**Tick-tock, racing the clock: Parasitism is associated with decreased sprint performance in the Eastern Fence Lizard**
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**Abstract:**

Host-parasite relationships are important components of ecological systems that influence the evolution of both hosts and parasites. High levels of ectoparasitic infections can disrupt host homeostasis, causing adverse effects on host health and performance. However, the effects of natural ectoparasitic levels on host physiology are less understood, with most studies focusing on experimental or hormonal manipulations of hosts. In this study, we investigated the influence of natural levels of tick infection on host characteristics (sex, body size) of Eastern fence lizards and assessed how body condition and locomotor performance were affected. We found a higher prevalence of tick infections in male lizards relative to females, with larger males being more likely to experience tick infection. Infected lizards appear to exhibit an energetic trade-off between increased immune function and reduced locomotor performance, which is consistent with the immunocompetence-handicap hypothesis (ICHH). Higher prevalence of tick infections in adult male lizards may be explained by age as well as the immunosuppressive effects of testosterone. However, tick infection did not appear to reduce overall body condition of lizard hosts. Our findings shed light on the interplay between ectoparasitic infection, host characteristics, and locomotor performance under natural conditions. Such insights are crucial for understanding host-parasite dynamics and determining the trade-offs for hosts within ecological contexts.

# 1| Introduction:

Host-parasite relationships are a fundamental aspect of ecological systems and are shaped by the co-evolutionary trajectories of both hosts and parasites 1,2. Parasites exploit resources from their host and have the potential to disrupt behaviour and physiological function, which can ultimately compromise health, influencing survival and reproduction3–6.

A complex interplay of factors determine ectoparasite (tick, mites, fleas, or lice) prevalence, including host species, sex, age, health, and habitat. Host sex can influence parasite load, as hormonal variations may affect immune responses and susceptibility to infection7,8. Developmental processes can dictate host vulnerability across different life stages. For example, in organisms with longer lifespans, elements of the adaptive (acquired) immune system become more robust over time9 with increasing exposure to pathogens, thus decreasing susceptibility to parasites10. Body condition, reflecting the host's overall health and nutritional status, can also be negatively impacted by parasitic infections as hosts use energy resources to fight infection rather than for other critical functions11,12.

As a result of competing energetic demands, host-parasite relationships often involve functional trade-offs1. For instance, a host's investment in growth or reproduction can be reduced when parasitized because of compromised immune function and increased susceptibility to parasites13,14. These trade-offs are central to the Immunocompetence-Handicap Hypothesis (ICHH), which postulates that the expression of sexually selected traits, driven by hormones, can negatively impact an organism's immune function, thereby increasing vulnerability to parasitism15,16. In reptilian hosts, meta-analytic studies and experimental manipulations have supported the ICHH, where testosterone reduces immunocompetence and increases the incidence or severity of parasitism13,17. In lizards, locomotor performance is a sexually selected trait18 that is strongly influenced by testosterone levels19,20. Therefore, it's likely that enhanced locomotor performance, driven in part by testosterone, may be accompanied by increased susceptibility to parasites such as ticks, resulting in a dynamic balance between sexual selection, performance, and survival.

Most studies investigating the influence of tick parasitism on health and performance have been from experimental manipulation of tick prevalence on hosts21,22 or through hormonal manipulations12,23,24. Under natural conditions, there is limited information on how the host-parasite relationship varies with factors such as sex and age, and whether infection influences host physiological traits. Here, we investigate how tick infection varies across sex and body size, and test whether locomotor performance or body condition is affected by parasitism in Eastern Fence Lizards (*Sceloporus undulatus*). This species has pronounced sex differences in hormonal profiles, including corticosterone and testosterone24,25, and hormonal manipulations in wild males (exogenous testosterone-implants) have been shown to increase rates of tick infection26. This study aims to investigate the interplay between tick parasitism, host characteristics, and locomotor performance in *S. undulatus* under natural conditions. These data will provide the associated trade-offs that hosts incur while parasitized naturally, and ultimately, offer valuable insights into the ecological interplay of host-parasite relationships.

