Discrete Mathematics Method of Proof

Adil M. Khan Professor of Computer Science Innopolis University

"Only two things are Infinite, the Universe and Human stupidity, and I'm not sure about the Former!"
-Albert Einstein-

Simply "A mathematical proof is a carefully reasoned argument to convince a sceptical listener".

Importance:

- If you have a conjecture, the only way you can safely be sure about its correctness is by presenting a valid proof.
- While trying to prove something, we may gain a great deal of understanding and knowledge, even if we fail.

More formally

· "A mathematical proof of a statement is a chain of logical deductions leading to the statement from a base set of axioms".

Theorem

Proposition

Logic

Axioms:

- Propositions that are simply accepted as true.
- For Example: "There is a straight line segment between every pair of points".

Proving theorems can be difficult

Different proof methods

Understanding these methods is a key component of learning how to read and construct mathematical proofs

Once a method is chosen, axioms, definitions, previously proved results, and rules of inference are used to complete the proof

Definitions

An integer n is **even** if, and only if, n equals twice some integer. An integer n is **odd** if, and only if, n equals twice some integer plus 1.

Symbolically, if n is an integer, then

n is even \Leftrightarrow \exists an integer *k* such that n = 2k.

n is odd \Leftrightarrow \exists an integer *k* such that n = 2k + 1.

- **☐** Proving Implications:
 - Many theorems are of the form
 - $P \Rightarrow Q$, P implies Q, or If P then Q.

- ☐ Proving Implications:
 - Many theorems are of the form
 - $P \Rightarrow Q$, P implies Q, or If P then Q.
 - If $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ and $a \neq 0$, then

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

• If n is an even integer greater than 2, then n is a sum of two primes.

☐ Proving Implications:

- Many theorems are of the form
 - $P \Rightarrow Q$, P implies Q, or If P then Q.
 - If $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ and $a \neq 0$, then

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

- If n is an even integer greater than 2, then n is a sum of two primes.
 - ☐ To prove: Method 1:
 - Write, "Assume P"
 - Show that Q logically follows

Direct Proof:

Method 1 is an example of "Direct Proof".

Lets look at some examples.

Theorem: If n is an odd integer, then its square is odd.

Theorem: If n is an odd integer, then its square is odd.

Proof: (As a direct proof, we will assume that the hypothesis of this statement is true)

Theorem: If n is an odd integer, then its square is odd.

Proof: Let's assume that n is odd

Theorem: If n is an odd integer, then its square is odd.

Proof: Let's assume that n is odd

By definition of an odd integer, n = 2k + 1, where k is some integer.

Theorem: If n is an odd integer, then its square is odd.

Proof: Let's assume that n is odd

By definition of an odd integer, n = 2k + 1, where k is some integer.

(Now we want to show that n² is odd as well. Let's do it on the white board, together)

■ Example: For all integers a and b, if a and b are positive and a divides b, then $a \le b$.

■ Example: For all integers a and b, if a and b are positive and a divides b, then $a \le b$.

Proof: Assume a and b are positive integers and a divides b.

■ Example: For all integers a and b, if a and b are positive and a divides b, then $a \le b$.

Proof: Assume a and b are positive integers and a divides b.

Then there exists an integer k such that,

$$b = a*k$$

■ Example: For all integers a and b, if a and b are positive and a divides b, then $a \le b$.

Proof: Assume a and b are positive integers and a divides b.

Then there exists an integer k such that,

$$b = a*k$$

Since both a and b are positive, it follows that

$$1 \le k$$

■ Example: For all integers a and b, if a and b are positive and a divides b, then $a \le b$.

Proof: Assume a and b are positive integers and a divides b.

Then there exists an integer k such that,

$$b = a*k$$

Since both a and b are positive, it follows that

$$1 \le k$$

Multiplying both sides by a we get

$$a \le a*k = b$$

$$a \leq b$$

Another Example: For all integers a, b, and c, if a divides b and b divides c, then a divides c.

Another Example: For all integers a, b, and c, if a divides b and b divides c, then a divides c.

Proof: Assume **a**, **b**, and **c** are integers, such that **a** divides **b**, and **b** divides **c**.

