

# Lab Exercises: Methodology of Problem Solving

This document defines the **in-class exercise** assignments for the ["Algorithms" course @ Software University](#).

For the following exercises you are given a Visual Studio solution "**Problem-Solving-Lab**" holding portions of the source code. You can download it from the course's page. You can **test your solutions** in the Judge system [here](#).


## Part I – Blocks

This first problem is **combinatorial**: generating all **2 x 2 blocks** holding **n** letters.


### Problem Description

We are given an integer **n** ( $4 \leq n \leq 10$ ). Using the **first n capital Latin letters**, generate all distinct **blocks of 2 x 2 letters**. Use each letter inside a block at most once (no repeating letters are allowed in the blocks). We assume that blocks obtained by **rotating** another block are the same and should be skipped.

Example: **n = 4**. The letters used in the blocks are: **A, B, C**, and **D**. The expected generated blocks are as follows:



Note that the below blocks are the same (after **rotation**):



You can **represent blocks as strings**, e.g. the first block above is **ABCD** (take the corners from top to bottom and from left to right).

### Input

The input holds a single integer number **n** ( $4 \leq n \leq 10$ ).

## Output

At the first line in the output, print the number of unique blocks in format:

Number of blocks: {count}

At the next lines **print each unique block** on a single line. The ordering of the lines is not strictly defined, but you should first generate all blocks starting with 'A', then non-duplicate block starting with 'B', etc.


## Sample Input and Output

Input	Output
4	Number of blocks: 6  ABCD  ABDC  ACBD  ACDB  ADBC  ADCB
5	Number of blocks: 30  ABCD  ABCE  ABDC  ABDE  ABEC  ABED  ACBD  ACBE  ACDB  ACDE  ACEB  ACED

	ADBC
	ADBE
	ADCB
	ADCE
	ADEB
	ADEC
	AEBC
	AEBD
	AECB
	AECD
	AEDB
	AEDC
	BCDE
	BCED
	BDCE
	BDEC
	BECD
	BEDC

## Provided Assets

For this problem you are given a Visual Studio project called “**Blocks**” along with all tests from the Judge system in a solution folder called **Blocks.Tests**. You can use the **in.txt** files to test your program and compare the output with the contents of the respective **out.txt** file.



## Analyze the Problem

What type of problem are we dealing with? We have some elements and we need to choose some of them and combine them to obtain some unique combination. This is obviously a **combinatorics** problem.

Since the order of elements matters and we always pick 4 elements out of a set with 4+ elements, what we need to generate are **variations of 4 elements out of n elements**. We don't take the same letter more than once, so we **won't be interested in repetitions**.

Knowing the type of problem and what needs to happen, the solution becomes much clearer. We can use the algorithm for generating variations we've used before in the course. Experience with solving such problems is most helpful at this stage.

## Break Down the Problem

Basically, the problem boils down to the following:

- 1) We receive an integer from the console
- 2) Based on the received number we need to generate the letters we'll use for building the blocks
- 3) Generate all variations of 4 symbols using an algorithm you already know or one you can quickly find online
- 4) Save all blocks obtained in a collection
- 5) Keep track of rotated blocks – blocks obtained from other blocks by rotation
- 6) Output the results in the required format

All steps are trivial except steps 3 and 5. At step 3 we can use an algorithm we've used before and modify it. At step 5 we just need to save in a collection all blocks obtained after a rotation; once we have a block we need to rotate it three times and save each rotated block in the collection.

## Choose Appropriate Data Structures

There are several structures we'll need based on what we've outlined so far:

- A structure to hold the set of letters – an array of chars will do nicely
- A structure to hold the currently obtained block – it should be of fixed size (4) and we should be able to modify/swap elements, so, again, an array of chars is appropriate
- A structure to hold the results – since each block will be represented by a string and blocks should be unique, we can use a `HashSet<string>` for the results
- A structure to hold the rotated blocks – again, blocks will be kept as strings, so a `HashSet<string>` will do

## Solve the Problem Step by Step

### Step 1. Get the Input Data

This is easy, read a number from the console:

```
int numberOfLetters = int.Parse(Console.ReadLine());
```

### Step 2. Generate the Set of Letters to Use

We have the number `n`; we need to get `n` letters starting from 'A'. We can declare an array of chars to hold the letters and write a method to fill the letters in it:

```
var letters = new char[numberOfLetters];  
FillLetters(numberOfLetters, letters);
```

The `FillLetters()` method is a simple loop which will traverse the array and place a letter in it. At each step we increment both the index we'll fill and the letter we'll use – the loop's iteration variable can be used to access the array's elements by index and obtain the letter:

```
private static void FillLetters(int numberOfLetters, char[] letters)  
{  
    for (int i = 0; i < numberOfLetters; i++)  
    {  
        letters[i] = (char)('A' + i);  
    }  
}
```

Run the code to make sure we have the correct set of letters.

