## L-function and the theorem on arithmetic progressions

Kangsig Kim

March 22, 2019

**Abstract.** We define the Dirichlet L-function and use its properties to prove that there exist infinitely many prime numbers p such that  $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$  where a and m are relatively prime integers  $\geq 1$ .

Let  $(\lambda_n)$  be an increasing sequence of real numbers tending to infinity. A Dirichlet series with exponents  $(\lambda_n)$  is a series of the form

$$f(z) = \sum a_n e^{-\lambda_n z} \quad (a_n, z \in \mathbb{C})$$

These are the properties of Dirichlet series from complex analysis.

**Proposition 1.** If f converges for  $z = z_0$ , it converges for  $Re(z) > Re(z_0)$  and it is holomorphic in that domain.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $a_n$  are real  $\geq 0$ . Suppose that f converges for  $Re(z) > \rho$  and that f can be extended analytically to a function holomorphic in a neighborhood of the point  $z = \rho$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that f converges for  $Re(z) > \rho - \epsilon$ .

When  $\lambda_n = \log n$ , we get  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$ , which is a form of the zeta function and L-function. The notation s being traditional for the variable.

Recall the properties of the zeta function  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \; prime} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}$ ,

which equalities holds for Re(s) > 1.

**Proposition 3.** (a)  $\zeta(s)$  is holomorphic and nonzero for Re(s) > 1. (b)  $\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \phi(s)$ , where  $\phi(s)$  is holomorphic for Re(s) > 0. Thus  $\zeta(s)$  extends analytically for Re(s) > 0 and has a simple pole at s = 1.

Let G be a finite abelian group. A character of G is a homomorphism of G into the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{C}^*$  of complex numbers. The characters of G form a group  $Hom(G,\mathbb{C}^*)$  which we denote by  $\hat{G}$  and call the dual of G. Note that the group  $\hat{G}$  is also a finite abelian group of the same order as G. For  $\chi \in \hat{G}$  and  $x \in G$ , we have  $|\chi(x)| = 1$  because  $\chi(x)^n = \chi(x^n) = \chi(1) = 1$  where n is the order of x.

**Proposition 4.** Let n be the order of G and let  $\chi \in \hat{G}$ . Then

$$\sum_{x \in G} \chi(x) = \begin{cases} n, & if \ \chi = 1 \\ 0, & if \ \chi \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof) The first formula is obvious. To prove the second, choose  $y \in G$  such that  $\chi(y) \neq 1$ . Then  $\chi(y) \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x) = \sum_{x \in G} \chi(xy) = \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x)$ , hence  $(\chi(y) - 1) \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x) = 0$ . Since  $\chi(y) \neq 1$ , this implies  $\sum_{x \in G} \chi(x) = 0$ .

**Proposition 5.** Let  $x \in G$ . Then

$$\sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(x) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

This follows from Proposition 4 applied to the dual group  $\hat{G}$ .

Let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed integer. We let  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$  the multiplicative group of invertible elements of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  and let  $\chi$  be a character of  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ . We extend the domain of  $\chi$  to whole  $\mathbb{Z}$  by putting  $\chi(a) = 0$  if a is not prime to m

The corresponding L-function is defined by the Dirichlet series

$$L(s,\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)/n^{s}$$

**Proposition 6.** For  $\chi = 1$ , we have  $L(s,1) = F(s)\zeta(s)$  with F(s) = $\prod_{p|m} (1 - p^{-s}).$ 

In particular L(s,1) extends analytically for Re(s) > 0 and has a simple pole at s=1.

**Proposition 7.** For  $\chi \neq 1$ , the series  $L(s,\chi)$  converges absolutely in Re(s) > 1; one has

$$L(s,\chi) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}} \quad for \ Re(s) > 1$$

Proof) Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}$  converges for  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\chi(n)$  are bounded, we

see that  $L(s,\chi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\chi(n)/n^s$  converges absolutely for Re(s)>1. Since  $\chi(ab)=\chi(a)\chi(b)$  for every  $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)/n^s = \prod_{p \ prime} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(p^m)/p^{-ms} \right) = \prod_{p \ prime} \frac{1}{1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}}$$

The key point of Dirichlet's proof is to show that  $L(1,\chi) \neq 0$  for all  $\chi \neq 1$ . We continue on the next paper.

## References

[1] J.-P.Serre, A Course in Arithmetic, Springer, 1973