

CS450 Computer Networks

The slides used in class are derived from the slides available on our text book companion website:

http://wps.pearsoned.com/ecs_kurose_compnetw_6/
copyright 1996-2012 J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross

© 2012 Maharishi University of Management

All additional course materials are copyright protected by international copyright laws and remain the property of the Maharishi University of Management. The materials are accessible only for the personal use of students enrolled in this course and only for the duration of the course. Any copying and distributing are not allowed and subject to legal action.

CS450 Computer Networks

Lesson 15

Network Layer – Routing in the Internet

The field of all possibilities is the source of all solutions.

Lesson 15: Network Layer – Routing in the Internet

Our goal: Understand Routing in the Internet

- ❖ Hierarchical Routing
- ❖ RIP – Routing Information Protocol
- ❖ OSPF – Open Shortest Path First
- ❖ BGP – Border Gateway Protocol

Hierarchical Routing

Our routing study thus far - idealization

- ❖ all routers identical
 - ❖ network “flat”
- ... *not* true in practice

scale: with 600 million destinations:

- ❖ can't store all dest's in routing tables!
- ❖ routing table exchange would swamp links!

administrative autonomy


- ❖ internet = network of networks
- ❖ each network admin may want to control routing in its own network

Hierarchical Routing

- ❖ aggregate routers into regions, “autonomous systems” (AS)
 - ❖ routers in same AS run same routing protocol
 - “intra-AS” routing protocol
 - routers in different AS can run different intra-AS routing protocol
- gateway router
- ❖ at “edge” of its own AS
 - ❖ has link to router in another AS

Can you think of a human communication analogy?

Interconnected ASes



- ❖ forwarding table configured by both intra- and inter-AS routing algorithm
 - intra-AS sets entries for internal dests
 - inter-AS & intra-As sets entries for external dests


Inter-AS tasks

- ❖ suppose router in AS1 receives datagram destined outside of AS1:
 - router should forward packet to gateway router, but which one?

AS1 must:


1. learn which destds are reachable through AS2, which through AS3
2. propagate this reachability info to all routers in AS1

job of inter-AS routing!




Example: Setting forwarding table in router Id

- ❖ suppose AS1 learns (via inter-AS protocol) that subnet **x** reachable via AS3 (gateway Ic) but not via AS2.
 - inter-AS protocol propagates reachability info to all internal routers
- ❖ router Id determines from intra-AS routing info that its interface **I** is on the least cost path to Ic.
 - installs forwarding table entry **(x,I)**




Example: Choosing among multiple ASes

- ❖ now suppose AS1 learns from inter-AS protocol that subnet **x** is reachable from AS3 *and* from AS2.
- ❖ to configure forwarding table, router Id must determine which gateway it should forward packets towards for dest **x**
 - this is also job of inter-AS routing protocol!



Example: Choosing among multiple ASes

- ❖ now suppose AS1 learns from inter-AS protocol that subnet **x** is reachable from AS3 *and* from AS2.
- ❖ to configure forwarding table, router Id must determine towards which gateway it should forward packets for dest **x**.
 - this is also job of inter-AS routing protocol!
- ❖ **hot potato routing**: send packet towards closest of two routers.




Intra-AS Routing

- ❖ also known as **Interior Gateway Protocols (IGP)**
- ❖ most common Intra-AS routing protocols:
 - RIP: Routing Information Protocol
 - OSPF: Open Shortest Path First
 - IGRP: Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (Cisco proprietary)

RIP (Routing Information Protocol)


- ❖ included in BSD-UNIX distribution in 1982
- ❖ distance vector algorithm
 - distance metric: # hops (max = 15 hops), each link has cost 1
 - DVs exchanged with neighbors every 30 sec in response message (aka **advertisement**)
 - each advertisement: list of up to 25 destination **subnets** (in IP addressing sense)



from router A to destination **subnets**:

<u>subnet</u>	<u>hops</u>
u	1
v	2
w	2
x	3
y	3
z	2


RIP: Example



routing table in router D

destination subnet	next router	# hops to dest
w	A	2
y	B	2
x	--	1
....

RIP: Example



routing table in router D

destination subnet	next router	# hops to dest
W	A	2
Y	B	2
Z	B → A	7 → 5
X	--	1
....


