

Are we more civilized today?

Kristoffer Segerstrøm

created 12 March 2024

```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = TRUE,  
  message=FALSE)
```

Explore Homicide rates in NW Europe

In this exercise, you will load a Manuel Eisner's dataset to capture the developments in homicides from Middle Ages to 20th century.

Your task: Explore the data and create the visualisations as instructed in the script.

- **Submit to Github this script with solutions and its knitted version.**
- **Submit to Brightspace a document with the (1) URL of this script and its knitted version in Github (2) answer of 250 words to the Final Question and (3) the Final Plot.**

This exercise is based on the dataset provided by OurWorldInData project based at the Oxford University.

The long-term trend in Homicides in Western Europe

Understanding how homicide rates have changed prior to the modern era requires the help of historians and archivists. Manuel Eisner, a criminology professor at the University of Cambridge, and his colleagues published the Historical Violence Database : a compilation of data on long-term trends in homicide rates, in addition to qualitative information such as the cause of death, perpetrator and victim. This database is limited to countries with relatively complete historical records on violence and crime – mainly Western Europe and the US.

Starting in the second half of the nineteenth century, these European regions have consistent police records of those accused of murder or manslaughter and annual counts of homicide victims. To go back further in time, reaching as far back as the thirteenth century, Eisner collected estimates (from historical records of coroner reports, court trials, and the police) of homicide rates made in over ninety publications by scholars.

Homicide rates – measured as the number of homicides per 100,000 individuals – up to 1990 are sourced from Eisner's (2003) publication and the Historical Violence Database.

Are homicide rates in Europe today lower or higher than in the past? Using the provided dataset, display and describe the long-run homicide rates for the five European regions: Italy, England, Germany, Netherlands and Scandinavia.

```
library(tidyverse)
```

Load the available data from ourworldindata.org

You should always interrogate the source of your data. Who compiled it, from where, what is missing, how representative the data are? Check the data/Metadata.txt to learn about the data provenance.

```
Western_Europe <- read_csv("data/homicide-rates-across-western-europe.csv")
```

Inspect the data

How clean and analysis-ready is the dataset? Do you understand what the column names represent? What is the difference between rate and homicide number?

The dataset is somewhat clean but requires some adjustments. The “Code” column contains NA values and may be unnecessary. “Entity” likely represents country names, while “Year” and “homicides_per_100k” are well-structured. The dataset is analysis-ready after removing or addressing NA values and ensuring numerical formats for Year and homicides_per_100k. The key difference between rate and homicide number is that the rate normalizes homicides per 100,000 people, allowing comparisons between countries with different population sizes, whereas the homicide number represents the total deaths.

```
head(Western_Europe)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 4
##   Entity Code Year Homicide rate in Europe over long-term (per 100,000) (ho~1
##   <chr>   <chr> <dbl>
## 1 England <NA> 1300 23
## 2 England <NA> 1550 7
## 3 England <NA> 1625 6
## 4 England <NA> 1675 4
## 5 England <NA> 1725 2
## 6 England <NA> 1775 1
## # i abbreviated name:
## # 1: `Homicide rate in Europe over long-term (per 100,000) (homicides per 100,000 people)`
```

Ok, the data look good except for the column Homicide rate in Europe over long-term (per 100,000) which is not very easy to work with.

- Use the `names()` function and assignment key to relabel this column to `homicides_per_100k`

```
names(Western_Europe)[4] <- "homicides_per_100k"
names(Western_Europe)
```

```
## [1] "Entity"          "Code"            "Year"
## [4] "homicides_per_100k"
```


Now, that you have looked at what the data looks like and what it represents, and streamlined it, let’s see what big picture it contains.

Let’s see what the long-term trend is in homicides

- use `ggplot()` function and remember the `+` at the end of the line
- chose a meaningful `geom_.....()` for geometry (hint: points are not great)
- load Year on the x axis and `homicides_per_100k` column in y axis
- to color individual country entries consistently, assign the country column to the argument `color`.
- provide meaningful title and axis labels
- remember to change the `eval` flag so that the code chunk renders when knitted

```
ggplot(data = Western_Europe) +
  geom_line(aes(x = Year, y = homicides_per_100k, color = Entity)) +
  labs(
    title = "Homicide Rates in Western Europe Over Time",
    x = "Year",
    y = "Homicides per 100k",
```

```
color = "Country"
)
```




Alright, the homicide rates should all be descending over time. What a comfort. But the viz is not super clear. Let's check the rates for individual countries.

Uncouple the homicides of individual countries for easier view

You can visualize each country's trend separately by adding an extra argument to the `ggplot`, the `facet_wrap()` and feeding it the country column. If in doubt, check your `ggplot` tutorial and your country column name for exact usage.

