Lecture 5: Determining Sample Size

Montgomery: Section 3.7 and 13.4

Choice of Sample Size: Fixed Effects

- Can determine the sample size for
 - Overall F test
 - Contrasts of interest
- For simplicity, typically assume n_i 's constant, i.e.,

$$n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_a = n$$

- Recall
 - Type I error rate: lpha= P(Reject $H_0|H_0)$
 - Type II error rate: $\beta = \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{Accept}\, H_0|H_1)$
 - Power = P(Reject $H_0|H_1$) = $1-\beta$
- Need to know
 - Test Statistics
 - Distr. of test statistics under $H_0 \Longrightarrow \text{Reject Region}$ (for given α)
 - Distr. of test statistics under $H_1 \Longrightarrow$ power = P(Reject Region | H_1)

Determining Power for ${\cal F}$ Test

- $\bullet \ \alpha = \Pr(F_0 > F_{\alpha, a-1, N-a} | H_0)$
- $\bullet \ \beta = \Pr(F_0 < F_{\alpha, a-1, N-a} | H_1)$
- ullet Need to know distribution of F_0 when H_1 is true
 - Can show $F_0 = \mathrm{MS_{Trt}}/\mathrm{MS_E} \sim F_{a-1,N-a}(\delta)$
 - $\delta = n \sum \tau_i^2/\sigma^2$ (non-centrality parameter)
- Recall E(MS $_{\mathrm{Trt}}$)= $\sigma^2+n\sum \tau_i^2/(a-1)$
 - $\delta = \{E(MS_{Trt}) E(MS_{E})\} \times df_{Trt}/E(MS_{E})$
- Need to specify $\{\tau_i\}$ (Note the zero-sum constraint: $\sum_{i=1}^a \tau_i = 0$)
- Power will vary for different choices

Power Calculation for F Test

- Given α, a , and n, can determine $F_{\alpha, a-1, N-a}$
- ullet Given some value of δ , can use noncentral F to compute power
 - In SAS, use function PROBF
 - Power=1-PROBF($F_{\alpha,a-1,N-a}$,a-1,N-a, δ)
- Montgomery: OCC given in Chart V
 - Plots β vs Φ
 - $\Phi^2 = \delta/a = n \sum \tau_i^2/(a\sigma^2)$
 - Can use charts to determine power or sample size

Methods to Determine δ or Φ^2

- 1. Choose treatment means $(\mu + \tau_i)$
 - Solve for $\{ au_i\}$ and compute Φ^2 or δ
 - Difficult to know what means to select
- 2. Take a mimimum difference approach
 - Suppose there exists a pair of (i,j) such that $| au_i au_j| \geq D$
 - The minimum value: $\Phi^2=nD^2/(2a\sigma^2)$ (e.g., $\{ au_i\}=\{-D/2,0,\dots,0,D/2\}$)
 - Power of test is at least $1-\beta$
- 3. Specify a standard deviation increase in percentage (P)
 - Under H_1 , variance of a randomly chosen y_i is $\sigma_y^2 = \sigma^2 + \sum au_i^2/a$
 - Randomly chosen au_i has mean 0 and variance $\sum au_i^2/a$

-
$$P = \left(\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sum \tau_i^2/a}/\sigma - 1\right) \times 100$$

$$- \delta = an\{(1 + .01P)^2 - 1\}$$

$$-\Phi^2 = n\{(1+.01P)^2 - 1\}$$

Power Calculation for Specific Contrast

- ullet Often with an experiment, a researcher is primarily interested in just a few comparisons or contrasts. In these cases, it can be preferable to determine sample size for these rather than the overall F test.
- This reduces problem back to the t test situation
- Need to determine
 - Difference of importance
 - Standard error of comparison
- May want/need to adjust for multiple comparisons
- Montgomery describes confidence interval approach
 - Consider pairwise difference in treatment means
 - Specify length of $(1-\alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval
 - Length/2 = $t_{\alpha/2,N-a}\sqrt{\frac{2\mathrm{MS_E}}{n}}$
 - Based on the choice of MS $_{
 m E}$, find n

Example 3.1 – Etch Rate (Page 75)

- Consider new experiment to investigate 5 RF power settings equally spaced between 180 and 200 W
- Wants to determine sample size to detect a mean difference of D=30 (Å/min) with 80% power
- Will use Example 3.1 estimates to determine new sample size

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = 333.7, D = 30, \text{ and } \alpha = .05$$

