EE4015 Assignment-1 Presentation

Krishna Srikar Durbha (EE18BTECH11014)

25th August 2021

Consider the following ANSI C function:

```
int SomeFunction(int x, int y){  \begin{tabular}{ll} if $((x=1) \mid | (y=1))$ return $1$; \\ if $(x=y)$ return $x$; \\ if $(x>y)$ return SomeFunction(x-y, y); \\ if $(x<y)$ return SomeFunction(x, y-x); \\ \end{tabular}
```

The value of returned by SomeFunction(15,255) is ______

Euclidean Algorithm by Subtraction I

Euclidean Algorithm is a recursive method of finding Greatest Common Divisor of 2 numbers. For some positive integers a and b, it works by repeatedly subtracting the smaller number from the larger one until they become equal. At this point, the value of either term is the greatest common divisor of our inputs.

Algorithm:

Step-1: If a = b, then return the value of a

Step-2: Otherwise, if a > b then let a = a - b and return to Step-1

Step-3: Otherwise, if a < b, then let b = b - a and return to Step-1

Proof:

Proof involves proving that, subtracting between a and b doesn't change GCD. Let a, b be 2 positive integers such that gcd(a,b)=m and a>b. So, it can be written as,

$$a = a_1 \times m \tag{1}$$

$$b = b_1 \times m \tag{2}$$

$$gcd(a,b) = m \implies gcd(a_1,b_1) = 1 \tag{3}$$

Euclidean Algorithm by Subtraction II

We need to prove that gcd(a-b,b)=m. We will prove it by contradiction. Let gcd(a-b,b)=M where $M>m \implies k \neq 1$

$$a-b=(a_1-b_1)\times m \tag{4}$$

$$b = b_1 \times m \tag{5}$$

$$gcd(a - b, b) = M \implies M = k \times m \text{ (For some integer } k)$$
 (6)

$$a - b \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$$
 and $b \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$ (7)

$$\implies a - b \equiv 0 \pmod{km} \text{ and } b \equiv 0 \pmod{km}$$
 (8)

$$\implies a_1 - b_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \text{ and } b_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \tag{9}$$

$$\implies a_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \text{ and } b_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$$
 (10)

We know that $gcd(a_1,b_1)=1$, so there doesn't exist a $M\neq m$ such that gcd(a-b,b)=M. So, from contradiction, gcd(a,b)=gcd(a-b,b)=M for a>b. Worst Case Time-Complexity is $\mathcal{O}(a+b)$.

Euclidean Algorithm by Subtraction III

So, the solution to the given question is:

$$f(15,255) = f(15,240) = f(15,225) = f(15,210)$$

$$= f(15,195) = f(15,180) = f(15,165) = f(15,150)$$

$$= f(15,135) = f(15,120) = f(15,105) = f(15,90)$$

$$= f(15,75) = f(15,60) = f(15,45) = f(15,30)$$

$$= f(15,15) = 1$$

Complexity Analysis of Euclidean Algorithm by Subtraction I

Let a > b and T(n) denote time complexity of gcd(a, b) where n = a + b. Then,

$$T(n) = 1 + T(n-b) \tag{11}$$

$$T(n-b) = 1 + T(n-2b)$$
 if $a > 2b$ (12)

$$T(n-b) = 1 + T(n-a-b)$$
 if $b < a < 2b$ (13)

On assuming $n > (x_1a + x_2b)$ for some $x_1, x_2, T(n)$ can be written as:

$$T(n) = k + T(n - x_1 a - x_2 b)$$
 (For $k = x_1 + x_2$) (14)

No.of steps vary linearly with n=a+b. So, in the worst-case scenerio the algorithm performs a+b subtractions. Hence Worst Case Time-Complexity for calculating GCD of a and b using Euclidean Algorithm by Subtraction is $\mathcal{O}(a+b)$.

Complexity Analysis of Euclidean Algorithm by Subtraction II




Figure: Plot of Worst-Case Time Complexity of Euclidean Algorithm by Subtraction

Euclidean Algorithm by Division I

Euclidean Algorithm by Division involves divison rather than subtraction. For some positive integers a and b, $gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, a \mod b)$. We repeat the procedure until convergence.

Let a, b be 2 positive integers such that a>b. By applying Euclid's Algorithm from 0^{th} -step ,

$$a = q_0 b + r_0 \tag{15}$$

$$b = q_1 r_0 + r_1 \tag{16}$$

$$r_0 = q_2 r_1 + r_2 (17)$$

$$r_1 = q_3 r_2 + r_3 \dots (18)$$

Here a > b, $b > r_0$, $r_0 > r_1$, $r_1 > r_2$.. and so on. So, remainders are decreasing after each step.

