# GDSD / Master Team Project WS 2021 Milestone 1: Use cases, High Level Requirements and Architecture

04.Oct.2021

### **Objective:**

Based on the brief high level project description (posted on Moodle class site) the objective of Milestone 1(M1) is to:

- Develop initial high-level personas and use cases;
- From use cases develop high level functional requirements for the application,
- List high level architecture, frameworks and tools to be used (generally the same as in M0), and
- Get the teamwork going including role assignments (back end, front end leads, GitHub master)
- Learn how to write team reports (one report for the whole team, with participation of all team members)

Note that these are only <u>high-level</u> requirements and specs with the idea to get early feedback and iterate before investing in developing more detailed specs and first prototype in Milestone 2. Future designs can deviate from Milestone 1 in the spirit of iterative SW design and development.

Initial input for your work is the final project high-level description (posted on Moodle), class slides on the topics as well as your SW and tool selection for M0. For use cases and functional specs, feel free to also use your own ideas, research similar applications that already exist, talk to your friends etc. Please consult class material on Use Cases, Requirements and Specs.

This is the first **team** milestone. The whole student team submits **one** milestone document for each Milestone 1 – 5, submission details are below.

You will discuss ongoing work on Milestone 1 during team session in each class and you can also send e-mail to instructors with questions.

Expected size of this document is about 7-10 pages, using font and spacing as in this document.

#### Content and structure for Milestone 1 document for review:

In the document for Milestone 1 (M1) you <u>must have ALL</u> of the following subsections <u>in exact order as below</u> (have a separate numbered section for each) in <u>one</u> PDF file.

- 1. Executive Summary: Short description of the final product/application and its key advantages, novelty, value (up to 1 page). Make it as an executive summary think of answering the question of why we should fund this project. We suggest you assign a name to your project for easier reference and good "marketing". This summary should be readable to a general manger/executive that is not a CS specialist and is used to explain and also to advertise/promote your project. Typical outline is: one paragraph on the motivation and importance of the application you are developing, followed by a paragraph on what functions and services your application will be providing and how it helps the users (high level only, no jargon) and optionally what is unique and special in your design. At the end say in one paragraph something about your team (e.g. about your student startup team...).
- 2. <u>Personae and main Use Cases</u>: Summarize key *personas* (categories of users) for your application their <u>general</u> characteristics, goals, skills, pain points related to the application you are developing. About 1/3 of a page per persona. (Note: in personas you stay general, in use cases you say how personas will use your app (at high level)). Then provide 4-5 <u>main</u> *use cases* (one paragraphs for each use case). Focus only on main use cases. Simple text format is OK and preferable tell us a story about who and how the application is used. <u>Focus on WHAT users do, their skill level, not on HOW is the SW implemented</u>. <u>NOTE: avoid specific on HOW functions will be done and text resembling user manual: this is supposed to guide the design of the future product and is NOT a description of how the product will work (you don't know that yet). Please assign a descriptive title and number to each use case so it can be tracked. Best is to first show all personae then all use cases, do not mix them up.</u>
- 3. <u>List of main data items and entities</u> define <u>main</u> terms, types of users, data structures and "items" or "entities" at high or logical (not implementation) level (e.g. name, meaning, usage, and NOT how the data is stored in memory) so it is easier to refer to them in the document. Focus on key terms (main data elements/records used in your app, types of users and their privileges etc. <u>These terms and their names must be used consistently</u> from then on in all documents, user interface, in naming <u>SE components and database elements etc.</u> In cases where you attach behavior and <u>privileges to data items (e.g. user types) that also drives the design of the SW.</u> In later milestones you will add more implementation details for each item. You will later expand this section with more details. This will help define planning and design for the DB for example.

- 4. <u>Initial list of functional requirements</u> This refers to high level functions you plan to develop to the best of your knowledge at this point. Focus on WHAT and not HOW. Keep the user in mind. Develop these functions to be consistent with use cases and requirements above. Number each requirement with *unique numeric value* and use these numbers consistently from then on. For each functional requirement use 1-3 line description. At this stage <u>no need to prioritize</u> the requirements, collect all ideas from the team. We are looking for 20 or so requirements.
- 5.<u>List of non-functional requirements</u> (performance, expected load, security requirements, storage, availability, fault tolerance...). Note that mandatory high level non-functional specs are given in high level document, so for M1 M5 we request you simply copy them from high level document from Moodle. Please observe and adhere to these non-functional requirements in your design and development from now on you are not allowed to change them unless you get permission.
- 6. <u>Competitive analysis</u>: Find 3-4 competitive products. Present competitors' features vs. your planned ones. First, create a table with key features of competitors vs. yours planed, only very high level, 5-6 entries max (as shown in the class). After the table, you <u>must summarize</u> in one paragraph what are the planned advantages or competitive relationship of your planned product to what is already available. In the table clearly mark your product, e.g. shade its column/data.
- 7. <u>High-level system architecture and technologies used:</u> Briefly provide <u>itemized list</u> of all main SW components such as frameworks, APIs, tools and systems to be used, supported browsers and deployment platform (SW and server) to be used. This list is to be the list of approved tools and systems from M0 (which may be the list you have modified during or after M0 but get it approved). <u>Any other external code/API/tool must be approved by instructors and you have to justify it.</u>
- 8. <u>Team and roles</u>: list\_student names, mark their roles (team leader, front and back team lead and github master, document master (optional but recommended, can be team lead), team member front end, team member back end etc.).
- 9. <u>Checklist</u>: for each item below you must answer with <u>only one of the following</u>: **DONE**; or **ON TRACK** (meaning it will be done on time, and no issues perceived); or **ISSUE** (you have some problems, and then define what is the problem with 1-3 lines)
  - Team found a time slot to meet (online) outside of the class

- GitHub master chosen
- Team decided and agreed together on using the listed SW tools and deployment server
- Team ready and able to use the chosen back and front end frameworks and those who need to learn are working on learning and practicing
- Team lead ensured that all team members read the final M1 and agree/understand it before submission
- GitHub organized as discussed in class (e.g. master branch, development branch, folder for milestone documents etc.)