**2| Methods**

Field research was conducted at Land Between the Lakes National Recreation Area in Kentucky (United States), where *Dermacentor variabilis (*American Dog Tick)and *Amblyomma americanum* (Lone Star Tick) are common ectoparasites of *S. undulatus*.During the Spring and Summer of 2014 and 2015, adult *S. undulatus* were captured by hand or by noosing. Morphological characteristics, including the enlarged base of the tail, femoral pores, and ventral colouration, were used to determine sex. Snout-to-vent length (SVL), body mass, and hindlimb length were measured upon capture. Hindlimb length was defined as the greatest distance on the outstretched leg from the distal tip of the fourth toe to the point of insertion in the body wall. Lizards were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm for length and 0.25 g for mass. Capture locations were recorded with a handheld GPS (Garmin Fēnix® GPS). The number of ticks infecting each captured lizard was recorded in the field before each animal was placed in a cloth bag and transported to Hancock Biological Station (Murray, KY), where the ticks were recounted again before laboratory locomotor performance trials.

All locomotor performance trials were conducted within 24h of capture. Each lizard was placed individually into copper containers (repurposed autoclave pipette boxes; 4cm x 6cm x 25cm) and placed inside a lighted incubator (Percival I30-BLL) for 30 min. The incubator was maintained at 33°C (±1.0), the preferred temperature for *S. undulatus*27. After 30min, each lizard was placed on a race track (2.4 x 0.2m) and encouraged to run by prodding with a soft-bristle paintbrush. Astroturf covered the race track floor, which was marked into 25cm segments. Each trial was recorded at a rate of 35 frames s-1 with a camera mounted 3m above the centre of the race track. Lizards were raced three times, with trials separated by at least 30min for recovery. The quality of each sprinting trial was classified as “poor” or “good”28. A poor trial was defined as a pause or reversal run by a lizard, and a good trial was defined as a continuous run by the lizard. A minimum of two good trials were required for an individual to be included in the analyses. Maximum sprint speed was defined as the single fastest 25cm interval of the trials, and maximum 2-meter run speed was the single fastest continuous 2-meter run of the trials. Videos were analysed using Tracker Video Software (version 4.85; <https://physlets>.org/tracker/). Further details on video data collection can be found in Wild & Gienger29. Lizards were then marked with a unique toe clip and released back at their location of capture within 24h of initial capture.

All statistical analyses were conducted using the R environment, ver. 4.2.0 ([www.r.-project.org](http://www.r.-project.org)), and significance was accepted at an α level of 0.05. For each sex, logistic regression was used to test if body size (SVL) predicted the number of ticks found on an individual. Chi-square with Yates’ correction was used to assess the independence of the proportion of ticks observed between males and females. Body condition index (BCI) was calculated from the residuals of an ordinary least squares linear regression of mass (g) on length (SVL)30, and an Analysis of Variance was used to compare BCI measurements between uninfected lizards and infected lizards (1 ≥ ticks). An Analysis of Covariance was used to compare individual performance measurements (maximum sprint speed and 2-meter run) between lizards infected (1 ≥ ticks) and lizards uninfected with ticks. Hindlimb length was used as a covariate to remove the allometric effects of body size on performance29.

**3| Results**

A total of 92 lizards were captured (females n = 38; males n = 54) during the 2014 and 2015 field seasons. There was a positive relationship between male body size, and the probability of tick infection (F = 0.103, p = 0.045), where larger males had a higher probability of tick infection than smaller males (Fig. 1A). For females, there was no relationship between body size and the probability of tick infection (F = -0.008, p = 0.928; Fig. 1B). The probability of tick infection was highly sex-specific, with the frequency of tick infection being more than two times higher in males (n = 20; 37%) than in females (n = 5; 13%). Females were therefore excluded from further analysis because of the significant difference in tick infection between sexes (x = 9; df = 1; n = 92; p = 0.003) and because of the low infection frequency of females. The infection rate for males ranged from one to seven ticks per individual. Maximum sprint speed was higher in uninfected lizards (LS mean = 2.741m/sec) in comparison to infected lizards (LS mean = 2.48m/sec; F = 16.12; p = 0.016; Fig. 2a). Maximum 2-meter run speed was higher in uninfected lizards (LS mean = 1.942m/sec) than in infected lizards (LS mean = 1.613m/sec; F = 15.01; p = 0.003; Fig. 2b). There were no differences in body condition indices between uninfected and infected lizards (F = 0.025; p = 0.875).