Another Example: For all integers a, b, and c, if a divides b and b divides c, then a divides c.

Proof: Assume **a**, **b**, and **c** are integers, such that **a** divides **b**, and **b** divides **c**.

Then there exist integer \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} , such that,

$$b = a*r$$
 and $c = b*s$

Another Example: For all integers a, b, and c, if a divides b and b divides c, then a divides c.

Proof: Assume **a**, **b**, and **c** are integers, such that **a** divides **b**, and **b** divides **c**.

Then there exist integer \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} , such that,

$$b = a*r$$
 and $c = b*s$

By substitution

$$c = (a*r)*s$$

Another Example: For all integers a, b, and c, if a divides b and b divides c, then a divides c.

Proof: Assume **a**, **b**, and **c** are integers, such that **a** divides **b**, and **b** divides **c**.

Then there exist integer \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} , such that,

$$b = a*r$$
 and $c = b*s$

By substitution

$$c = (a*r)*s$$

$$c = a*(r*s)$$

Another Example: For all integers a, b, and c, if a divides b and b divides c, then a divides c.

Proof: Assume **a**, **b**, and **c** are integers, such that **a** divides **b**, and **b** divides **c**.

Then there exist integer \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} , such that,

$$b = a*r$$
 and $c = b*s$

By substitution

$$c = (a*r)*s$$

$$c = a*(r*s)$$

Let k=r*s

Another Example: For all integers a, b, and c, if a divides b and b divides c, then a divides c.

Proof: Assume **a**, **b**, and **c** are integers, such that **a** divides **b**, and **b** divides **c**.

Then there exist integer \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} , such that,

$$b = a*r$$
 and $c = b*s$

By substitution

$$c = (a*r)*s$$

$$c = a*(r*s)$$

Let k=r*s, then **k** is an integer, since it is a product of integers, and therefore

$$c = a*k$$

Thus a divides c.

Direct proofs leads from premises to the conclusion of a theorem.

However, sometimes, attempts at direct proofs lead to dead ends.

For example,

Prove that: If n is an integer and 3n + 2 is odd, then n is odd.

Attempts at trying to prove this using a direct proof is not that straightforward, and so another method should be used

□ Proving Implications

Method 2: Prove the contrapositive

P implies Q Is logically equivalent to

~Q implies ~P

Therefore proving one is as good as proving the other. Proceed as follows

- Write, "We prove the contrapositive"
- Proceed as in method 1

Example:

If r is irrational, then \sqrt{r} is also irrational

Example:

If r is irrational, then \sqrt{r} is also irrational

Proof:

We prove the contrapositive: If \sqrt{r} is rational, then r is rational.

Example:

If r is irrational, then \sqrt{r} is also irrational

Proof:

We prove the contrapositive: If \sqrt{r} is rational, then r is rational.

Assume \sqrt{r} is rational. Then there exist integers m and n such that,

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{m}{n}$$

Example:

If r is irrational, then \sqrt{r} is also irrational

Proof:

We prove the contrapositive: If \sqrt{r} is rational, then r is rational.

Assume \sqrt{r} is rational. Then there exist integers m and n such that,

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{m}{n}$$

Squaring both sides

$$r = \frac{m^2}{n^2}$$

Example:

If r is irrational, then \sqrt{r} is also irrational

Proof:

We prove the contrapositive: If \sqrt{r} is rational, then r is rational.

Assume \sqrt{r} is rational. Then there exist integers m and n such that,

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{m}{n}$$

Squaring both sides

$$r = \frac{m^2}{n^2}$$

Since m^2 and n^2 are integers, so r is rational.

Proof by Division into cases.

- Breaking a proof into cases, and proving each case separately.
- · Useful for complicated proofs.

Proof by Division into cases.

- Breaking a proof into cases, and proving each case separately.
- · Useful for complicated proofs.

Example: Any two consecutive integers have opposite parity.

Proof by Division into cases.

- Breaking a proof into cases, and proving each case separately.
- · Useful for complicated proofs.
- **Example:** Any two consecutive integers have opposite parity.
- **Proof:** Assume **m** and **m+1** be the two consecutive integers. We break the proof in two cases.

Proof by Division into cases.

