

Petersson inner product

Let $k \geq 1$ be any integer, $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a congruence subgroup.
For modular forms

$$f(\tau) \in S_k(\Gamma)$$

$$g(\tau) \in M_k(\Gamma)$$

we would like to define the Petersson inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$$

by the formula

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\Gamma = \int_{Y_\Gamma} f(\tau) \overline{g(\tau)} \mathrm{Im}(\tau)^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\Gamma = \int_{Y_\Gamma} f(\tau) \overline{g(\tau)} \operatorname{Im}(\tau)^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

We need to address two issues:

- ▶ whether the integrand is well-defined on $Y_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}$.
- ▶ whether the integral over Y_Γ is convergent.

Once two issues are resolved, $\langle -, - \rangle_\Gamma$ is clearly Hermitian-symmetric and positive definite on $S_k(\Gamma)$.

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\Gamma} = \int_{Y_{\Gamma}} f(\tau) \overline{g(\tau)} \operatorname{Im}(\tau)^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

Let us check whether the integrand is Γ -invariant.

Proposition

$dx dy / y^2$ is $\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant.

Proof.

Let $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Note that

$$4 dx dy / y^2 = d\tau d\bar{\tau} \operatorname{Im}(\tau)^{-2}.$$

We have seen

$$\gamma^* d\tau = (c\tau + d)^{-2} d\tau$$

$$\gamma^* d\bar{\tau} = (c\bar{\tau} + d)^{-2} d\bar{\tau}$$

$$\operatorname{Im}(\gamma\tau) = \operatorname{Im}(\tau) |c\tau + d|^{-2}$$

from which the proposition follows. □

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\Gamma} = \int_{Y_{\Gamma}} f(\tau) \overline{g(\tau)} \operatorname{Im}(\tau)^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

Let us handle the convergence issue. Recall that the standard fundamental domain D of $\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{H}$ satisfies

$$\overline{D} = \left\{ \tau \in \mathfrak{H} : |\tau| > 1, -\frac{1}{2} \leq \operatorname{Re}(\tau) \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Using this, a fundamental domain for Γ can be taken so that its closure is the union of $\gamma \overline{D}$'s where γ 's are taken from a set of representatives for $\Gamma \backslash \operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

It suffices to check that

$$\int_{\gamma \overline{D}} f(\tau) \overline{g(\tau)} \operatorname{Im}(\tau)^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

is convergent for any $\gamma \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

The convergence of

$$\int_{\gamma\overline{D}} f(\tau)\overline{g(\tau)}\mathrm{Im}(\tau)^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

is equivalent to that of

$$\int_{\overline{D}} (f|_k\gamma)(\tau)\overline{(g|_k\gamma)(\tau)}\mathrm{Im}(\gamma\tau)^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.$$

The limit

$$\lim_{t\rightarrow\infty} \int_{x+iy\in\overline{D}, y<t} (f|_k\gamma)(\tau)\overline{(g|_k\gamma)(\tau)}\mathrm{Im}(\gamma\tau)^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.$$

is convergent since

$$(f|_k\gamma)(\tau) = O(e^{-Cy})$$

as $y \rightarrow \infty$ for some positive C , while other terms have at most polynomial growth as $y \rightarrow \infty$.

Here is the conclusion.

Theorem

Let $k \geq 1$ be any integer and Γ be a congruence subgroup. The Petersson inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$ can be defined on $S_k(\Gamma) \times M_k(\Gamma)$ and induces a Hermitian-symmetric and positive definite form on $S_k(\Gamma)$.

Towards injectivity

We would like to use Petersson inner product to show the injectivity of

$$\text{ES}: S_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow H_{\text{cusp}}^1(\Gamma, L_{k-2}(\mathbb{R})).$$

For this, we need the counterpart of $\langle -, - \rangle$ on $H_{\text{cusp}}^1(\Gamma, L_{k-2}(\mathbb{R}))$. It arises from a $(-1)^k$ -symmetric pairing on $L_{k-2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Recall that $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ is the standard representation on $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$; action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ on the vector space V of column vectors of size two. Then, $L_{k-2}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Sym}^{k-2} V$.

