Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1.	URL of site evaluated: https://krhenube	r.github.io/pilotwebsite/homepage.html
2.	Author of site evaluated:Kai	tlyn Henuber
3.	Evaluator's first name:	Francesca

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

- Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):
 Mac Desktop
- 2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0) Safari
- 3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):

 Mizzou's internet

III Design and Development

<u>Instruction</u>: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

DEGION	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
 Design Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. Please explain your feedback 	1	2	3	4	5		
This website is easy to navigate and the subdivisions make sense, they're just not all there.							
The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. Please explain your feedback	1	2	3	4	5		
The design is nice, but it could be cleaned up a little bit.							
 Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement. Please explain your feedback 	1	2	3	4	5		
The top navigation bar is easy to find and use, it doesn't	move.						
 Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. Please explain your feedback It is always at the top of the page. 	1	2	3	4	<mark>5</mark>		
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.Please explain your feedbackThe one picture doesn't have any purpose	1	2	3	4	5		
 Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download. Please explain your feedback It loads quickly. 		1 :	2	3	4	<mark>5</mark>	
 Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large. Please explain your feedback I don't think this applies, since there is only a single 		1 :	2	3	4	5	
Text is easy to read and contrasts with background. Please explain your feedback		1 :	2	3	4	<mark>5</mark>	
9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made. Please explain your feedback (content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.)		1	<mark>2</mark>	3	4	5	

writers rather than a place to upload.

I think there is little explanations for the site, but it could be made to be an interesting resource for

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	
CONTENT						
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	<mark>4</mark>	5	
Please explain your feedback						
The pages seem to be titled correctly, but not all of them are there or really make sense.						
Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	<mark>2</mark>	3	4	5	
Please explain your feedback Links aren't really present except for the navigation bar.						
 Links are up-to-date and functional. Please explain your feedback 	1	2	3	4	5	
Links are up to date on the website, but there isn't any way to tell if it is.						
Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep. Please explain your feedback Everything is just a click away from the home page.	1	2	3	4	5	
5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	5	
Please explain your feedback Nothing really makes me want to come back to this website.						
6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	5	
Please explain your feedback Seems fairly accurate						
7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	4	5	

<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Nothing on here is very useful to my everyday life currently.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
CREDIBILITY							
 Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials. 	1	2	3	4	5		
Please explain your feedback There isn't anything that makes me think the author made it from just the site alone.							
2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	2	3	4	5		
Please explain your feedback Can't find anything like that.							
3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	5		
Please explain your feedback Doesn't really state a point of view.							
 Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement. 	1	2	3	4	<mark>5</mark>		
Please explain your feedback Nothing is copyrighted it looks like							
 Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail. Please explain your feedback Few errors that are easy to fix. 	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>		
Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated. Please explain your feedback	1	2	3	4	5		

Can't find this information.