

# Cracking Coding Interviews

## Maximum Product of 3 Numbers

**Mostafa S. Ibrahim**

*Teaching, Training and Coaching since more than a decade!*

*Artificial Intelligence & Computer Vision Researcher*

*PhD from Simon Fraser University - Canada*

*Bachelor / Msc from Cairo University - Egypt*

*Ex-(Software Engineer / ICPC World Finalist)*



# Leetcode [628](#) - Maximum Product of 3 Numbers

- Given an integer array, find 3 numbers whose product is maximum.
- Input  $\Rightarrow$  Output
  - $[1,2,3,4] \Rightarrow 24$  from  $2 \times 3 \times 4$
  - $[-1,-2,-3] \Rightarrow -6$
- Function
  - C++: `int maximumProduct(vector<int>& nums)`
  - Java: `public int maximumProduct(int[] nums)`
  - Python: `def maximumProduct(self, nums: List[int]) -> int`

# Your turn

- Ask the right questions, if any, and state your assumptions
- Develop some test cases

# Assumptions:

- You
  - I assume you want 3 different indices
  - I assume the multiplication of any 3 values won't overflow!
    - This is relevant for languages that will overflow, such as C++
    - You might be more specific (e.g. MAX\_INT in c++ is the integer limit)
  - I assume the array will have at least 3 values
- Interviewer
  - That is ok. Go ahead!

# Test cases

- We've already been given test cases with all positive or all negative numbers
- Let's develop a case with a mixture of positive and negative values
- $[-4, 5, -6, 2, 7] \Rightarrow -4 * -6 * 7 = -4 * -6 * 7$
- $[-2, -3, 5, 2, 7] \Rightarrow 5 * 2 * 7 \Rightarrow 70$

# Your turn

- Can we approach it with brute-force? If so, how?

# Brute-force it!

- As we need 3 indices, clearly we can try 3 nested loops, and compute the max among all triplets!
  - For different indices:  $i = 0$ , then  $j = i + 1$ , then  $k = j + 1$
  - I use  $(i, j, k)$  to refer a lot to 3 nested loops
- Clearly this is  $O(n^3)$ .
- Obviously, this is not the intended
- What might be a potential order?  $O(n^2)$ ?  $O(n \log n)$ ?  $O(n)$
- Can we find a way to optimize the brute-force solution?
  - We need to remove 1 or 2 loops!

# Brute-force it!

- Can we find a way to optimize the brute-force solution?
- It may not look like there's a way to optimize this brute-force solution
  - Or, at least, it may not be clear how to do so!
- Let's analyze more or utilize some thinking tools



# Your turn

- Try to discover some observations / properties

# Your turn

- Try to discover some observations / properties
- For sake of practice: think in different problem simplifications

# Problem Simplification

- Simpler version 1: Maximum Product of 2 Numbers
  - Observe: multiplying 2 positive or 2 negative numbers gives a positive result
  - If available: the largest 2 positive values or the smallest 2 negative values are the answer
- Simpler version 2: Assume all are positive (added a constraint)
  - E.g. {2, 3, 4, 5, 6}: Clearly the answer is the largest 3 numbers:  $4*5*6$
- Simpler version 3: Assume all are negative (added a constraint)
  - E.g. {-6, -5, -4, -3, -2}: Hmm
  - Similar logic: we need the 3 largest values; all possible results are negative in any case  $\Rightarrow -2 * -3 * -4 = -24$
- Observation: this problem is all about *several max/min values* and some choices based on that
- Now try to find some observation for mixed cases
  - Sorting the numbers helps us figure out the smallest/largest values

# Observation

- Sorting the numbers helps us figure out the smallest/largest values
- **-20, -10, -7, -6, -5, 2, 3, 4, 5**
  - A mix of multiple positive and negative values
  - What are the potential answers?
  - In this case, our answer is DEFINITELY positive
  - It could potentially be the product of the 3 largest values
  - However, if we take the 2 smallest negative values::  $-20 \times -10 = 200$  positive value
  - And then we take the largest positive value: 5
  - Our total is 1000, which is larger than  $3 \times 4 \times 5$ !

