Collaboration Notes: Prof. Joost Visser

Professor - Large Scale Software & Data Science

Background Research

Key Focus Areas

1. Software Engineering for Machine Learning (SE4ML)

- Leader of SE4ML project (https://se-ml.github.io/)
- Focus: How to engineer software with ML components
- Key insight: ML brings unique challenges to traditional SE

2. Software Quality & Metrics

- Former CTO at Software Improvement Group (SIG)
- Created standardized maintainability models
- Co-authored O'Reilly book "Building Maintainable Software"
- Over 9,500 citations

3. Current Research Themes

- ML trustworthiness and robustness
- AutoML adoption in practice
- Software architecture for ML systems
- Green software and energy efficiency

Academic Leadership

- Program Manager: Master in ICT in Business and the Public Sector
- Head of LIACS Software Lab
- Former part-time professor at Radboud University

Understanding SE4ML

The Challenge

Traditional software engineering assumes deterministic behavior, but ML components are:

- **Probabilistic**: Outputs can vary
- **Data-dependent**: Behavior changes with training data
- **Opaque**: Hard to understand decisions
- **Evolving**: Models need retraining

Key Research Questions

- 1. How to test ML-based systems?
- 2. How to ensure robustness against adversarial inputs?
- 3. How to architect systems with ML components?
- 4. What practices do successful ML teams use?

His Approach

- **Empirical studies**: Survey real ML practitioners
- Best practices: Identify what works in industry
- Tool support: Develop techniques for ML quality
- Metrics: Measure ML system quality

Concrete Collaboration Ideas

Project 1: API Misuse in ML Systems

Goal: Study and prevent API misuse patterns specific to ML frameworks

Technical Approach:

1. Empirical Study:

- Analyze TensorFlow/PyTorch API misuse on GitHub
- Identify patterns unique to ML development
- Compare with traditional API misuse

2. jGuard for ML APIs:

- Annotate common ML APIs (data loading, model training)
- Guards for ML-specific constraints (tensor shapes, device placement)
- Meta-variables for different hardware contexts (CPU/GPU/TPU)

3. Metrics & Evaluation:

- Define ML-specific API quality metrics
- Measure impact on model performance
- Study developer productivity gains

Why This Matters:

- ML APIs are notoriously error-prone
- Mistakes can be subtle (wrong tensor dimensions)
- His SE4ML expertise + your API safety = novel contribution

Project 2: Trustworthy ML Through API Contracts

Goal: Ensure ML robustness via API-level enforcement

Technical Approach:

1. Robustness Contracts:

- Express adversarial robustness as API contracts
- Guards ensure inputs within expected distribution
- Consequences track model confidence

2. Architecture Patterns:

- Study his work on ML architectures
- Identify where API contracts add value
- Design patterns for robust ML systems

3. Tool Integration:

- Connect jGuard with ML testing tools
- Automated contract generation from datasets
- Integration with MLOps pipelines

Connection to His Work:

- Builds on his adversarial robustness research
- Applies his architectural insights
- Addresses trustworthy ML goals

Project 3: Green ML Through Efficient APIs

Goal: Reduce ML energy consumption via better API usage

Technical Approach:

1. Energy-Aware Guards:

- Monitor resource usage at API level
- Prevent inefficient patterns (unnecessary GPU transfers)
- Optimize batch sizes dynamically

2. Measurement Framework:

- Extend his software metrics to energy
- Profile ML API usage patterns
- Identify energy hotspots

3. Best Practices:

- Document energy-efficient API usage
- Create jGuard rules for green ML
- Industry case studies

Benefits:

- Addresses sustainability (hot topic)
- Combines his metrics expertise with your API work
- Practical impact for ML practitioners

How to Present Your Ideas

Opening:

"I've been following your SE4ML work and see how API misuse is a critical but understudied aspect of ML system quality..."

Key Points to Emphasize:

- 1. **Empirical Foundation**: Show interest in studying real-world practices
- 2. **Practical Impact**: Focus on helping ML practitioners
- 3. **Metrics & Measurement**: Align with his quantitative approach
- 4. Industry Relevance: Mention SIG background appreciation

Technical Terms to Use:

- "Technical debt": ML systems accumulate unique forms
- "Model drift": When deployed models degrade
- "Pipeline debt": Complex ML workflows
- "Architectural smells": Poor ML system design

Questions to Ask Him

- 1. "What are the most critical API-related challenges you've seen in ML systems?"
- 2. "How do you see the role of contracts in ensuring ML trustworthiness?"
- 3. "What metrics would best capture ML API quality?"
- 4. "How can we bridge the gap between SE and ML communities?"

Potential Joint Activities

Papers:

1. "An Empirical Study of API Misuse in Machine Learning Systems"

- Venue: ICSE or FSE
- Mining study + developer survey

2. "jGuard-ML: Contract-Based ML API Safety"

- Venue: ICSE-SEIP (Software Engineering in Practice)
- Tool paper with industry evaluation

3. "Green ML Through API Design: A Measurement Study"

- Venue: SANER or MSR
- Energy analysis of ML APIs

Grants:

- NWO Applied Sciences: Practical ML tools
- EU Horizon Europe: Trustworthy AI call
- Industry collaboration: Through his SIG connections

Educational:

- Guest lectures in his Master program
- Student projects on ML API analysis
- Industry workshops on ML best practices

Understanding His Network

Academic Connections:

- Arie van Deursen (TU Delft): Software engineering
- **Erik Poll** (Radboud): Security expert
- Alex Serban: His PhD student on ML practices
- Software Improvement Group: Industry network

Industry Perspective:

- Strong focus on practical applicability
- Values tools that work at scale
- Interested in tech transfer to industry

Strategic Advantages

For You:

- 1. **Industry validation**: His SIG connections
- 2. Empirical expertise: Strengthen your evaluation

- 3. ML domain: Expand jGuard to hot area
- 4. **Publication venues**: Access to top SE conferences

For Him:

1. Novel technique: jGuard adds to SE4ML toolkit

2. API perspective: Understudied in ML systems

3. **Concrete tool**: Not just another survey

4. **PhD supervision**: Joint students possible

Preparation Tips

Before Meeting:

- 1. Read his ESEM 2020 paper on ML best practices
- 2. Check SE4ML website for current projects
- 3. Prepare examples of ML API misuse
- 4. Think about scalability (his focus on "large scale")

During Meeting:

- Show empirical mindset (not just theory)
- Demonstrate industry awareness
- Be specific about ML challenges
- Mention teaching interests (he's program manager)

Key Message:

"API contracts are a missing piece in the SE4ML puzzle - they can enforce the best practices your empirical work identifies"

Recent Publications to Discuss

- 1. "Adoption and Effects of Software Engineering Best Practices in Machine Learning" (ESEM 2020)
 - Survey of ML practitioners
 - Identified gap between knowledge and practice
- 2. "Adversarial Examples on Object Recognition" (ACM Computing Surveys)
 - Comprehensive survey
 - Shows need for robustness techniques
- 3. "Adapting Software Architectures to Machine Learning Challenges" (SANER 2022)
 - Architectural patterns for ML

• Where API contracts could help

Cultural Fit

His Style:

- Empirical and practical
- Industry-focused
- Metrics-driven
- Collaborative

How to Align:

- Emphasize real-world impact
- Show measurement plans
- Discuss industry adoption
- Be open to large-scale studies

Final Strategic Points

- He bridges academia-industry gap (valuable for career)
- SE4ML is growing field (good for funding)
- His students get industry connections
- Focus on "what works" not just "what's novel"
- Software Lab provides infrastructure for experiments