**4|Discussion**

Our study demonstrates that lizards infected with ticks had lower locomotor performance than noninfected lizards, and that tick prevalence differed between sexes and with increasing body size. Specifically, there was a negative relationship between parasite prevalence and two estimates of locomotor performance (maximum sprint speed & 2-meter run speed) for male *Sceloporus undulatus.* Our results align with the Immunocompetence Handicap Hypothesis (ICHH) by demonstrating a higher prevalence of tick infections in male lizards relative to females, potentially due to the immunosuppressive effects of testosterone13,17. However, we demonstrate a functional trade-off in parasitized hosts, which may be a product of immune function differences between sexes and across age classes.

Male bias in parasite prevalence, mediated by sex differences in hormone levels, has been documented in other lizards31–33. The sex-specific differences in endocrine systems and behaviours25,26,34, could provide a mechanism for the observed sex differences in tick prevalence. For example, male *S. undulatus* have higher testosterone levels25, move considerably more often, move over longer distances 5,35, and have larger home ranges than females34. Consequently, high testosterone and increased activity could increase exposure to parasites seeking hosts35.

Differences in endocrine systems between juvenile and adult lizards play a significant role in variation in traits throughout ontogeny23,24,36, and not surprisingly, adults have higher testosterone than juveniles25. Studies using exogenous implants have shown positive effects of testosterone on male fitness by enhancing endurance, stimulating reproductive activity, expanding home-range areas to include more females, and ultimately giving higher reproductive success24. However, high testosterone also imposes fitness costs by lowering resistance to parasitism, inhibiting growth, and reducing survival rates 24,26,37. Evidence across other taxa - birds, fishes, mammals, and insects - supports that immunocompetent males generally have higher success in mating and offspring production than immunocompromised males8. Together our data indicate that trade-offs exist in male performance, where the effects of high testosterone levels potentially lead to increased sprint speed but also increased susceptibility to parasitic infection.

Indeed, the impact of ticks on whole-animal performance is an underexplored area in ecological studies (*but see*21). We have shown that, even in small numbers, ticks may alter physiological function, resulting in lower performance. Parasitized lizards in this study ranged from one to seven ticks, with an average of three ticks on each infected lizard. A female tick (*Amblyomma spp.*) takes about 7 to 12 days to become fully engorged, extracting an average of 11mg of blood38. If blood makes up about 5-8% of a lizard's body mass39, then an average-sized lizard in our study (9.5g) could potentially lose 1-2% of blood for each engorged tick. This blood loss can have significant physiological consequences, including anemia, where a reduction of oxygen-carrying capacity could explain the lower levels of locomotor performance40. In an experimental study, Main and Bull 21 allowed ticks to attach and engorge on lizard hosts (Sleepy Lizards, *Tiliqua rugosa*), and lizards with ticks had a significant reduction in sprint and endurance performance than lizards with no ticks. Our results similarly reflect those findings, however, *Tiliqua rugosa* are large-bodied lizards (~650g) with relatively few predators as adults41 and rarely require sprinting to escape predators. In contrast, adult *S. undulatus* are considerably smaller and are frequently preyed upon by fast-moving thermophilic snakes and birds42. Thus, smaller lizard species that experience high tick loads may be at higher risk of predation due to a reduction in locomotor performance.

Contrary to our findings, other studies have shown that ectoparasite infestation negatively affects or is associated with low body condition in reptiles12,43,44. It appears that ticks do not selectively infect or influence host energetic status, as evident by the lack of differences in body condition between uninfected and infected lizards. This aligns with previous findings, which suggested that ticks do not preferentially feed on healthier lizard hosts, but rather the health of their hosts affects the speed at which ticks could feed22. Our data show that other factors, such as the sex and size of lizard hosts, may play a more significant role than relative condition in tick infection rates. Although tick infection appears to affect sprint speed, it did not appear to be a factor in the overall health of the host, indicating a potential trade-off between physical performance and susceptibility to parasitism.
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Figure 1. Relationship between body size (SVL) and probability of tick infection for male (A) and female (B) Eastern Fence Lizards. The line represents the probability function from logistic regression. Raw data points are shown with circles that distinguish if lizards were infected by ticks (yellow) or lizards that were not (grey).



Figure 2. ANCOVA results of maximum sprint speed (a) and two-meter run speed (b) of male lizards. Hindlimb length (mm) was used as a covariate to remove the effect of body size on performance. The presence of ticks (yellow) significantly reduced maximum sprint speed (p < 0.01) and two-meter run speed (p = 0.02) in comparison to lizards with no ticks (grey).
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