# Verifying special cases

- General case: Either 3 positive values or 2 negative and 1 positive values
- What if we have a single positive value in the array?
  - Then our answer combines the 2 smallest negative values with positive value
- What if we have a single negative value in the array and 3 positive?
  - Clearly, this negative value is useless; we will use the largest 3 positive values
- Observation: The general rule works even for special test-cases
- So sort data in  $O(n \log n)$ 
  - Get the largest 3 positive
  - Get the smallest 2 negatives and largest positive
  - Compute and get the maximum value
- $O(1)$  memory

# $O(n \log n)$ time and $O(1)$ memory

- The interviewer is happy, but wonders if it can be improved?
- Give a trial

```
int maximumProduct(vector<int>& nums) {  
    sort(nums.begin(), nums.end());  
    int n = nums.size();  
    int a = nums[n - 1] * nums[n - 2] * nums[n - 3];  
    int b = nums[0] * nums[1] * nums[n - 1];  
    return max(a, b);  
}
```

# Optimized version

- Clearly, we need to:
  - Get the three largest values
  - And get the two smallest values
- In a single loop compute the 3 largest values and the 2 smallest values
  - It is just careful if-else code
- Now, it's  $O(n)$  time
- There is a more elegant way than loops with a tricky if-else
  - Can u use a max-heap to get the max 3 values? And min-heap for the smallest 2?
  - And keep it  $O(1)$  memory and  $O(n)$  time?
- Your turn: try to code the 2 approaches

$O(n)$   
loops style

```
int maximumProduct(vector<int>& nums) {  
    int max1 = INT_MIN, max2 = INT_MIN, max3 = INT_MIN;  
    int min1 = INT_MAX, min2 = INT_MAX;  
  
    for (int i = 0; i < (int) nums.size(); i++) {  
        if (nums[i] <= min1)  
            min2 = min1, min1 = nums[i];  
        else if (nums[i] <= min2)  
            min2 = nums[i];  
  
        if (nums[i] >= max1)  
            max3 = max2, max2 = max1, max1 = nums[i];  
        else if (nums[i] >= max2)  
            max3 = max2, max2 = nums[i];  
        else if (nums[i] >= max3)  
            max3 = nums[i];  
    }  
    return max(min1 * min2 * max1, max1 * max2 * max3);  
}
```



O(n) using  
max & min  
heaps

```
int maximumProduct(vector<int>& nums) {  
    priority_queue<int> mx_heap;    // for smallest 2 numbers  
    priority_queue<int, vector<int>, greater<int>> mn_heap;  
  
    for (int i = 0; i < (int) nums.size(); i++) {  
        mx_heap.push(nums[i]);  
        mn_heap.push(nums[i]);  
  
        if(mx_heap.size() > 2)  
            mx_heap.pop();  
  
        if(mn_heap.size() > 3)  
            mn_heap.pop();    // keep largest 3  
    }  
    int max1, max2, max3, min1, min2;  
    max3 = mn_heap.top(), mn_heap.pop();  
    max2 = mn_heap.top(), mn_heap.pop();  
    max1 = mn_heap.top(), mn_heap.pop();  
  
    min2 = mx_heap.top(), mx_heap.pop();  
    min1 = mx_heap.top(), mx_heap.pop();  
  
    return max(min1 * min2 * max1, max1 * max2 * max3);  
}
```

# $O(n)$ using max & min heaps

- In Python, the code is way shorter and simpler with heapq!
  - nlargest is  $O(n \log k)$  for selecting  $k$  elements.  $K$  here is 2 or 3
- Tip: if you're comfortable with multiple programming languages, pick the one that produces the shortest code

```
import heapq

class Solution:
    def maximumProduct(self, array):
        largest = heapq.nlargest(3, array)
        smallest = heapq.nsmallest(2, array)

        return max(largest[0] * largest[1] * largest[2],
                   largest[0] * smallest[0] * smallest[1])
```