- Breaking a proof into cases, and proving each case separately.
- · Useful for complicated proofs.

Example: Any two consecutive integers have opposite parity.

Proof: Assume **m** and **m+1** be the two consecutive integers. We break the proof in two cases.

Case 1: (m is Even):

Proof by Division into cases.

- Breaking a proof into cases, and proving each case separately.
- · Useful for complicated proofs.

Example: Any two consecutive integers have opposite parity.

Proof: Assume **m** and **m+1** be the two consecutive integers. We break the proof in two cases.

Case 1: (m is Even): This means m=2k, for some integer k. Thus

$$m+1=2k+1$$

which is odd, by definition of odd numbers. Hence in this case one of "**m**" and "**m**+**1**" is even and the other is odd.

Proof by Division into cases.

Proof: Case 2: (m is Odd):

Proof by Division into cases.

Proof: Case 2: (m is Odd): in this case,

m = 2k + 1, for some integer k

Proof by Division into cases.

Proof: Case 2: (m is Odd): in this case,

m = 2k + 1, for some integer k

Thus

$$m + 1 = 2k + 1 + 1$$

= $2k + 2$
= $2(k+1)$

Proof by Division into cases.

Proof: Case 2: (m is Odd): in this case,

m = 2k + 1, for some integer k

Thus

$$m + 1 = 2k + 1 + 1$$

= $2k + 2$
= $2(k+1)$

But k+1 is integer, as it is a sum of two integers.

Thus **m+1** is twice some integers, hence **m+1** is even.

Hence in this case also one of "m" and "m+1" is even and the other is odd.

Proof by Division into cases.

Lets look at another example.

Theorem: "If **a** and **b** are any integers not both zero, and if **q** and **r** are any integers such that.

$$a = b*q + r$$

Then

$$gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,r)$$

(gcd= Greatest Common Divisor)

Theorem: "If **a** and **b** are any integers not both zero, and if **q** and **r** are any integers such that. a = b*q + r, Then gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,r).

Proof: The proof is divided into two cases:

- (I) $gcd(a,b) \le gcd(b,r)$
- (II) $gcd(b,r) \le gcd(a,b)$

(I) $gcd(a,b) \le gcd(b,r)$

We will first show that any common divisor of a and b is also a common divisor of b and r.

(I) $gcd(a,b) \le gcd(b,r)$

We will first show that any common divisor of a and b is also a common divisor of b and r.

Let a and b be integers not both zero, and let c be a common divisor of a and b. Then c|a and c|b and so, by definition of divisibility, a=nc and b=mc, for some integers n and m.

(I)
$$gcd(a,b) \le gcd(b,r)$$

We will first show that any common divisor of a and b is also a common divisor of b and r.

Let a and b be integers not both zero, and let c be a common divisor of a and b. Then c|a and c|b and so, by definition of divisibility, a=nc and b=mc, for some integers n and m.

Now substitute into the equation a = bq + r to obtain nc = mc(q) + r

(I)
$$gcd(a,b) \leq gcd(b,r)$$

We will first show that any common divisor of a and b is also a common divisor of b and r.

Let a and b be integers not both zero, and let c be a common divisor of a and b. Then c|a and c|b and so, by definition of divisibility, a=nc and b=mc, for some integers n and m.

Now substitute into the equation a = bq + r to obtain nc = mc(q) + r

Then

$$r = nc - (mc)q = (n-mq)c$$

(I)
$$gcd(a,b) \le gcd(b,r)$$

We will first show that any common divisor of a and b is also a common divisor of b and r.

Let a and b be integers not both zero, and let c be a common divisor of a and b. Then c|a and c|b and so, by definition of divisibility, a=nc and b=mc, for some integers n and m.

Now substitute into the equation a = bq + r to obtain nc = mc(q) + r

Then

$$r = nc - (mc)q = (n-mq)c$$

(n-mq) is an integer. Therefore c|r.

We already know that c|a and c|b. Thus every common divisor of a and b is also a common divisor of b and r.

It follows that the greatest common divisor of a and b is defined because a and b are not both zero, and it is a common divisor of b and r.