- reuse the `ggplot` from the chunk above
- insert `facet_wrap()` after the specification of geometry to split countries in separate charts
- change the facet "layout" to two columns and three rows so that the trends are easier to see in horizontal layout.

```
ggplot(data = Western_Europe) +
  geom_line(aes(x = Year, y = homicides_per_100k, color = Entity)) +
  labs(
    title = "Homicide Rates in Western Europe Over Time",
    x = "Year",
    y = "homicides_per_100k",
    color = "Land"
  ) +
  facet_wrap(~ Entity, ncol = 2, nrow = 3)
```



Compare the trends in homicide with the pattern of reign duration among Danish rulers through time.

- Load your Danish king dataset. Hopefully it is tidy and your years and duration of reign are all numeric.
- You need to have a consistent way of plotting the rulers' reign on the x axis, so I recommend you create a midyear column by calculating the middle of each monarch's rule (Hint: `midyear = endyear - (endyear - startyear)/2`)
- Start a ggplot plotting midyear on x axis and duration on y axis
- Try `geom_smooth()` for geometry
- Provide meaningful labels and a title
- How would you characterize the trend compared to the homicides above?

```
library(tidyverse)
Danish_kings <- read.csv("data/Danish_kings.csv", sep = ";", stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
library(dplyr)


Danish_kings <- Danish_kings %>%
  mutate(start_reign = as.numeric(start_reign),
         end_reign = as.numeric(end_reign))
Danish_kings <- Danish_kings %>%
  mutate(midyear = end_reign - (end_reign - start_reign) / 2)

ggplot(data = Danish_kings) +
  geom_smooth(aes(x = midyear, y = (end_reign - start_reign)), method = "loess", color = "blue") +
  labs(
    title = "Længden af danske regenter over tid",
    x = "Midtpunkt af regeringsperiode",
```

```
y = "Regeringsperiode (år)"
)
```

```
## Warning: Removed 946 rows containing non-finite outside the scale range
## (`stat_smooth()`).
```

Længden af danske regenter over tid



The trend in reign duration among Danish rulers seems to reflect broader patterns of stability and unrest. Periods with longer reigns may indicate more political stability, which could correlate with lower homicide rates. Conversely, shorter reigns may coincide with times of increased violence or instability. Comparing both trends could provide insight into how political leadership and social unrest were interconnected over time.

Final Tasks


Final tasks:

- 1) Plot: In the faceted plot below, move the legend from the current position on the side to below the facets, and label it “Country” instead of “Entity”.

```
Western_Europe <- Western_Europe %>%
  rename(country = Entity)
ggplot(data = Western_Europe) +
  geom_line(aes(x = Year, y = homicides_per_100k, color = country)) +
  labs(
    title = "Homicide Rates in Western Europe Over Time",
    x = "Year",
    y = "homicides_per_100k",
    color = "Country"
  ) +
  facet_wrap(~ country, ncol = 2, nrow = 3) +
```

```
theme(legend.position = "bottom")
```

Homicide Rates in Western Europe Over Time



Country — England — Germany and Switzerland — Italy — Netherlands and Belgium — Scandi

2) Rmarkdown:

- edit the author of the document, and convert ‘Final Tasks’ into heading #2 (like the other headings)
- add a floating table of contents to your Rmarkdown document,
- provide informative chunk-names and edit flags in your R chunks, and
- automatically generate a timestamp to show when the document was last updated. (Hint: check the Rmarkdown episode in our Data Carpentry tutorial)

Last updated on: 2025-03-12 12:56:44

Final question:

*In <250 words articulate your answer on the basis of the data visualisations to the following question:** are we more civilized today?** (Can you find an article or a book that discusses this topic?)*

Based on the data visualizations of homicide rates in Western Europe over time and the reign duration of Danish rulers, we can argue that society has become more “civilized” in certain respects.

The homicide data shows a clear long-term decline in violent deaths per 100,000 people. This trend aligns with historian Steven Pinker’s argument in *The Better Angels of Our Nature* (2011), which suggests that interpersonal violence has steadily decreased due to stronger institutions, rule of law, and social norms discouraging violence.

The visualization of Danish rulers’ reigns provides another perspective. Longer reigns over time may indicate greater political stability, reducing violent power struggles such as coups and assassinations, which were more common in earlier centuries. This reinforces the idea that societies have developed mechanisms for resolving conflicts through non-violent means.

However, civilization is multifaceted. While homicide rates have dropped, other forms of systemic violence, such as economic inequality, cyber warfare, and environmental degradation—persist. Moreover, war and political violence remain present, albeit in different forms than in the past.

Therefore, if we define “civilization” in terms of declining interpersonal violence and increased political stability, the data supports the argument that we are indeed more civilized today. However, if civilization also includes broader ethical and structural factors, the answer is more complex.