An important fact we use here is $V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^*$ as $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representations. The isomorphism is given by the determinant, which is skew-symmetric.

From the skew-symmetric pairing on V and the identification $L_{k-2}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Sym}^{k-2}V$, we obtain a $(-1)^k$ -symmetric pairing on $L_{k-2}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $k \geq 2$.

As a result, we get a pairing on

$$H_{\text{cusp}}^1(\Gamma, L_{k-2}(\mathbb{R})) \times H^1(\Gamma, L_{k-2}(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

via the cup product and $H^2(X_{\Gamma}^{\text{BS}}, \partial X_{\Gamma}^{\text{BS}}; \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0(X_{\Gamma}^{\text{BS}}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$.

In terms of cocycles, the cup product is given by

$$(\phi \cup \psi(g, h)) = -\phi(g) \otimes g\psi(h).$$

Let us denote by $(-, -)$ the induced pairing on $H_{\text{cusp}}^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$.

$$\text{ES}: S_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow H_{\text{cusp}}^1(\Gamma, L_{k-2}(\mathbb{R}))$$

Note that the source of ES is a vector space over \mathbb{C} , while its target is over \mathbb{R} .

Theorem

We have

$$(\text{ES}(f), \text{ES}(g)) = -(2i)^{k-3} \left(\langle f, g \rangle + (-1)^{k+1} \langle g, f \rangle \right)$$

$$(\text{ES}(f), \text{ES}(i^{k+1}g)) = 2^{k-2} \text{Re}(\langle f, g \rangle)$$

$$(\text{ES}(f), \text{ES}(i^{k+2}g)) = -2^{k-2} \text{Im}(\langle f, g \rangle)$$

for $f, g \in S_k(\Gamma)$

Sketch of proof.

Based on the explicit calculation of the pairing on $L_{k-2}(\mathbb{R})$. A useful observation is $\langle (X - \tau Y)^{k-2}, (X - \bar{\tau} Y)^{k-2} \rangle = (\bar{\tau} - \tau)^{k-2}$. □

Let us use

$$(\mathrm{ES}(f), \mathrm{ES}(i^{k+1}g)) = 2^{k-2} \mathrm{Re}(\langle f, g \rangle)$$

$$(\mathrm{ES}(f), \mathrm{ES}(i^{k+2}g)) = -2^{k-2} i \mathrm{Im}(\langle f, g \rangle)$$

to establish the injectivity of ES.

If $\mathrm{ES}(f) = 0$, then, $\mathrm{Re}(\langle f, g \rangle) = \mathrm{Im}(\langle f, g \rangle) = 0$. By the non-degeneracy of the Petersson inner product, we conclude that $\mathrm{ES}(f) = 0$.

Variants

Let $S_k(\Gamma)^c$ be the space of anti-holomorphic cusp forms. We have

$$S_k(\Gamma)^c = \{\overline{f(\tau)} : f \in S_k(\Gamma)\}$$

so $S_k(\Gamma)^c \cong S_k(\Gamma)$.

Theorem

We have an isomorphism $S_k(\Gamma) \oplus S_k(\Gamma)^c \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{cusp}}^1(\Gamma, L_{k-2}(\mathbb{C}))$.

Theorem

We have an isomorphism $S_k(\Gamma) \oplus S_k(\Gamma)^c \oplus M_k(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\Gamma, L_{k-2}(\mathbb{C}))$.

This is reminiscent of Hodge decomposition. If $k = 2$, it is the Hodge decomposition for the de Rham cohomology of Y_Γ . For $k > 2$, one considers the sheaf of differential forms with values on $L_{k-2}(\mathbb{C})$ and apply the Hodge theory.