### Step 3. Generate Variations

What we'll have in the end is a series of strings we'll keep in a collection. To make the code testable, it is a good idea to create a public method which accepts the size of the initial set as an argument and returns or fills the results in a HashSet. We can include the letter generation we just wrote in it:

```
public static HashSet<string> FindBlocks(int numberOfLetters)
{
    var letters = new char[numberOfLetters];
    FillLetters(numberOfLetters, letters);

    // TODO

    return results;
}
```

If we decide to write unit tests for our application, this method is easy to use as it receives the number of letters and returns the resulting blocks.

When generating variations, we need to have: the set of letters, a place to hold the current combination, a way to check whether an element is already taken (since we need variations without repetition), the collection to hold the results, the collection to hold the rotated blocks and the index to start at. Quite a lot of things.

We can declare the collection containing the rotated blocks as static; alternatively, we can pass it as a parameter to all methods which need it. Here, we'll declare it as static above the **Main()** method.

```
private static readonly HashSet<string> UsedCombinations = new HashSet<string>();
```

We could do the same with the results, but in this case we'll create it inside the **FindBlocks()** method and return it.

Let's declare the variables we'll need and pass them to the method which generates the variations:

```
public static HashSet<string> FindBlocks(int numberOfLetters)
{
    var letters = new char[numberOfLetters];
    FillLetters(numberOfLetters, letters);

    bool[] used = new bool[numberOfLetters];
    char[] currentCombination = new char[LettersToChoose];
    HashSet<string> results = new HashSet<string>();

    GenerateVariations(letters, currentCombination, used, results);

    return results;
}
```

The current combination is always of length 4, so we've used a constant.

```
private const int LettersToChoose = 4;
```

The **GenerateVariations()** method is a modification of the algorithm to generate variations you probably know:

```
private static void GenerateVariations(
    char[] letters,
    char[] currentCombination,
    bool[] used,
    HashSet<string> results,
    int index = 0)
{
    if (index >= currentCombination.Length)
    {
        // TODO: Add result to resulting set
    }
    else
    {
        for (int i = 0; i < letters.Length; i++)
        {
            if (!used[i])
            {
                // TODO: mark the element as used
                currentCombination[index] = letters[i];
                // TODO: Generate variations from current index onward
                // TODO: unmark the element as used
            }
        }
    }
}
```

You can **complete the TODOs in the else clause**. As for adding the result to the resulting collection – that will require a separate method.

## Step 4. Add Unique Blocks to Result

Let's create an **AddResult()** method and call it in the **if** clause above in place of the TODO.

The method should receive the current combination and the result collection; it can access the rotated blocks since they are kept in a static collection.

First, we'll obviously check if the combination is in the rotated blocks; if not – we add it to the result along with all rotated equivalents to the rotated blocks collection. This isn't too hard; having the array, just think about how the indices change when rotating the block:

```
private static void AddResult(char[] result, HashSet<string> results)
{
    string currentCombination = new string(result);
    if (!UsedCombinations.Contains(currentCombination))
    {
        results.Add(currentCombination);
        UsedCombinations.Add(currentCombination);
        UsedCombinations.Add(new string(new[] { result[3], result[0], result[2], result[1] }));
        UsedCombinations.Add(new string(new[] { result[2], result[3], result[1], result[0] }));
        UsedCombinations.Add(new string(new[] { result[1], result[2], result[0], result[3] }));
    }
}
```

## Step 5. Print Result

Now that we have the results, we can print them. Let's use a method for this task as well; the **Main()** method will look like this:

```
public static void Main(string[] args)
{
    int numberOfLetters = int.Parse(Console.ReadLine());
    var results = FindBlocks(numberOfLetters);
    PrintBlocks(results);
}
```

The **PrintBlocks()** method is nothing special, it just prints the number of elements in the HashSet and then outputs each string on a separate line:

```
private static void PrintBlocks(HashSet<string> results)
{
    Console.WriteLine("Number of blocks: {0}", results.Count);
    foreach (var combination in results)
    {
        Console.WriteLine(combination);
    }
}
```

## Test the Solution

Instead of unit tests, you can submit your code in the Judge system [here](#). You can write your own tests if you'd like, of course. If you did everything correctly, all tests should pass:



## 06. Blocks

```
1 namespace Blocks
2 {
3     using System;
4     using System.Collections.Generic;
5
6     public class Blocks
7     {
8         private const int LettersToChoose = 4;
9
10        private static readonly HashSet<string> UsedCombinations = new
11        HashSet<string>();
12
13        public static void Main(string[] args)
14        {
15            int numberOfLetters = int.Parse(Console.ReadLine());
16
17            var results = FindBlocks(numberOfLetters);
18
19            PrintBlocks(results);
20        }
21    }
22 }
```

Allowed working time: 0.10 sec.  
Allowed memory: 16.00 MB  
Size limit: 16.00 KB  
Checker: Sort lines ?

