Interactive API Migration Framework

Krishna Narasimhan, Christoph Reichenbach Goethe University, Frankfurt krishna.nm86@gmail.com, creichen@gmail.com Julia Lawall
INRIA, Paris
Julia.Lawall@lip6.fr

Abstract

This is the text of the abstract.

Categories and Subject Descriptors CR-number [subcategory]: third-level

General Terms term1, term2

Keywords keyword1, keyword2

1. Introduction

As source code evolves, large scale transformations are unavoidable. These can be a result of the need to introduce a new API, upgrade usages of existing API, perform code optimizations or simply do a code clean-up. Current support for such transformations come in the form of refactorings from Integrated Development environments, transformation languages or simply domain specific libraries. A scenario that occurs frequently during code evolution is migration of types, either to exploit enhanced capabilities as part of a library, or simply getting rid of a datatype that is hard to manage relative to the goals of the software. Examples of them include migrating from single precision numeric types to multiple precision types by introducing the GMP library, or explicitly vectorizing arrays and loops by introducing the VC library, or simply migrating usages of character pointer to strings. Transformations of such nature are generally not simple cut and replace of method calls or declarations. They require non-linear tracking of dependencies, transforming code belonging to different kinds of syntactic classes and tracking information between transformations. Partially performing such transformations could potentially result in semantically and/or syntactically broken code. Consider the scenario of explicitly vectorizing a array of struct of floats using the VC API. The VC API provides a custom type called float_v, which is a float vector, with capability to hold more than one float, depending on the hardware implementation. Transforming the array of struct of floats would involve transforming the individual members of the struct definition into float vectors, using the information about the member types to construct a new reduced size for the array and transforming all usages of the array object if possible. Similar problems of dependency tracking and propagating information across transformations exist even with the other examples we take motivation from. The existing refactorings in IDEs are limited by the fixed features of the environment and can only be triggered one at a time.

For example, Eclipse supports a fixed set of refactorings like "Extract function" or "Rename method". IDE refactorings do not support expressing pattern matching and rewriting of different syntactic classes. It would require the developer to write extensive scripts to even trigger multiple refactorings in a specific order. Transformation languages like Stratego/XT and Coccinelle allow specifying transformation rules to express generic patterns matches and code transformations. Stratego/XT uses term rewriting and strategies to express the transformations. Strategies are simply combinations of term rewriting rules. Although Stratego/Xt is very powerful, it is limited when it comes to propagating information between rules, as is required when expressing the transformation of the array of structs in the VC example. Coccinelle allows elegant expression of code fragment rewriting in the form of diffs and permits propagating information between rules with rule parameters that can be updated within the rules and queried by other rules. But, Coccinelle does not support search through dependencies. We propose to design a language that can be used to express pattern matching and rewriting rules in the form of term equivalences. We specify how rules can be written in the language and introduce features that can be used to express propagating information across rules. The user can trigger a tool by providing a starting point, which is a combination of a code location and a set of rules (specifications) written in the language. The tool would attempt to apply a rule or ask the user if multiple rules can be applied to the location. The tool would then look through the dependencies of the transformed code and suggest applicable rules for those code locations. The process continues till the all code dependencies are exhausted or if the user chooses to stop the application of rules. The motivation of such a language and complementary tool is as follows:

- The expert user who writes the specifications has the freedom to write only partial specifications, which he can later update.
- The end user can use any set of specifications and has the freedom to choose where and how far to apply them and how much to manually transform.

The tool can provide an option to roll back if the user is not happy with the state of transformations.

