Lead Scoring Case Study

Presenters:
Krishna Kumar S
Ayush Singla

Problem Statement:

An X Education requires assistance in identifying the most promising leads, i.e. those most likely to become paying customers. The company wants us to create a model in which each lead is given a lead score, with higher lead scores having a higher conversion chance and lower lead scores having a lower conversion chance.

The target lead conversion rate, according to the CEO, is roughly 80%.

Step I : Data Cleaning & EDA

Load and Inspect Data

Read, analyze and try do basic cleaning in the data

Data Cleaning

The variables with a high percentage of NULL values were removed. In the case of numerical variables, this stage also included imputing missing values when needed with median values, and in the case of categorical categories, creating additional categorization variables. Outliers were found and eliminated.

EDA

Then we did an exploratory data analysis of the data set to obtain a sense of how it was organized. Around three variables were discovered in this step as having only one value in all rows. These variables were removed from the equation.

Step 2 : Feature scaling

Test Train Split

The following stage was to divide the data set into test and train halves, with a 70-30 percent split.

Feature Scaling

To scale the original numerical variables, we used Min Max Scaling. Then, using the stats model, we built our first model, which would provide us with a complete statistical perspective of all of our model's parameters.

Step 3: Variable selection and Model creation

RFE

We went ahead and picked the 20 most important characteristics using Recursive Feature Elimination.

We iteratively looked at the P-values using the statistics generated in order to choose the most significant values that should be present and eliminate the unimportant ones.

Finally, we came up with a list of the 15 most important variables.

These variables' VIFs were likewise judged to be satisfactory. We then generated a data frame with the converted probability values, with the premise that a probability value greater than 0.5 indicates I and less than 0.5 means 0. We computed the overall Accuracy of the model using the ConfusionMetrics based on the above assumption. To determine how trustworthy the model is, we calculated the 'Sensitivity' and 'Specificity' matrices.

ROC Curve

We then plotted the ROC curve for the features, which turned out to be quite good, with an area coverage of 88%, confirming the model's accuracy

Step 4 : Predictions on Test

On the train data set, we discovered that the Precision and Recall measures were 75 percent and 76 percent, respectively. We arrived at a cut off value of 0.41 based on the Precision and Recall tradeoff.

Making Predictions on Test Set

Then, using the Sensitivity and Specificity metrics, we estimated the conversion probability for the test model and discovered that the accuracy value was 81.5 percent; Sensitivity=78.5 percent; Specificity= 82.2 percent.

THANK YOU!