Euclidean Algorithm by Division II

Let at n^{th} -step $r_{n-2} = q_n r_{n-1}$ i.e $r_n = 0$.

$$r_{n-2} = q_n r_{n-1} (19)$$

$$r_{n-3} = q_{n-1}r_{n-2} + r_{n-1} (20)$$

$$\implies r_{n-1} \text{ divides } r_{n-2}, r_{n-3}, r_{n-4}, ..., r_1, r_0, b, a$$
 (21)

$$\implies a \equiv 0 \pmod{r_{n-1}} \text{ and } b \equiv 0 \pmod{r_{n-1}}$$
 (22)

So, the proof goes as $gcd(a,b)=r_{n-1}$. We will prove it by contraction. Let $gcd(a,b)=M \implies M>r_{n-1}$,

$$a = a_1 \times M \text{ and } b = b_1 \times M$$
 (23)

$$r_0 = a - q_0 b = M(a_1 - q_0 b_1)$$
 (24)

$$r_1 = b - q_1 r_0 = M(b_1 - a_1 + q_0 b_1)$$
 (25)

Euclidean Algorithm by Division III

So, M divides $a, b, r_0, r_1, ...$ and so on all the following remainders. So, M should divide r_{n-1} , which implies $r_{n-1} \ge M$ which is a contraction from $M > r_{n-1}$.

So, there doesn't exist a $M > r_{n-1}$ which is a divisor of a and b. So, $gcd(a, b) = r_{n-1}$.

So, the solution the given question using Euclidean Algorithm by Divsion is:

$$gcd(15, 255) = gcd(255, 15) = gcd(15, 0) = 15$$



Complexity Analysis of Euclidean Algorithm by Division I

Let f_n denote elements in Pingala Sequence starting from n=0 where $f_0=0, f_1=1, f_2=1,...$ and so on. Elements of the sequence can be written as follows:

The above equations are similar to equations in Euclidean Algorithm by Division i.e from (15) to (19). Hence it can be proved that $gcd(f_{n+2}, f_{n+1}) = f_2 = 1$ and takes n steps to converge.

Complexity Analysis of Euclidean Algorithm by Division II

If gcd(a, b) where a > b takes n steps to converge by using Euclidean Algorithm by Division, then $a \ge f_{n+2}$ and $b \ge f_{n+1}$.

Proof by Mathematical Induction:

Let a=2 and b=1. Then, gcd(2,1)=1 takes 1 step to converge. $a\geq f_3=2$ and $b\geq f_2=1$. Assuming statements hold true at $n-1^{th}$ step, gcd(b,a%b) takes n-1 steps to converge.

$$b \ge f_{n+1} \text{ and } a\%b \ge f_n \tag{26}$$

$$a = q_0 b + a\%b \tag{27}$$

$$a \ge b + a\%b \tag{28}$$

$$a \ge f_{n+1} + f_n \implies a \ge f_{n+2} \tag{29}$$

$$\implies a \ge f_{n+2} \text{ and } b \ge f_{n+1}$$
 (30)

Hence proved.

Complexity Analysis of Euclidean Algorithm by Division III

Let gcd(a, b) takes n steps to converge. Then,

$$a \ge f_{n+2} \tag{31}$$

$$b \ge f_{n+1} \tag{32}$$

$$f_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \right) \tag{33}$$

$$\phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \tag{34}$$


$$f_n \approx \phi^n$$
 (35)

$$b \approx \phi^{n+1} \tag{36}$$

$$n \approx \log_{\phi} \left(\min(a, b) \right) \tag{37}$$

So, in the worst-case scenerio the algorithm performs $n \approx \log_{\phi} \left(\min(a,b) \right)$ divisons. Hence, Worst Case Time-Complexity for calculating GCD of a and b using Euclidean Algorithm by Division is $\mathcal{O}(\log \min(a,b))$.

Complexity Analysis of Euclidean Algorithm by Division IV



Comparison of Algorithms: I

The following example illustrates the difference in no.of steps between Euclidean Algorithm by Subtraction and Euclidean Algorithm by Divison. To find GCD of two numbers 24 and 92.

By Euclid's Subtraction,

$$f(24,92) = f(24,68) = f(24,44) = f(24,20)$$
$$= f(4,20) = f(4,16) = f(4,12) = f(4,8)$$
$$= f(4,4) = 4$$

By Euclid's Division,

$$f(24,92) = f(92,24) = f(24,20) = f(20,4)$$

= $f(4,0) = 4$

The difference in no.of steps indicates the performance improvement of Euclidean Algorithm by Division over Subtraction.

Comparison of Algorithms: II

a, b	N_	$T_{-}(\mu s)$	N _%	T _% (μs)
319, 50	14	14.781	8	8.821
453, 369	14	15.974	7	8.106
263, 810	18	18.596	6	6.675
243, 929	18	19.550	9	9.775
508, 609	41	56.505	6	8.583

Comparison of no.of steps and time-taken to execute between teh algorithms Euclidean Algorithm by Subtraction and Euclidean Algorithm by Division.

Flow Diagrams I




Figure: Flowchart of Euclidean Algorithm by Subtraction

Flow Diagrams II

