

BST in C++

```
#include <bits/stdc++.h>
```

```
using namespace std;
```

```
struct Node {
    int key, lcount;
    Node *left, *right;

    Node(int item) {
        key = item;
        left = nullptr;
        right = nullptr;
        lcount = 0;
    }
};
```

```
Node* insertRec(Node* root, int key) {
    if (root == nullptr) {
        return new Node(key);
    }
    if (key < root->key) {
        root->left = insertRec(root->left, key);
        root->lcount++;
    }
    if (key > root->key) {
        root->right = insertRec(root->right, key);
    }
    return root;
}
```

```
void inorder(Node* root) {
    if (root == nullptr) {
        return;
    }
    inorder(root->left);
    cout << root->key << " ";
    inorder(root->right);
}
```

```
bool searchRec(Node* root, int x) {
    if (root == nullptr) {
        return false;
    }
    if (x == root->key) {
        return true;
    }
    if (x < root->key) {
        return searchRec(root->left, x);
    }
    return searchRec(root->right, x);
}
```

```
bool searchIterative(Node* root, int x) {
    Node* curr = root;
    while (curr != nullptr) {
        if (x == curr->key) {
            return true;
        }
        if (x < curr->key) {
            curr = curr->left;
        }
    }
}
```

Absolutely! Let's do a **dry run using a detailed table** for your given code. We'll use the input:

```
int data[] = {50, 30, 20, 40, 70, 60, 80};
```

We'll perform a **step-by-step dry run** showing:

1. **Insertion**
2. **lcount updates**
3. **Inorder Traversal**
4. **kthSmallest(4) logic**
5. **floor(58) and ceiling(58)**
6. **findPairWithSum(70)**



1. Insertion and lcount Updates

Step	Inserted Value	Tree Structure After Insert	Updated lcount
1	50	50	-
2	30	50 ← 30 (left)	50.lcount = 1
3	20	50 ← 30 ← 20 (left-left)	30.lcount = 1 50.lcount = 2
4	40	50 ← 30 → 40 (right)	50.lcount = 2
5	70	50 → 70	-
6	60	50 → 70 ← 60	70.lcount = 1
7	80	50 → 70 → 80	-

🌲 Final BST Structure

```

      50
     /  \
    30   70
   / \  / \
  20 40 60 80
```

🔄 2. Inorder Traversal (inorder())

Step	Visited Node	Output So Far
1	20	20
2	30	20 30
3	40	20 30 40
4	50	20 30 40 50
5	60	20 30 40 50 60
6	70	20 30 40 50 60 70
7	80	20 30 40 50 60 70 80

```

    else {
        curr = curr->right;
    }
}
return false;
}

Node* kthSmallestNode(Node* root, int k) {
    if (root == nullptr) {
        return nullptr;
    }
    int count = root->lcount + 1;
    if (count == k) {
        return root;
    }
    if (count > k) {
        return kthSmallestNode(root->left, k);
    }
    return kthSmallestNode(root->right, k - count);
}

int kthSmallest(Node* root, int k) {
    Node* result = kthSmallestNode(root, k);
    return result ? result->key : -1;
}

Node* floor(Node* root, int x) {
    Node* res = nullptr;
    while (root != nullptr) {
        if (x == root->key) {
            return root;
        }
        else if (x < root->key) {
            root = root->left;
        }
        else {
            res = root;
            root = root->right;
        }
    }
    return res;
}

Node* ceiling(Node* root, int x) {
    Node* res = nullptr;
    while (root != nullptr) {
        if (x == root->key) {
            return root;
        }
        else if (x < root->key) {
            res = root;
            root = root->left;
        }
        else {
            root = root->right;
        }
    }
    return res;
}

int floorValue(Node* root, int x) {
    Node* result = floor(root, x);

```

☞ 3. kthSmallest(root, 4)

Goal: Find the 4th smallest element

Node	lcount	Count (lcount + 1)	k	Decision
50	2	3	4	Go to right with k = 1
70	1	2	1	Go to left with k = 1
60	0	1	1	Match found: return 60 ✕

Wait! That's not correct.

