

Evaluation Sheet for Assignments

Team Name:

Assignment:

Due Date:

Submission Date:

Team Member	Name	First Name	Matriculation No.
1			
2			
3			
4			

1 Regulations for the Submission of Coursework as Examination Performance

If the submitted work exhibits significant formal deficiencies, it will be returned for a **single** revision and correction of these deficiencies **without further substantive editing and advice on content**. This applies especially if **items in the checklist have been marked as no** or if items in the checklist **have not been truthfully marked as yes** (i.e., they are not present in the work).

If the work does not meet the content requirements, it will be considered as not passed. The same applies to works that still have significant deficiencies after revision. Further revision is not possible in these cases. The examination performance can then be repeated **no earlier than the next class period in the summer semester of 2025**.

The work must be submitted by the prescribed deadline (see assignment schedule). **Late submissions will be considered as not passed**. Exceptions are only possible for significant reasons and must be justified with evidence.

Whether the requirements are met can be found in the checklist on the evaluation form. **Submissions via email will not be accepted and will be considered as not submitted**. Please **do not submit**

Evaluation Sheet for Assignment

any further documents in paper form! Furthermore, the regulations on the course website's guide apply.

2 Submission Date

Assignments must be submitted within the given deadline. The deadline of each assignment can be found in the assignment schedule on the course website.

Remark: Please also familiarize yourself with the technical means for creating and uploading in advance of the submission deadline in order to meet it.

Adhering to the schedule is an integral part of the course work.

3 Declaration of Oath

By submission of assignments you assure

1. that you have independently authored the submission deliverables and have not used sources or aids other than those specified. All passages taken verbatim or paraphrased from other writings have been properly cited, and the work in its current or a similar form has not been previously submitted as part of any academic study or examination.
2. **I have taken note of the contents of the guideline for the assignment. I have truthfully answered all questions on the checklist with "yes."**

Violations of this agreement might lead to a fail of the assignment.

Evaluation Sheet for Assignment

4 Checklist

This checklist is made to help you to organize your submission and to decide if your submission contains the most relevant and necessary items. This checklist is not complete. Please read the assignment description always carefully. All tasks are described there.

Item	Team Self Evaluation	Instructor's Evaluation		
		yes	no	partly
All features (F1-F3) and all maneuvers (M1-M4) are clearly specified.	yes no			
All features (F1-F3) are specified such that they must work with every other maneuver M1-M4.	yes no			
It is specified that the maneuvers must work for low, medium and high speed.	yes no			
The mechanical design of the vehicle is specified. This also contains that the vehicle is a two wheel rover.	yes no			
It is specified that additional sensors (as color sensor or ultrasonic sensor or similar) are not allowed).	yes no			
The specification states that the system must be safe against user interaction.	yes no			
The specification contains a system diagram with system architecture and it's topology including top level architecture and module refinement.	yes no			
The specification contains a hardware architecture block diagram.	yes no			
The specification contains a software architecture block diagram including a control hierarchy diagram.	yes no			

Evaluation Sheet for Assignment

Testing	Team Self Evaluation	Instructor's Evaluation		
		yes	no	partly
For each feature (F1-F3) and each maneuver (M1-M4) there are defined test use cases of system application against which the system is tested.	yes no			
Each test case has an acceptance criteria.	yes no			
Test acceptance criteria are formulated with confidence intervals (if necessary).	yes no			
Each test case has a test procedure for a test engineer to conduct the test.	yes no			
Each test is described such that it can be repeated and reproduces the same test result.	yes no			
The specification of a test makes the test type clear (SW-Test, HW-Test, HIL Test, etc.)	yes no			
All functional requirements are linked to a test id.	yes no			

Item	Team Self Evaluation	Instructor's Evaluation		
		yes	no	partly
Each requirement gets its own id.	yes no			
Similar requirements are grouped.	yes no			

Evaluation Sheet for Assignment

System Inputs/Outputs (min, max, resolution, unit) are specified.	yes no			
Event triggers to which the system reacts are specified.	yes no			
Tasks and their sample time are specified.	yes no			
System operating modes are specified. Default mode is specified.	yes no			
Startup behaviour is specified.	yes no			
The specification is written clearly different from a requirement document (customer or stakeholder's view). It specifies what the system does and how it reacts on external events.	yes no			
The specification document contains a cover sheet with contact dates of the team members and the revision history of the document.	yes no			
The specification describes how the system is realized and how requirements (in particular F1-F3 and M1-M4) are met.	yes no			
The specification does not contain the words <i>should</i> or <i>could</i> , unless it makes sense.	yes no			