1. 10000000000 n2 vs n3

his asymptotically greater ble as n goes to Infinity his grows faster than no meaning that no is asymptotically greater than so is a higher degree than no we know that no will eventually grow faster than he even though no is being moutified by a really long constant.

2. n2 logn vs n (logn) 0

For this comportion, we can first compare like terms. Since no is a higher degree than n, we know it grows faster. Next we know logn grows slower than (logh). The two parts that grow foot one no from the fift equation and (logh) from the Second equation. For that reason, we can compare the 2 and see no grows much forster, so the asymptotically greater one will be no logn.

3. 109n vs 2 n

n logn should be bigger because a stronger for smaller values of in the will be bigger but because in has an in is the bottom and the exponent for bigger numbers it will definely grown faster than 2 so we know that n logn is asymptotically greater.

H. 2" vs 22

Although 2" will aways grow faster becase it has an in the exponent and the base is the same, I believe that the asymptotic motations are the exact same becomes in asymptotic notation we are not worried about constants so we should get a tight bound of O(2h) for both functions, meaning that they are asymptotically the same.

Lab 3 continued

2. is prime (n):

I time to those

Upper bound Even in

visite order the m

Some will train an extract train an

for (i=2, i \* i = n; c++ ) ?

is no 10 i == 0 ?

return faise when the ment hand

3

return true

Best case: It is division by 2, then the first while loop iteration will return false because in 1002 will be 0, meany that the function will return false. looking at the lower bund the for loop will at least run once if a valid in is girm so we have ICI) lower bound. The maximum time that it will run in the best case is also I because best case means it is divisible by 2, so three upper bound will be OCI). Since ICI) = OCI) we get OCI) for the tight bound of the best case.

worst case: Since i Keeps murtipying its eit to make sure that multiplying itsent do early go over n. That meany that we must go through In elements to check all possible pairs of division. For a number only divisible by itself and 1, the minimum time run will be going through all necessary elements until the for loop fails which is a court time and the mase is Octon) time.

Since according to your part of the get octon)

Average (age: on average we would get something more than Constant time, which would be the lower bound  $\Omega(1)$ , and Something tess than In time which is the upper bound, O(In). Since  $\Omega(1) \neq O(In)$ , we should have a tight bound, but we then would have to go with O(In) for the closest approximation of the average time case complexity.