2	3	4	5
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> Text is easy to see against the light background	1	2	3	4	5
9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> I don't think that the website is very accessible, but it could easily be made for people to learn how to write with others.	1	2	3	4	5

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
<b>CONTENT</b>					
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> None of the pages are out of place and they're put in their correct places.					
2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> The only links are internal and they all go to the right place.					
3. Links are up-to-date and functional.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> I don't think this applies when there are only internal links.					
4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Since there are only three pages so far, there is nothing buried, and it is all easy to go to and access. Once more pages are added, I think there may be some burying though.					
5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> There never seemed to be enough content to fill it out quite yet.					
6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> To me, it seems current, but I can't tell without a footnote.					
7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> It doesn't stimulate anything for me, I think that it could be made more interesting and there is nothing here to capture my interest.					

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
<b>CREDIBILITY</b>					
1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> There is no name for the author anywhere.					
2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Cannot find it.					
3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> The site states it's point, but it isn't explicit. It does seem bias free though.					
4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> There isn't much, but it looks to be all free from copyright.					
5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> I think it all looks good, except a few grammar errors.					
6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> I can't find any of that on here.					