It follows that the greatest common divisor of a and b is defined because a and b are not both zero, and it is a common divisor of b and r.

but then gcd(a,b) – (being one of the common divisors of b and r) is less than or equal to the greatest common divisor of b and r,

$$gcd(a,b) \le gcd(b,r)$$

It follows that the greatest common divisor of a and b is defined because a and b are not both zero, and it is a common divisor of b and r.

but then gcd(a,b) – (being one of the common divisors of b and r) is less than or equal to the greatest common divisor of b and r,

$$gcd(a,b) \le gcd(b,r)$$

Similarly solve part (II).

Indirect Proofs:

- Proof by contrapositive is an example of indirect proof.
- Another common kind of indirect proof is "proof by contradiction".
- · "You show that if a proposition were false, then same false fact would be true."

Method:

- Write, "We use proof by contradiction"
- 2. Write, "Suppose P is false"
- Deduce something known to be false (Logical contradiction).
- Write "This is a contradiction, therefore P must by false."

Proof by Contradiction:

 \Box **Example:** $\sqrt{2}$ is Irrational.

Proof by Contradiction:

- **■ Example:** $\sqrt{2}$ is Irrational.
 - **Proof:** We use proof by contradiction. Suppose $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then there exist integers m and n with no common factors such that.

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$$

Proof by Contradiction:

- **■ Example:** $\sqrt{2}$ is Irrational.
 - **Proof:** We use proof by contradiction. Suppose $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then there exist integers m and n with no common factors such that.

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$$

Squaring both sides gives

$$2 = \frac{m^2}{n^2} \Rightarrow m^2 = 2n^2$$

Proof by Contradiction:

- **■ Example:** $\sqrt{2}$ is Irrational.
 - **Proof:** We use proof by contradiction. Suppose $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then there exist integers m and n with no common factors such that.

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$$

Squaring both sides gives

$$2 = \frac{m^2}{n^2} \Rightarrow m^2 = 2n^2$$

This means that m² is even, which implies that m is even. That is for some integer k.

$$m = 2k$$

 $m^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2n^2$

Or equivalently

$$n^2 = 2k^2$$

Proof by Contradiction:

- **■ Example:** $\sqrt{2}$ is Irrational.
 - **Proof:** We use proof by contradiction. Suppose $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then there exist integers m and n with no common factors such that.

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$$

Squaring both sides gives

$$2 = \frac{m^2}{n^2} \Rightarrow m^2 = 2n^2$$

This means that m² is even, which implies that m is even. That is for some integer k.

$$m = 2k$$

 $m^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2n^2$

Or equivalently

$$n^2 = 2k^2$$

Consequently n² is even, and so n is even.

Hence both "m" and "n" have a common factor of 2, which contradicts our supposition. Hence the supposition is false, and the theorem is true.

- ☐ Theorem: "If a straight line falling on two straight lines makes the alternate angles equal to one another, the straight lines will be parallel".
- **Proof:** Assume that $\angle 1 = \angle 2$ in the fig, Euclid has to establish that lines AB and CD were parallel— i.e. according to definition one had to prove that these lines can never meet. Adopting an indirect argument, assumed they intersected and sought a contradiction. i.e. suppose AB and CD, if extended far enough, meet at point G. then the fig EFG is long stretched-out triangle. But $\angle 2$ an exterior angle of $\triangle EFG$, equals $\angle 1$, an opposite and interior angle of this same triangle. Again, this is impossible according to exterior angle theorem. Hence we conclude that AB and CD never intersect, no matter how far they are extended. Since this is precisely Euclid's definition of these lines being parallel, the proof is complete.

D

Proving Universal Statements

- Direct Proof
- Proof by Cases
- Proof by Contrapositive
- Proof by Contradiction
- Proof by Counterexample

Proving Existential Statements

We have known that a statement in the form $\exists x \in D$ such that Q(x)

is true if, and only if, Q(x) is true for at least one $x \in D$.

One way to prove this is to find an $x \in D$ that makes Q(x) true.

called **constructive proofs of existence**.

• Prove the following: \exists an even integer n that can be written in two ways as a sum of two prime numbers.

Solution:

Let n = 10. Then 10 = 5 + 5 = 3 + 7 and 3, 5, and 7 are all prime numbers.