

# IR: Information Retrieval

FIB, Master in Innovation and Research in Informatics

Slides by Marta Arias, José Balcázar, Ricard Gavaldá  
Department of Computer Science, UPC

Fall 2016

<http://www.cs.upc.edu/~ir>

## 2. Information Retrieval Models

# Information Retrieval Models, I

Setting the stage to think about IR

## What is an Information Retrieval Model?

We need to clarify:

- ▶ A proposal for a **logical view** of documents  
(what info is stored/indexed about each document?),
- ▶ a **query language**  
(what kinds of queries will be allowed?),
- ▶ and a notion of **relevance**  
(how to handle each document, given a query?).

# Information Retrieval Models, II

A couple of IR models

Focus for this course:

- ▶ **Boolean model**,
  - ▶ Boolean queries, exact answers;
  - ▶ extension: phrase queries.
- ▶ **Vector model**,
  - ▶ weights on terms and documents;
  - ▶ similarity queries, approximate answers, ranking.

# Boolean Model of Information Retrieval

Relevance assumed binary

## Documents:

A document is completely identified by the **set of terms** that it contains.

- ▶ Order of occurrence considered irrelevant,
- ▶ number of occurrences considered irrelevant  
(but a closely related model, called **bag-of-words** or **BoW**, does consider relevant the number of occurrences).

Thus, for a set of terms  $\mathcal{T} = \{t_1, \dots, t_T\}$ , a document is just a **subset** of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Each document can be seen as a **bit vector** of length  $T$ ,  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_T)$ , where

- ▶  $d_i = 1$  if and only if  $t_i$  appears in  $d$ , or, equivalently,
- ▶  $d_i = 0$  if and only if  $t_i$  does not appear in  $d$ .

# Queries in the Boolean Model, I

Boolean queries, exact answers

Atomic query:

a **single term**.

The answer is the set of documents that contain it.

Combining queries:

- ▶ OR, AND: operate as union or intersection of answers;
- ▶ Set difference,  $t_1$  BUTNOT  $t_2 \equiv t_1$  AND NOT  $t_2$ ;
- ▶ motivation: avoid unmanageably large answer sets.

In Lucene:  $+/-$  signs on query terms, Boolean operators.

# Queries in the Boolean Model, II

A close relative to propositional logic

## Analogy:

- ▶ Terms act as propositional variables;
- ▶ documents act as propositional models;
- ▶ a document is **relevant** for a term if it contains the term, that is, if, as a propositional model, satisfies the variable;
- ▶ queries are propositional formulas  
(with a syntactic condition of avoiding global negation);
- ▶ a document is **relevant** for a query if, as a propositional model, it satisfies the propositional formula.

# Example, I

A very simple toy case

Consider 7 documents with a vocabulary of 6 terms:

d1 = one three

d2 = two two three

d3 = one three four five five five

d4 = one two two two two three six six

d5 = three four four four six

d6 = three three three six six

d7 = four five



## Example, II

Our documents in the Boolean model

	<i>five</i>	<i>four</i>	<i>one</i>	<i>six</i>	<i>three</i>	<i>two</i>
$d1 =$	[ 0	0	1	0	1	0 ]
$d2 =$	[ 0	0	0	0	1	1 ]
$d3 =$	[ 1	1	1	0	1	0 ]
$d4 =$	[ 0	0	1	1	1	1 ]
$d5 =$	[ 0	1	0	1	1	0 ]
$d6 =$	[ 0	0	0	1	1	0 ]
$d7 =$	[ 1	1	0	0	0	0 ]

(Invent some queries and compute their answers!)

# Queries in the Boolean Model, III

No ranking of answers

Answers are not quantified:

A document either

- ▶ matches the query (is **fully relevant**),
- ▶ or does not match the query (is **fully irrelevant**).

Depending on user needs and application, this feature may be good or may be bad.

# Phrase Queries, I

Slightly beyond the Boolean model

## Phrase queries: conjunction plus adjacency

Ability to answer with the set of documents that have the terms of the query consecutively.

- ▶ A user querying “Keith Richards” may not wish a document that mentions both Keith Emerson and Emil Richards.
- ▶ Requires extending the notion of “basic query” to include adjacency.

# Phrase Queries, II

Options to “hack them in”

## Options:

- ▶ Run as conjunctive query, then doublecheck the whole answer set to filter out nonadjacency cases.  
This option may be very slow in cases of large amounts of “false positives”.
- ▶ Keep in the index dedicated information about **adjacency** of any two terms in a document (e.g. positions).
- ▶ Keep in the index dedicated information about a choice of “interesting pairs” of words.