### **Background reading:**

- Document we posted about high-level vision of our application.
- Software Eng. Class material on requirements and specs
- Relevant existing applications and products.
- Sommerville: Software-Eng. book
- Info about allowed frameworks class notes and posted on
- M0 document and documentation on SW tools and frameworks you plan to use
- Git and GitHub tutorials

### Submission for Milestone 1 document for review – you <u>must</u> follow the instructions below PRECISELY:

Teams must collaborate in creating M1 document by having working M1 document on their team Github private repository (similar to managing code) in "Milestones" folder so all team members and instructors can access it.

We strongly suggest the following collaborative approach for creation and completion of M1 document (NOTE: creating a team document is similar to creating a code by the team of programmers):

- Team lead assigns M1 editor (often this can be done by the team lead)
- Team lead/M1 editor assign individual chapters to team members
- M1 editor collect chapters, edits/corrects then integrates them into a well formatted document (with same font and formats)
- M1 editor posts final candidate <u>full</u> document <u>on team repo</u> so that <u>all team</u> members read full document for one more review and any feedback
- M1 editor completes the final version as per feedback

- Team lead submits M1 info for review as per submission instructions.

Submission instructions (below) must be followed precisely and completely or grade penalty will be imposed

The whole student team submits <u>one</u> milestone document for M1, as follows: Team leads will send e-mail <u>with a link (NOT the attached file) pointing directly to M1</u>
Document and not the folder (<u>in PDF format</u>) <u>placed in github folder</u> called "Milestones" Prof. Todtenhoefer, with e-mail <u>subject line as specified below</u>.

- **Submission e-mail subject line:** MUST be "Master Team Project SoSe 2020 Milestone1 Team N" in the subject line (N is a team number 01, 02...).
- **e-mail body is** to contain brief courtesy text and <u>direct link to actual Milestone 1</u> <u>document in PDF stored in github Milestones folder</u>

Milestone 1 file name: MUST be

<u>Master Team Project SoSe 2020 Milestonel Team N.PDF</u> (N is your team number) (We use only PDF so I can send you feedback as yellow sticky notes).

## Submission must be done by the deadline specified, any extension has to be approved at least 24 h ahead of the deadline.

### M1 document format and structure

- Title page MUST include (nicely formatted, larger font please)
  - -"Master Team Project SoSe 2020"

Project/application title and name (you can use the name you chose for your application)

- Team number
- Names of students (team lead first) with e-mail of team lead. Please mark those who are team lead, front end, back end leads and github master
- "Milestone 1"
- Date
- History table (revisions) (Note: you will update this document based on instructors' feedback so this is important. Generally it should contain two entries: date submitted/ and date revised after instructor comments)
- The rest of the document has to contain ALL sections as described above under "Content and structure for Milestone 1 document for review"

<u>Team leads and M1 editors: make sure document is well formatted, reads well, is complete, and looks professional.</u> This will be part of your portfolio and will influence

the grade. Make sure all team members read final version and give comments before submission.

Note that you can use Google docs or similar for creating and editing the document but then we want you to post the document on githiub so all your code and documentation is there to serve as a portfolio and to allow easy access to all.

# Instructor's feedback and creating final Milestone document for Final Project delivery

In the course of developing M1 you can ask instructor questions via e-mail and during team session in the last hour of the class. Upon submission of M you will get feedback from instructors by any of the following: e-mail, markings on your document and in class during team meetings. This feedback <u>must be analyzed and taken into account</u> by your team in order to revise your M1 and this must be used subsequently for the rest of the project. Please enter the revision summary in history table.

Instructors will comment from the standpoint of CEO, VP of Marketing (who translates customer and marketing requirements) and CTO (Architecture etc.). You may choose not to agree with the comments. This is OK as long as you justify this and are prepared to live with that design and deliver it. In some cases, instructors may insist on some features or decisions.

Upon getting instructors' feedback on your questions and submitted document, you need to revise your first draft, <u>freeze it</u> (<u>meaning no more changes on this</u> document even if future design changes) and use it as a basis for developing Milestone 2 (M2). The <u>frozen</u> document M1 will be submitted as part of final project delivery in Milestone 5. <u>Do not start working on M2 before you get feedback on M1 and make sure all team members read frozen M1 document.</u>

Future M2 functions and actual SW app may differ from what you proposed in M1, that is normal and in fact expected to happen in the spirit of iterative SW development. In that case there is no need to modify frozen M1 document.

### **Evaluation and grading**

We will grade only each "frozen" milestone when it is submitted with final project at the end of the class ("Milestone 5 folder"), after it has been modified for instructors' feedback. Note that instructors' feedback is NOT graded in order to encourage interaction.

Milestones improperly submitted (e.g. not following ALL required submission riles) will first be returned, and if problems persist 10% penalty will be applied to the grading of that milestone. Only one error in submission in M1 will be "forgiven", any subsequent

problem submission in any milestone documents will be recorded with negative points as outlined under the grading rubric SE *Process grade: submissions.* 

Milestone documents have to have <u>all required sections</u> or negative points will be recorded for later grading (grading rubric: *SE Process grade: document quality*).

Milestones have to be submitted on time and in a way as specified above. In case of justifiable reasons to delay, permission has to be obtained by e-mailing to Prof. Todtenhoefer 24 h prior to the deadline. Late submissions with no permission incur 10% penalty on the grading of that milestone.