• Using Table V : $\Phi^2 = 900 \times n/(2 \times 5 \times 333.7) \approx .27 \times n$

n	9	10	11
Φ	$\sqrt{2.43} \approx 1.56$	$\sqrt{2.70} \approx 1.64$	$\sqrt{3.0} \approx 1.72$
df_{E}	40	45	50
eta	26%	20%	15%
power	74%	80%	85%

Using SAS : $\delta = a\Phi^2$

```
data new; a=5; alpha=.05; d=30; var=333.7;
    do n=5 to 15;
        df = a*(n-1);
        nc = n*d*d/(2*var);
        fcut = finv(1-alpha, a-1, df);
        beta = probf(fcut, a-1, df, nc);
        power = 1-beta; output;
    end;
proc print;
    var n df nc beta power; run;
Obs
             df
                                  beta
                       nc
                                             power
        n
              20
                     6.7426
                                0.57654
                                            0.42346
  1
              25
                     8.0911
                                0.47884
                                            0.52116
        6
  3
              30
                     9.4396
                                0.39034
                                           0.60966
              35
                    10.7881
                                0.31289
                                            0.68711
  4
        8
                    12.1366
                                0.24703
  5
        9
              40
                                           0.75297
  6
       10
                    13.4852
                                0.19234
                                           0.80766 ***n=10 needed
              45
              50
                    14.8337
                                0.14788
                                            0.85212
       11
       12
              55
                    16.1822
                                0.11239
                                           0.88761
  8
       13
              60
                    17.5307
                                0.08451
                                            0.91549
                                0.06292
 10
       14
              65
                    18.8792
                                           0.93708
       15
              70
                    20.2277
                                0.04641
                                            0.95359
 11
```

Dabao Zhang

- Compare all pairs and detect any difference more than 30 (Å/min) with 80% power
- ullet A pairwise comparison takes $t_0 = (\bar{Y}_{i.} \bar{Y}_{k.})/\sqrt{2 \text{MSE}/n}$
- ullet Consider Tukey's adjustment: reject when $|t_0|>q_{.05}(5,df_{
 m E})/\sqrt{2}$
- $t_0 \stackrel{H_1}{\sim} t_{df_{\rm E}}(\delta)$ with $\delta = (\mu_i \mu_k)/\sqrt{2\sigma^2/n}$,
- Use PROBMC in SAS to get the quantile for multiple comparisons

```
data new1; a=5; alpha=.05; var=333.7; d=30;
    do n=8 to 12;
        df = a*(n-1); nc = d/sqrt(var*2/n);
        /* crit = tinv(1-alpha/2,df); /* LSD approach*/
        crit = probmc("range",.,1-alpha,df,a)/sqrt(2); /*Tukey*/
        power=1-probt(crit, df, nc) + probt(-crit, df, nc); output;
    end;
proc print; var n df power; run;
Obs
             df
                    power
        n
             35
                   0.65814
        9
                   0.73085
             40
       10
             45
                   0.79139
                   0.84057
  4
             50
                            *** 11 replicates needed here
       11
       12
             55
                   0.87971
  5
```

- Interested in comparing each setting to 200 W, and detect a difference more than 30 (Å/min) with 80% power
- ullet A pairwise comparison takes $t_0 = (\bar{Y}_{i.} \bar{Y}_{c.})/\sqrt{2 \text{MSE}/n}$
- Consider using Dunnett's adjustment: reject when $|t_0| > d_{.05}(4, df_{\rm E})$

```
• t_0 \stackrel{H_1}{\sim} t_{df_E}(\delta) with \delta = (\mu_i - \mu_k)/\sqrt{2\sigma^2/n}, data new1; a=5; alpha=.05; var=333.7; d=30; do n=7 to 12; df = a*(n-1); nc = d/sqrt(var*2/n); crit = probmc("dunnett2",.,1-alpha,df,a-1); /*Two-Sided Dunnett*/power=1-probt(crit,df,nc)+probt(-crit,df,nc); output; end; proc print; var n df power; run;
```

```
Obs
             df
                     power
        n
                    0.68794
             30
                    0.76201
             35
  3
                    0.82136
        9
             40
                             ***Only 9 replicates needed here
       10
                    0.86780
  4
             45
             50
                    0.90341
       11
  6
       12
             55
                    0.93024
```

Use of PROC POWER in SAS

ullet PROC POWER and PROC GLMPOWER both calculate $\sum_{i=1}^a au_i^2$ using pre-specified treatment means μ_i