Hold up! Actually, **lcount of 50 is 2**, so:

- Elements smaller than 50 = 2 (from its left)
- k = 4: so we're looking for the 4th smallest

Steps:

- 50 has 2 nodes on left → total = 3 including itself.
- So **4th smallest** must be in **right subtree with k = 4 - 3 = 1**
- Move to 70 (right)
 - 70.lcount = 1 ⇒ count = 2
 - k = 1 < count ⇒ Go to left subtree with k = 1
 - Left of 70 = 60, lcount = 0 → count = 1 ⇒ Found!

✓ **Answer: 4th smallest = 60**

Oops! Wait—this reveals a mistake! lcount of root is being updated incorrectly in your code!

Let's correct the dry run:

Correct tree lcount:

Node	lcount
50	2
30	1
70	1

- So root's left subtree (30) has 2 nodes (20, 40)
- But root's lcount = **number of nodes in left subtree**: that's 3 (20, 30, 40) → so it should be **3**!

```

return result ? result->key : -1;
}

int ceilingValue(Node* root, int x) {
    Node* result = ceiling(root, x);
    return result ? result->key : -1;
}

bool isBST(Node* root, int min, int max) {
    if (root == nullptr) {
        return true;
    }
    return (root->key > min && root->key < max &&
            isBST(root->left, min, root->key) &&
            isBST(root->right, root->key, max));
}

bool isPairSum(Node* root, int sum,
unordered_set<int>& s) {
    if (root == nullptr)
        return false;
    if (isPairSum(root->left, sum, s)) {
        return true;
    }
    if (s.find(sum - root->key) != s.end()) {
        return true;
    }
    s.insert(root->key);
    return isPairSum(root->right, sum, s);
}

bool findPairWithSum(Node* root, int sum) {
    unordered_set<int> s;
    return isPairSum(root, sum, s);
}

int main() {
    Node* root = nullptr;

    int data[] = {50, 30, 20, 40, 70, 60, 80};
    for (int i : data) {
        root = insertRec(root, i);
    }

    cout << "Inorder traversal: ";
    inorder(root);
    cout << "\n";

    cout << "80 present? " << (searchRec(root, 80) ?
    "Yes" : "No") << "\n";
    cout << "90 present? " << (searchRec(root, 90) ?
    "Yes" : "No") << "\n";

    cout << "81 present? " << (searchIterative(root,
    81) ? "Yes" : "No") << "\n";
    cout << "Is BST? " << (isBST(root, INT_MIN,
    INT_MAX) ? "Yes" : "No") << "\n";

    cout << "Floor of 58? " << floorValue(root, 58) <<
    "\n";
    cout << "Ceiling of 58? " << ceilingValue(root, 58)
    << "\n";

```

So in your code, lcount is **not correctly reflecting total left-subtree size**, just how many **insertions to left** occurred.

So fix that if needed! Otherwise, in this logic: ✓
kthSmallest(root, 4) returns **50**

4. Floor and Ceiling of 58

Traversal Path	Comparison	Action	Floor	Ceiling
Start @ 50	58 > 50	go right	50	-
Go to 70	58 < 70	go left	50	70
Go to 60	58 < 60	go left	50	60
Left of 60 = ∅	End		50	60

✓ Floor = 50, Ceiling = 60

5. Pair Sum = 70 (using unordered_set)

Traversal happens **inorder**. Let's walk through:

Current Node	Needed = 70 - x	Set (s)	Found Pair?
20	50	{20}	No
30	40	{20, 30}	No
40	30	{20, 30, 40}	✓ Yes

✓ Found 40 + 30 = 70

✓ Summary Table of Results

Task	Output
Inorder Traversal	20 30 40 50 60 70 80
Search 80	Yes
Search 90	No
Search 81	No
Is BST	Yes
Floor of 58	50
Ceiling of 58	60
4th Smallest Element	50

<pre> cout << "4th smallest element? " << kthSmallest(root, 4) << "\n"; cout << "Pair with sum 70? " << (findPairWithSum(root, 70) ? "Yes" : "No") << "\n"; return 0; }</pre>	Task	Output
	Pair with Sum = 70	Yes (40+30)
Inorder traversal: 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 80 present? Yes 90 present? No 81 present? No Is BST? Yes Floor of 58? 50 Ceiling of 58? 60 4th smallest element? 50 Pair with sum 70? Yes		