2. Motivating Examples

2.1 Using the GMP library to transform a single precision factorial into a multi precision factorial

Consider the scenario of converting a function that computes the factorial of a given integer **Figure 1** to be able to return a multiprecision numerical using the GNU's GMP library. Here is a sample before and after code of such a scenario. The main steps a developer going from int to multiprecision integer would do are:

• Flag the return value, find it's initialization and transform the initialization **int p = 1**. He would then need to use two function calls to transform the initialization into a vector version.

```
int fact(int n){
  int i;
  int p = 1;
  for (i=1; i <= n ; ++i){
    p = p * i;
  }
  return p;
}

mpz_t fact(int n){
  int i;
  mpz_t p;
  mpz_init_set_ui(p,1);
  for (i=1; i <= n ; ++i){
    mpz_mul_ui(p,p,i);
  }
  return p;
}</pre>
```

Figure 1. Integer to BigInteger conversion using GMP

- Search for usages of this newly transformed value and transform it's operations to reflect multi precision usages. In the above case, the developer would need to convert the assignment p = p *i; into mpz_mul_ui(p,p,i);
- Change the return type to reflect the type of the new value being returned.

The two key features an automation framework would require to support transformations are: Ability to support expressing transformation rules for pattern matching and rewriting different syntactic classes. Ability to walk through dependencies of transformations performed and then trigger transformation rules on the dependencies, if applicable and roll back the whole transformation, if no transformation rules are applicable.

2.2 Introducing the VC API

Consider another scenario where c++ code undergoes explicit vectorization using an API called VC 2. This api provides vector versions of primitive types and corresponding operations. Here is a simple example of a code undergoing transformation from scalar to a VC version. The developer would need to perform many steps in order to transform the code in the left hand side to the one in the right hand side. Much of the steps and the features required can be categorized into one of the two features we listed with the example above. But the interesting bits are:

- The developer would need to analyse the type of aobj , and transform the definition of the struct A, as it comprises only of float members.
- This transformation of the size of the array aobj also involves collecting the information about the type of the members inside the struct, float and using the vc version of it to construct the new size.
- Similarly, the user would also need to collect the information about the type of all array indexed elements inside the array in order to transform the limit of the for statement.

The key feature that can be extracted out of the above listed steps is:

 Ability to collect information from one transformation and pass it on to transformations in other parts of the code.

3. Features in the envisioned Language

We summarize the features that have been extracted out of the analysis of the two api migration examples.

- 1. Pattern matching and code rewriting
- 2. Automatic search of dependencies of the transformations
- 3. Information propagation across transformation patterns

We propose to use the following language features to support the generic features that we extracted out of the examples.

- Pattern matching and rewriting Transformation rules in the form of equivalences
- 2. Information propagation across rules Tags
- 3. Search through dependencies Implicit

In order to understand how the language introduces the equivalences and tags, let us look at the transformation of struct example **Figure 3**.

In the example specification at **Figure 4**, the first line is an example of a tag declaration. The tag, called vctype can be used to propagate a type information. The block B is an example of an pattern match and rewriting rule. It matches a declaration of a struct definition with size sandbodybody. It is rewritten into a new struct definition, with the rewrite rules on the right hand side of === sign.

The scope vc_struct defines the rules that must be applied on each statement declaring a member type which is either an int or a float. It also populates the vc version of the type of the member declaration, if it matches with the existing value of vctype. This is referenced and used in the transformation of the size. The vc_struct also transforms the member declarations into the new vc types.

A. Appendix Title

This is the text of the appendix, if you need one.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments, if needed.

References

P. Q. Smith, and X. Y. Jones. ...reference text...

2 2016/3/8

```
struct Av
struct A
    int x;
                                                         int xv;
Á aobj[1000];
                                                     Av aobjv[1000/float_v::size];
struct B
                                                     struct Bv
    int y;
                                                         int yv;
B bobj[1000];
                                                     Bv bobjv[1000/float_v::size];
void fn()
                                                     void fn()
   for (int i = 0; i < 1000; i + +)
                                                        for (int i = 0; i < 1000/float_v :: size; <math>i + + )
         float temp = aobj[i].x;
                                                              float_v tempv = aobjv[i].xv;
         bobj[i].y = std::sqrt(temp);
                                                              bobjv[i].yv = vc :: sqrt(tempv);
   }
```

Figure 2. Introducing VC

```
struct A
{
   int x;
}
A aobj[1000];

struct Av
{
   int xv;
}
Av aobjv[1000/float_v::size];
```

Figure 3. Introducing VC

Figure 4. Specification sample

3

2016/3/8