# Vector Space Model of Information Retrieval, I

Basis of all successful approaches

- ▶ Order of words still irrelevant.
- ▶ Frequency is relevant.
- ▶ Not all words are equally important.
- ▶ For a set of terms  $\mathcal{T} = \{t_1, \dots, t_T\}$ , a document is a vector  $d = (w_1, \dots, w_T)$  of **floats** instead of **bits**.
- ▶  $w_i$  is the **weight** of  $t_i$  in  $d$ .

# Vector Space Model of Information Retrieval, II

## Moving to vector space

- ▶ A document is now a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^T$ .
- ▶ The document collection **conceptually** becomes a **matrix**  
terms  $\times$  documents.  
but we never compute the matrix explicitly.
- ▶ Queries may also be seen as vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^T$ .

# The tf-idf scheme

A way to assign weight vector to documents

Two principles:

- ▶ The more frequent  $t$  is in  $d$ , the higher weight it should have.
- ▶ The more frequent  $t$  is in **the whole collection**, the less it discriminates among documents, so the lower its weight should be in all documents.

# The tf-idf scheme, II

## The formula

A document is a vector of weights

$$d = [w_{d,1}, \dots, w_{d,i}, \dots, w_{d,T}].$$

Each weight is a product of two terms

$$w_{d,i} = tf_{d,i} \cdot idf_i.$$

The term frequency term  $tf$  is

$$tf_{d,i} = \frac{f_{d,i}}{\max_j f_{d,j}}, \quad \text{where } f_{d,j} \text{ is the frequency of } t_j \text{ in } d.$$

And the inverse document frequency  $idf$  is

$$idf_i = \log_2 \frac{D}{df_i}, \quad \text{where } D = \text{number of documents}$$

and  $df_i$  = number of documents that contain term  $t_i$ .



## Example, I

	<i>five</i>	<i>four</i>	<i>one</i>	<i>six</i>	<i>three</i>	<i>two</i>	<b>maxf</b>
$d1 =$	[ 0	0	1	0	1	0	] 1
$d2 =$	[ 0	0	0	0	1	2	] 2
$d3 =$	[ 3	1	1	0	1	0	] 3
$d4 =$	[ 0	0	1	2	1	4	] 4
$d5 =$	[ 0	3	0	1	1	0	] 3
$d6 =$	[ 0	0	0	2	3	0	] 3
$d7 =$	[ 1	1	0	0	0	0	] 1
<b>df =</b>	2	3	3	3	6	2	

## Example, II

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{df} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ d3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\rightarrow \\ d3 &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{3} \log_2 \frac{7}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \log_2 \frac{7}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \log_2 \frac{7}{3} & \frac{0}{3} \log_2 \frac{7}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \log_2 \frac{7}{6} & \frac{0}{3} \log_2 \frac{7}{2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.81 & 0.41 & 0.41 & 0 & 0.07 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ d4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\rightarrow \\ d4 &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{0}{4} \log_2 \frac{7}{2} & \frac{0}{4} \log_2 \frac{7}{3} & \frac{1}{4} \log_2 \frac{7}{3} & \frac{2}{4} \log_2 \frac{7}{3} & \frac{1}{4} \log_2 \frac{7}{6} & \frac{4}{4} \log_2 \frac{7}{2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.61 & 1.22 & 0.11 & 3.61 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

# Similarity of Documents in the Vector Space Model

## The cosine similarity measure

- ▶ “Similar vectors” may happen to have very different sizes.
- ▶ We better compare only **their directions**.
- ▶ Equivalently, we **normalize** them before comparing them to have the same Euclidean length.

$$\text{sim}(d1, d2) = \frac{d1 \cdot d2}{|d1| |d2|} = \frac{d1}{|d1|} \cdot \frac{d2}{|d2|}$$

where

$$v \cdot w = \sum_i v_i \cdot w_i, \text{ and } |v| = \sqrt{v \cdot v} = \sqrt{\sum_i v_i^2}.$$

- ▶ Our weights are all nonnegative.
- ▶ Therefore, all cosines / similarities are between 0 and 1.

## Cosine similarity, Example

$$\begin{aligned}d3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.81 & 0.41 & 0.41 & 0 & 0.07 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\d4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.61 & 1.22 & 0.11 & 3.61 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|d3| = 1.898, \quad |d4| = 3.866, \quad d3 \cdot d4 = 0.26$$

and  $\text{sim}(d3, d4) = 0.035$  (i.e., small similarity).

# Query Answering

- ▶ Queries can be transformed to vectors too.
- ▶ Sometimes, tf-idf weights; often, binary weights.
- ▶  $\text{sim}(\text{doc}, \text{query}) \in [0, 1]$ .
- ▶ Answer: List of documents sorted by decreasing similarity.
- ▶ We will find uses for comparing  $\text{sim}(d1, d2)$  too.