

Functoriality of the bar construction

Consider the category with:

1. objects: pairs (G, M) , G is a group and M is an $A[G]$ -module,
2. morphisms: $(\phi, \alpha): (G_1, M_1) \rightarrow (G_2, M_2)$ such that α is ϕ -equivariant

and the category of cochain complexes supported in non-negative degrees:

1. objects: cochain complexes in non-negative degrees,
2. morphisms: cochain maps(= A -linear maps commuting with differentials).

The bar construction

$$(G, M) \longmapsto C^\bullet(G, M)$$

is functorial.

The case of restriction

Here is a special case. Let G be a group and $H \subset G$ be any subgroup. Let M be a $A[G]$ -module. M has an H -action induced by $H \subset G$. There is a map

$$C^\bullet(G, M) \rightarrow C^\bullet(H, M)$$

given by ‘restriction’.

It is easy to check that it is a cochain map.

\Rightarrow Induces a map $H^\bullet(G, M) \rightarrow H^\bullet(H, M)$, also called ‘restriction’.

Restriction on $H^0(G, M)$

The restriction map $H^0(G, M) \rightarrow H^0(H, M)$, or

$$M^G \rightarrow M^H$$

is the natural inclusion.

Restriction on $H^1(G, A)$

Let G act trivially on A .

The restriction map $H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(H, A)$ is given by restricting a homomorphism $G \rightarrow A$ to H .

Induction

If G acts on M , then any subgroup $H \subset G$ acts on M . A construction dual to it is called induction.

Let H act on the left of M . Consider

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(M) := \{f: G \rightarrow M: f(hx) = h(f(x))\}.$$

This is equivalent to considering the right action

$$(f \cdot h)(x) = h^{-1} \cdot (f(hx))$$

of H on all functions and taking the right H -invariant part.

Induction, continued

Proposition

$\text{Ind}_H^G(M)$ is a left G -module under $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(xg^{-1})$.

Proof.

Let $f \in \text{Ind}_H^G(M)$ and $g \in G$. We want to show $g \cdot f$ is right H -invariant.

$$\begin{aligned} ((g \cdot f) \cdot h)(x) &= h^{-1}((g \cdot f)(hx)) \\ &= h^{-1}(f(hxg^{-1})) \\ &= h^{-1}(f(h(xg^{-1}))) \\ &= (f \cdot h)(xg^{-1}) \\ &= f(xg^{-1}) \\ &= (g \cdot f)(x) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow g \cdot f$ is also right H -invariant.



Shapiro's lemma

This is a fundamental tool for computation.

Lemma

Let $H \subset G$ be a subgroup and M be any $A[H]$ -module. The map

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 : \operatorname{Ind}_H^G M &\rightarrow M \\ f &\mapsto f(1) \end{aligned}$$

induces a morphism of pairs $(H, M) \rightarrow (G, \operatorname{Ind}_H^G(M))$.

Proof.

Let $h \in H$. We want to show $h \cdot e_1(f) = e_1(h \cdot f)$. The left-hand-side is $h \cdot f(1)$. The right-hand-side is $f(h^{-1})$. They are equal because $f \cdot h = f$. □

Lemma (Shapiro)

We have a natural isomorphism

$$H^\bullet(G, \operatorname{Ind}_H^G M) \simeq H^\bullet(H, M).$$

Shapiro's lemma, proof

We have a map (by abuse of notation)

$$e_1: H^\bullet(G, \operatorname{Ind}_H^G M) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(H, M).$$

induced by e_1 .

Our goal is to show that e_1 is an isomorphism on cohomology. The idea is to use two different ways to compute the cohomology of the group H .

Details of the proof to be added..

The corestriction map

Let $H \subset G$ be a subgroup of finite index. We have a map

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(M) \rightarrow M$$

given by

$$f \mapsto \sum_{g \in G/H} g(f(g^{-1}).)$$

The well-definedness follows from the H -equivariance of $f \in \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(M)$.

Corestriction, continued

Definition

Let $H \subset G$ be a subgroup of finite index. The corestriction map

$$H^\bullet(H, M) \rightarrow H^\bullet(G, M)$$

is given by the composition of

$$H^\bullet(H, M) \simeq H^\bullet(G, \operatorname{Ind}_H^G M)$$

and

$$H^\bullet(G, \operatorname{Ind}_H^G M) \rightarrow H^\bullet(G, M).$$