C# code

Submit

### Submissions

Points

Time and memory used

✓✓✓✓✓ 100 / 100

Memory: 9.58 MB

Time: 0.019 s

## Simplifying the Solution

We used non-optimal **problem solving strategy** for the above problem. We implemented the **first idea we had** to solve this problem, without thinking about **efficiency, simplicity**, etc. Now, we can think about a better solution.

We have two points to optimize in our solution:

- We **hold all generated blocks** and **rotate** each new block to **check for duplicates**. This takes too much memory and also slows down the solution. Can we check for duplicates in better way? Can we **avoid generating duplicated blocks**? Can we generate all blocks without duplicates directly?
- Can we **simplify the algorithm to generate the variations** of 4 elements? We have a fixed number of elements – 4. Maybe we can use **4 nested for-loops**?

## A Simpler Solution – 4 Nested Loops

There is a much simpler way to arrive at the answers having in mind we always select 4 elements. Generating variations with recursion is easier to implement when we have an unknown number **k**, but when **k** is fixed, **we can solve the problem using k nested loops**. Each loop will take a letter; we then need to check for repetitions and if we have 4 different letters we print them. So, the problem can be solved like this:

```

var lastLetter = 'A' + n - 1;
for (char l1 = 'A'; l1 <= lastLetter; l1++)
{
    for (char l2 = (char)(l1 + 1); l2 <= lastLetter; l2++)
    {
        for (char l3 = (char)(l1 + 1); l3 <= lastLetter; l3++)
        {
            if (l3 != l2)
            {
                for (char l4 = (char)(l1 + 1); l4 <= lastLetter; l4++)
                {
                    if (l4 != l3 && l4 != l2)
                    {
                        Console.WriteLine("{0}{1}{2}{3}", l1, l2, l3, l4);
                    }
                }
            }
        }
    }
}

```

To **avoid checking for equal rotated blocks** we use the following consideration: each block can be rotated in such a way, so **its smallest letter is at the first position** (at the top-left corner). For example, if we have a block **DCBA**, it can be rotated so that its smallest letter '**A**' comes at the first position. Thus, it is the same as **ABCD**. We may conclude, that all blocks can be obtained by putting the smallest letter at the first position and require that all other letters are bigger than the first. In the above code: the letter **l1** changes from [**A...lastLetter**] and **l2 > l1**, **l3 > l1** and **l4 > l1**.

You can test the above code to make sure it's correct.

## Calculating the Number of Blocks

To calculate the **number of blocks**, you can use a **counter in the innermost loop**. On the output though, we need the number of blocks to come first which leaves us two choices, both of which don't seem very efficient:

1. Store the results in a collection and then print it (takes up more memory and iterates the blocks twice)
2. First iterate to count the blocks (4 nested loops) and then iterate to print them (4 nested loops again, double the work).

The next thing to ask ourselves is: isn't there a **formula to calculate the number of blocks** we'll obtain?

We can check. Once we have a working solution we can print the number of blocks for several consecutive inputs and write down the results. There is an [online encyclopedia of integer sequences](#); you can input a sequence of numbers and you can **find a formula** for calculating what the result would be based on the input number. You'll probably get more than one match; the more numbers you enter, the better the chance you'll find what you're looking for. For instance, enter the first 5 results (for n = 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8): **6, 30, 90, 210, 420**. The online encyclopedia prints a formula matching these numbers: **a(n) = n \* (n + 1) \* (n + 2) \* (n + 3) / 4**.

Have in mind that for this function  $a(1) = 6$ , which is what we want for input 4. Basically, when we get, say 8 as input, we actually need  $a(5)$  which is  $a(8 - 3)$ . So, the actual **formula** we need would turn into:

$$(n - 3) * (n - 2) * (n - 1) * n / 4$$

You can print the result of this expression above the nested loops and check it in the Judge system, it turns out it is correct! So, now we calculate the number of blocks with a simple formula and we only iterate once to print them which is a great improvement over the first idea we explored – using the recursive algorithm for generating variations.