

## Riemann-Roch theorem

# Riemann-Roch theorem

We will evaluate the dimension of  $S_k(\Gamma)$  using the Riemann-Roch theorem. It is a general theorem for Riemann surfaces.

## Definition

Let  $X$  be a topological surface. A Riemann surface structure on  $X$  is given by a covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U \subset X\}$  by contractible open subsets together with holomorphic embeddings  $\phi_U: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the following compatibility condition:  $\phi_U^{-1} \circ \phi_V: \phi_U(U \cap V) \rightarrow \phi_V(U \cap V)$  is a holomorphic map for every  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ .

We will only deal with a surface  $X$  of finite type.

# Divisors

Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface.

## Definition

A divisor on  $X$  is a formal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of points in  $X$ . A divisor  $D$  is called effective if its coefficients are non-negative. It is convenient to write  $D \geq 0$  instead of ' $D$  is effective'.

Let  $f$  be a non-zero meromorphic function on  $X$ . For a point  $P \in X$ , let  $\text{ord}_P(f)$  be the order of vanishing of  $f$  at  $P$ ; it is negative if  $f$  has a pole at  $P$ . Define

$$\text{div}(f) = \sum_{P \in X} \text{ord}_P(f) P.$$

## Definition

A divisor is principal if it is of the form  $\text{div}(f)$ .

## Meromorphic functions

Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface. Let  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  be the field of all meromorphic functions on  $X$ .

Suppose that  $D = \sum_P n_P P$  is a divisor. Then,

$$M(D) := \{f \in \mathbb{C}(X) : \operatorname{div}(f) + D \geq 0\}$$

forms a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Here we regard  $\operatorname{ord}_P(f) = \infty$  when  $f$  is constantly zero.

Let  $m(D)$  be the dimension of  $M(D)$ . The Riemann-Roch theorem tells us how to evaluate  $m(D)$  in terms of the genus of  $X$  and the degree of  $D$ :

$$\deg\left(\sum_P n_P P\right) := \sum_P n_P.$$

Let  $g$  be the genus of  $X$ .

**Theorem (Riemann-Roch)**

*If  $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$ , then  $m(D) = \deg(D) - g + 1$ .*

The Riemann-Roch theorem tells us about  $m(D)$ , but modular forms are not quite meromorphic functions. If  $k$  is even and  $f(\tau)$  is a modular form of weight  $k$ , we have seen that

$$f(\tau)(d\tau)^{\otimes k/2}$$

is invariant. Therefore, a modular form is more like a meromorphic differential.

### Definition

A meromorphic differential  $\omega$  of degree  $n$  on a Riemann surface  $X$  is determined by the following data: a covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of contractible open subsets with local coordinates  $z_U$  on  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , together with a family of meromorphic functions

$$\omega_U \in \mathbb{C}(U)$$

such that  $\omega_U(dz_U)^{\otimes n}$  and  $\omega_V(dz_V)^{\otimes n}$  agree on  $U \cap V$ .

# Modular forms and meromorphic differentials

Let  $k \geq 2$  be an even integer. Let  $M_k^!(\Gamma)$  be the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight  $k$ . Here 'weakly' means that we allow poles at cusps. Let  $\Omega^{k/2}(\Gamma)$  be the space of meromorphic differentials of degree  $k/2$  on  $X_\Gamma$ .

## Theorem

*We have an isomorphism*

$$M_k^!(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^{k/2}(\Gamma)$$

*given by  $f \mapsto f(\tau)(d\tau)^{\otimes k/2}$ .*