Method Exact
Group Means -15 0 0 0 15
Standard Deviation 18.27
Nominal Power 0.8
Alpha 0.05

```
Computed N Per Group

Actual Power N Per Group

0.808 10
```

• Neither PROC POWER nor PROC GLMPOWER can easily do multiple comparison adjustment

```
proc power;
  onewayanova test=contrast power=.80 npergroup=. stddev=18.27
      groupmeans = -15|0|0|0|15
      contrast = (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ -1);
run; quit;
  Single DF Contrast in One-Way ANOVA
      Fixed Scenario Elements
Method
                               Exact
Contrast Coefficients 1 0 0 0 -1
Group Means -15 0 0 0 15
Standard Deviation
                              18.27
Nominal Power
                                 0.8
Number of Sides
Null Contrast Value
                                   ()
                                0.05
Alpha
Computed N Per Group
Actual Power
                 N Per Group
      0.844
```

Use of PROC GLMPOWER in SAS

```
data trtmeans; input trt resp @@; datalines;
1 -15 2 0 3 0 4 0 5 15
;

proc glmpower data=trtmeans;
  class trt;
  model resp = trt;
  contrast 'trt1 vs. trt5' trt 1 0 0 0 -1;
  power stddev=18.27 alpha=0.05 ntotal=. power=0.8;
run; quit;
```

Fixed Scenario Elements

Dependent Variable resp
Alpha 0.05
Error Standard Deviation 18.27
Nominal Power 0.8

Computed N Total

Index	Type	Source	Test DF	Error DF	Actual Power	N Total
1	Effect	trt	4	45	0.808	50
2	Contrast	trt1 vs. trt5	1	30	0.844	35

Dabao Zhang Lecture 5 – Page 13

Choice of Sample Size: Random Effects

- Can use central F distribution
 - (N-a)MS $_{
 m E}/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{N-a}$
 - (a-1)MS $_{\mathrm{Trt}}$ / $(\sigma^2+n\sigma_{\tau}^2)\sim\chi_{a-1}^2$
 - Thus $F_0/\lambda^2 \sim F_{a-1,N-a}$, where $\lambda^2 = \frac{E(\mathrm{MS_{Trt}})}{E(\mathrm{MS_E})} = 1 + n\sigma_{\tau}^2/\sigma^2$
 - Power: $P(F_0 > F_{\alpha,a-1,N-a} | \sigma_{\tau}^2 > 0) = P(F > F_{\alpha,a-1,N-a} / \lambda^2)$
 - Can specify ratio of $\sigma_{ au}^2/\sigma^2$
 - Can specify percentage increase $P = (\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma_\tau^2}/\sigma 1) \times 100$
- OCC given in Chart VI
 - Plots β vs λ
- Use SAS function PROBE
 - power = 1-PROBF($F_{\alpha,a-1,N-a}/\lambda^2$,a-1,N-a)

Example: Batch Example on Slide 8 of Lecture 4

- Consider new experiment with 5 batches: a random effects problem
 - The variance estimate is $\sigma^2=1.8$
 - Desire to detect situation when $\sigma_{\tau}^2 \geq 3.6 = 2\sigma^2$
 - Set power at 80% and $\alpha=.05$
- $\bullet \,$ Using Table VI : $\lambda = \sqrt{1+2n}$

n	3	4	5
λ	$\sqrt{7} \approx 2.65$	$\sqrt{9} = 3$	$\sqrt{11} \approx 3.32$
df_{E}	10	15	20
eta	28%	18%	15%
power	72%	82%	85%

• Appears n=4 gives appropriate power

Using SAS

```
data new; a = 5; alpha=.05; ratiovar=2.0;
    do n=2 to 10;
        df = a * (n-1);
        lambdasq = 1+ratiovar*n;
        fcut = finv(1-alpha, a-1, df);
        beta=probf(fcut/lambdasq,a-1,df);
        power = 1-beta; output;
    end;
proc print;
    var n beta power; run;
Obs
                beta
        n
                            power
 1
             0.52933
                          0.47067
             0.26112
                          0.73888
 3
        4
             0.15292
                          0.84708
                                    ** n=4 gives the power
        5
             0.10027
                          0.89973
 4
             0.07081
                          0.92919
        6
             0.05267
                          0.94733
 6
             0.04072
                          0.95928
 8
        9
             0.03242
                          0.96758
       10
             0.02643
                          0.97357
```

Dabao Zhang