RIP: Link Failure and Recovery

If no advertisement heard after 180 sec --> neighbor/link declared dead

- routes via neighbor invalidated
- new advertisements sent to neighbors
- neighbors in turn send out new advertisements (if tables changed)
- link failure info propagates to entire net
- *poison reverse* used to prevent ping-pong loops (infinite distance = 16 hops)

RIP Table processing

- ❖ RIP routing tables managed by **application-level** process called route-d (daemon)
- ❖ advertisements sent in UDP packets, periodically repeated




OSPF (Open Shortest Path First)

- ❖ “open”: publicly available
- ❖ uses Link State algorithm
 - LS packet dissemination
 - topology map at each node
 - route computation using Dijkstra’s algorithm
- ❖ OSPF advertisement carries one entry per neighbor router
- ❖ advertisements disseminated to **entire** AS (via flooding)
 - carried in OSPF messages directly over IP (rather than TCP or UDP)

OSPF “advanced” features (not in RIP)

- ❖ **security**: all OSPF messages authenticated (to prevent malicious intrusion)
- ❖ **multiple** same-cost **paths** allowed (only one path in RIP)
- ❖ for each link, multiple cost metrics for different **TOS** (e.g., satellite link cost set “low” for best effort ToS; high for real time ToS)
- ❖ integrated **uni**- and **multicast** support:
 - Multicast OSPF (MOSPF) uses same topology data base as OSPF
- ❖ **hierarchical** OSPF in large domains.

Hierarchical OSPF



Hierarchical OSPF


- ❖ **two-level hierarchy:** local area, backbone.
 - link-state advertisements only in area
 - each nodes has detailed area topology; only know direction (shortest path) to nets in other areas.
- ❖ area border routers: “summarize” distances to nets in own area, advertise to other Area Border routers.
- ❖ backbone routers: run OSPF routing limited to backbone.
- ❖ boundary routers: connect to other AS's.

Internet inter-AS routing: BGP

- ❖ **BGP (Border Gateway Protocol):** *the de facto inter-domain routing protocol*
 - “glue that holds the Internet together”
- ❖ BGP provides each AS a means to:
 - **eBGP:** obtain subnet reachability information from neighboring ASs.
 - **iBGP:** propagate reachability information to all AS-internal routers.
 - determine “good” routes to other networks based on reachability information and policy.
- ❖ allows subnet to advertise its existence to rest of Internet: *“I am here”*


BGP basics

- ❖ **BGP session:** two BGP routers (“peers”) exchange BGP messages:
 - advertising *paths* to different destination network prefixes (“path vector” protocol)
 - exchanged over persistent TCP connections
- ❖ when AS3 advertises a prefix to AS1:
 - AS3 *promises* it will forward datagrams towards that prefix
 - AS3 can aggregate prefixes in its advertisement



BGP basics: distributing path information

- ❖ using eBGP session between 3a and 1c, AS3 sends prefix reachability info to AS1.
 - 1c can then use iBGP to distribute new prefix info to all routers in AS1
 - 1b can then re-advertise new reachability info to AS2 over 1b-to-2a eBGP session
- ❖ when router learns of new prefix, it creates entry for prefix in its forwarding table.



Path attributes & BGP routes

- ❖ advertised prefix includes BGP attributes
 - prefix + attributes = “route”
- ❖ two important attributes:
 - **AS-PATH**: contains ASs through which prefix advertisement has passed: e.g., AS 67, AS 17
 - **NEXT-HOP**: indicates specific internal-AS router to next-hop AS.
(may be multiple links from current AS to next-hop-AS)
- ❖ gateway router receiving route advertisement uses **import policy** to accept/decline
 - e.g., never route through AS x
 - *policy-based* routing


BGP route selection

- ❖ router may learn about more than 1 route to destination AS, selects route based on:
 1. local preference value attribute: policy decision
 2. shortest AS-PATH
 3. closest NEXT-HOP router: hot potato routing
 4. additional criteria

BGP messages


- ❖ BGP messages exchanged between peers over TCP connection
- ❖ BGP messages:
 - **OPEN:** opens TCP connection to peer and authenticates sender
 - **UPDATE:** advertises new path (or withdraws old)
 - **KEEPALIVE:** keeps connection alive in absence of UPDATES; also ACKs OPEN request
 - **NOTIFICATION:** reports errors in previous msg; also used to close connection

BGP routing policy



- ❖ A,B,C are **provider networks**
- ❖ X,W,Y are customer (of provider networks)
- ❖ X is **dual-homed**: attached to two networks
 - X does not want to route from B via X to C
 - .. so X will not advertise to B a route to C

BGP routing policy (2)



- ❖ A advertises path AW to B
- ❖ B advertises path BAW to X
- ❖ Should B advertise path BAW to C?
 - No way! B gets no “revenue” for routing CBAW since neither W nor C are B’s customers
 - B wants to force C to route to w via A
 - B wants to route *only* to/from its customers!

Why different Intra- and Inter-AS routing ?

Policy:

- ❖ Inter-AS: admin wants control over how its traffic routed, who routes through its net.
- ❖ Intra-AS: single admin, so no policy decisions needed

Scale:

- ❖ hierarchical routing saves table size, reduced update traffic

Performance:

- ❖ Intra-AS: can focus on performance
- ❖ Inter-AS: policy may dominate over performance

Lesson 15: summary

The Routing algorithms we studied in Lesson 14 are used in the internet protocols

- RIP - distance vector
- OSPF – Link state and Hierarchical routing
- eBGP - Hierarchical routing across AS's
- iBGP – routing within a single AS to determine path to the AS boundary