# Another $O(n)$ optimized BF solution

- Let's brute force one of the 3 values
  - E.g. iterate on every single value and consider it part of the solution
- The remaining 2 values?
  - Instead of 2 nested loops, we can figure out the best pair of values to add
  - To do this in  $O(1)$ , we need to perform some *pre-computations*
- Give a trial
- Hint: We will use the `left[idx]` and `right[idx]` pre-computations style

# Another $O(n)$ optimized BF solution

- Let's brute force one of the 3 values
  - E.g. iterate on every single value and consider it part of the solution
- The remaining 2 values?
  - Assume the BF value is iterating on the **middle** variable
  - The other two positions: one on the left, one on the right
  - Instead of 2 nested loops, we can figure out the best pair of values to add
  - To do this in  $O(1)$ , we need to perform some *pre-computations*
- Hint
  - We will use the left[idx] and right[idx] pre-computations style
  - The 2 values will either be min or max values!
  - Construct four auxiliary arrays left\_mx[], right\_mx[], left\_mn[] and right\_mn[]
  - left\_mx[idx]: the max in range {0, idx-1}
  - right\_mx[idx]: the max in range {idx+1, size-1}



# Another $O(n)$ optimized BF solution

```
// mn[i]: min in range {0, i-1}
// mx[i]: max in range {0, i-1}
void left_min_max(vector<int>& nums, vector<int>& mn, vector<int>& mx) {
    mn = mx = nums;
    for (int i = 1; i < (int)nums.size(); ++i) {
        mn[i] = min(mn[i-1], nums[i-1]);
        mx[i] = max(mx[i-1], nums[i-1]);
    }
}

// mn[i]: min in range {i+1, size-1}
// mx[i]: max in range {i+1, size-1}
void right_min_max(vector<int>& nums, vector<int>& mn, vector<int>& mx) {
    mn = mx = nums;
    for (int i = (int)nums.size()-2; i >= 0; --i) {
        mn[i] = min(mn[i+1], nums[i+1]);
        mx[i] = max(mx[i+1], nums[i+1]);
    }
}
```

# Another $O(n)$ optimized BF solution

- Let's brute force one of the 3 values
  - E.g. iterate on every single value and consider it part of the solution
- The remaining 2 values?
  - Now we need to analyze how to use the 4 arrays? No
  - Just go brute-force: there are 4 cases anyway. Don't waste time on analysis
    - `left_mn[idx], idx, right_mn[idx]`
    - `left_mn[idx], idx, right_mx[idx]`
    - `left_mx[idx], idx, right_mn[idx]`
    - `left_mx[idx], idx, right_mx[idx]`

## Another $O(n)$ optimized BF solution

```
int maximumProduct(vector<int>& nums) {  
    int max_product = INT_MIN;  
    vector<int> mn_left, mx_left, mn_right, mx_right;  
  
    left_min_max(nums, mn_left, mx_left);  
    right_min_max(nums, mn_right, mx_right);  
  
    for (int i = 1; i < (int)nums.size()-1; i++) { // bf a position  
        // bf the 4 possible cases  
        max_product = max(max_product, mn_left[i] * nums[i] * mn_right[i]);  
        max_product = max(max_product, mn_left[i] * nums[i] * mx_right[i]);  
        max_product = max(max_product, mx_left[i] * nums[i] * mn_right[i]);  
        max_product = max(max_product, mx_left[i] * nums[i] * mx_right[i]);  
    }  
    return max_product;  
}
```



# Another $O(n)$ optimized BF solution

- Clearly, this is  $O(n)$  time, but also  $O(n)$  memory
- This solution involves 2 tricks
  - Don't automatically dismiss the brute force approach; it's not necessarily a completely mindless algorithm
  - `Left[idx], right[idx]` style is a key to some problems
- As you see, in an ad-hoc problem there is no specific pattern to solve!
  - However, keep this style of processing for problems that search for 3 values
  - Use the middle value (i.e brute-force it) and search for the value before and value after
  - This may reduce the order
  - Other examples: find all triplets that form an [arithmetic/geometric](#) progression

*“Acquire knowledge and impart it to the people.”*

*“Seek knowledge from the Cradle to the Grave.”*