

# Strategy Report

Krish P Singh

## 1 Standardisation Methodology

1. **Consistent Date Frequency:** All dates in the dataset were cleaned and represented on a monthly basis with the same range maintained across different sources. When a date was repeated multiple times in the same month of a year, the latest date was chosen.
2. **Unified Time Index:** Every source table was aligned to a common DateTimeIndex in month-end format.
3. **Snake Case Column Names:** All column names were converted to snake case format, with lowercase letters and spaces replaced by hyphens.
4. **Unit Harmonisation:** Percentage rates were maintained as numeric percent values instead of actual numeric values (e.g., 5% is represented as 5 instead of 0.05).
5. **Missing Value Treatment:**
  - *For Policy Rates:* NaN values were forward filled until updates by RBI were mentioned in the dataset.
  - *For SDF:* Since SDF was only introduced from 2022 onwards: (a) A binary flag “sdf\_active” was created to show 0 or 1 if SDF was active or not. (b) A column named “sdf\_filled” was created with 0s filled before it began and the actual values from 2022 onwards.

## 2 Predictive Variables for Stock Return

Eight economic indicators were selected to capture real-life demand, financial conditions, and effects of monetary policy changes:

Variable		Description	Intuition
YoY Sales		12-month % change in national auto sales	Rising sales signal stronger end-market demand which leads to higher revenue expectations and positive stock reaction.
Lag-1 YoY Sales		Previous month's YoY sales	Captures delayed price response when demand data are digested slowly or released mid-month.
Real Repo Rate		Nominal repo – CPI Combined inflation	Measures the true cost of capital; a lower real rate makes auto financing cheaper, boosting volume and margins.
Lag-3 Repo	Real	Real repo three months earlier	Measures the true cost of capital; a lower real rate makes auto financing cheaper, boosting volume and margins.
Policy Surprise		Month-to-month change in the repo rate	Positive jumps often tighten liquidity and depress cyclical stocks; negative jumps do the opposite.
Liquidity Corridor Width		MSF – Repo Rate	Wider corridor implies looser liquidity and greater bank lending appetite—generally supportive for cyclicals like autos.
SDF Flag	Regime	Binary: 0 before April-2022 and 1 after	Captures the structural shift when the Standing Deposit Facility replaced Reverse Repo as the floor rate.
Effective Rate	SDF	SDF Regime Flag × Actual SDF Value	Introduces the actual SDF rate only once the facility exists; models its direct influence on short-term funding costs.

## 3 Statistical Test Results

Statistical analysis was conducted with detailed graphs in `technical_assessment.ipynb`:

1. **Static Pearson Correlations:** Corridor shows strongest positive correlation with next-month returns (+0.21). YoY\_sales and Lag-1 YoY Sales are most negative ( $\approx -0.26$  /  $-0.24$ ), suggesting contrarian behaviour.

2. **Granger-Causality Tests (lags 1-4):** YoY\_sales and Lag-1 YoY Sales Granger-cause returns at lag 1 ( $p \approx 0.04$ ), indicating demand data contain predictive information.
3. **Rolling 24-Month Correlations:** From 2022 onward, real repo and corridor climb above +0.25 and remain positive; policy stance became a reliable pro-cyclical driver. YoY Sales & Lag-1 YoY Sales remain stably negative ( $-0.15$  to  $-0.35$ ).
4. **Cross-Correlation Function (CCF) Tests:** Additional CCF analysis performed with graphs in the ipynb file.

#### 4 Signal Selection

Three primary signals selected based on comprehensive statistical analysis:

1. **Liquidity-Corridor Width:** Largest positive static correlation with next-month return (+0.21) and rolling-24-month  $\rho \downarrow +0.25$  after 2022.
2. **Lag-1 YoY Auto-Sales:** Strong negative correlation ( $\approx -0.24$ ) and Granger-causal at lag 1 ( $p \approx 0.04$ ).
3. **Policy Surprise:** Cross-correlation analysis reveals repo cuts lead positive returns by  $\approx 2$ –4 months; hikes lead negatives. Static  $\rho \approx -0.16$  confirms direction.

These three variables—liquidity stance, contrarian demand pulse, and discrete policy shocks—collectively explain the bulk of statistically significant relationships, making them the most defensible predictors for Tata Motors' returns.

#### 5 Model Deployment

Two regression models were tested and compared:

Model	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE
RidgeCV	0.63	144
Gradient Boosting Regressor (GBR)	0.66	138

**Extension to stock-return prediction:** The same macro drivers—liquidity stance (Corridor and Real Repo) and demand pulse (Lag-1 YoY Sales)—affect valuation multiples and earnings revisions; they are therefore reused (at daily frequency) in the trading-signal blend of the coding challenge.

#### 6 Fundamental Validation

1. **Corridor (MSF – Repo):** Looser liquidity  $\downarrow$  financing costs  $\uparrow$  dealer credit, supports EBIT margins.
2. **YoY Sales Lag-1:** Falling sales  $\downarrow$  utilisation & pricing power  $\Rightarrow$  squeezes next-quarter margins (model's dominant weight).
3.  **$\Delta$  Repo (Surprise):** Cuts ease loan/interest burden which widen margins; no recent shock neutral impact.

Model forecasts next-quarter EBIT margin 1.5%, down  $\approx 4$  ppts from the last reported 5.9%, driven chiefly by the weak lagged-sales signal.