

## CITY OF PHILADELPHIA DEPARTMENT OF LICENSES AND INSPECTIONS ANNUAL CERTIFICATION FOR FIRE ALARM SYSTEMS

PROPERTY ADDRESS	
(BRT Address Required)	
TESTING CONTRACTOR	
(Name and Address)	
	License No.

## ANNUAL CERTIFICATIONS MUST BE KEPT ON SITE FOR A PERIOD OF THREE YEARS

۹. O	WNER'S SECTION: (TO BE COMPLETED E	BY THE P	ROPERTY OW	/NER	R OR AGENT) EXPLAIN ALL NO ANSWERS, EX	CEPT AS N	OTED
. Is	the building occupied?	Y	N	5.	Have there been any modifications to the system since th last certification? (If yes, explain		N
	s the building occupancy or hazard or floor layout anged since the last inspection? (If yes, explain)	Y	N	6.	Was there any action of alarm since the last certification?		
Are	e all systems kept in service?	Y	N		(If yes, explai	in) Y	N
Are	e the test results kept on file?	Y	N	7.	Does this certification cover all fire alarm systems in the building?	Y	N
ΝNI	ER/AGENT SIGNATURE			PRII	NT NAME		
OTIF	Y THE PHILADELPHIA FIRE DEPARTMENT AT 215	-922-6000	BEFORE TESTS	S - Ol	UT OF SERVICE OPERATOR# IN SERVIC	E OPERAT	OR#
FR'	TIFICATE HOLDERS SECTION: (ALL TES	TC CHAIL	RE IN ACCORDAN	ICE W	ITH THE PHILADELPHIA FIRE CODE AND NFPA 72, CHAP	TED 10)	
	ONTROL EQUIPMENT	13 SHALL	BE IN ACCURDAN	ICE VV	TIH THE PHILADELPHIA FIKE CODE AND NFPA 72, CHAP	IEK 10)	
	MINOL EQUIPMENT						
	as the fire alarm Control Panel in an accessible location main entrance or unlocked room)?	Y	N	14	. Were trouble signal silence switches and alarm silence switches in the Control Panel tested satisfactorily?	Y	N
	as the battery charging circuit in the Control Panel	Y	N	15	. Was the off-premises transmission test satisfactory?	Y	N
	erating correctly and at the proper voltage?			16	. Did the remote annunciator test satisfactorily?	Y	N
	as Ground Fault Monitoring tested satisfactorily?	Y		17	. Was the Control Panel supervision test acceptable?	Y	N
	as the test of lamps and LED's in the Control Panel tisfactory?	Y	N				
. Wa	as the test of interface equipment satisfactory?	Y	N				
	ere audible and visible trouble and alarm signals in the introl Panel satisfactory?	Y	N				
IN	ITIATING DEVICES						
. IIN	ITIATING DEVICES						
	ere signs mounted at each pull station stating	Y	N	24	. Were restorable heat detector tests acceptable?	Y	N
ΠN	I CASE OF FIRE: SOUND ALARM AND CALL 911 or THE FIRE DEPARTMENT"?			25	Were the alarm verification tests satisfactory?	Y	N
. We	ere the manual fire alarm box tests acceptable?	Y	N	26	Was the sensitivity of all Smoke Detectors tested in accordance with NFPA72 (2007) Section 10.4.4.2.4?	Y	N
. We	ere the smoke detector inspection/tests acceptable?	Y	N		(Provide results on page 4 or provide NFPA compliant pa		
	ere the smoke detector thermal elements tests ceptable?	Y	N		The certification of smoke detector sensitivity shall be per Philadelphia Fire Code Section below.		
. We	ere the smoke detector control output tests acceptable?	Y	N	27	Were the duct smoke detector tests acceptable?	Y	N
	ere non-restorable heat detectors inspected and in tisfactory condition?	Y	N				

**F-907 Certification of smoke detector sensitivity**; Alternate year sensitivity testing shall begin in odd-numbered years. Where the one-year sensitivity test occurs in an even-numbered year, the next sensitivity test is not due until the second subsequent odd-numbered year. Results of sensitivity tests shall be listed on page 4 of the Annual Certification form each year testing is performed.

C1. SUBSECTION: SPRINKLER SYSTEM SUPERVISION (IF A	PPLICABLE) Yes ☐ No ☐	] (If no, exp	olain)	
28. Were the water flow switch inspection/tests acceptable? Y N  29. Were the valve tamper switch inspection/tests acceptable? Y N  30. Were the low temperature sensor inspection/tests	<ul> <li>33. Were the Fire Pump Running sup acceptable?</li> <li>34. Were the Fire Pump Trouble sup acceptable?</li> <li>35. Were Fire Pump Alternate Power acceptable?</li> <li>No (If no, explain)</li> <li>38. Are all existing air handler duct s interconnected to this system?</li> <li>39. Were all air handler duct smoke inspection/tests acceptable?</li> </ul>	ervision inspection/test	s Y	N N N N
D. AUDIBLE / VISIBLE DEVICES  40. Were the ambient sound levels tested with the normal ambient noises present (HVAC, etc.) and recorded below?  41. Were alarm sounds levels tested and recorded below?  42. Were visible alarms tested and operating properly?  Y N	43. Did sound levels reach the minim  Systems installed prior to January  January 1984 until March 1991= 19  May 1991 until December 2009 = 37  remaining spaces  January 2010 until Present = 75 dtremaining spaces.	1984 = Sufficie 5 dba above an 70 dba in sleep	ent volume to be heard nbient in occupied spaining rooms, 70 Mecha	d aces nical spaces, 60 in
AUDIBILITY RECORD: (Describe in detail the locations tested and the results in boxes below Audibility Readings must be taken in at least one unit per floor AND at least one reading for ea				
LOCATION TESTED (Fill in exact location next to description; i.e. Unit D-10 etc.)		FLOOR	AMBIENT LEVEL	ALARM LEVEL
COMMON AREA LOCATION:				
COMMON AREA LOCATION:				
COMMON AREA LOCATION:				
SLEEPING AREA:				
OTHER:				

OTHER:

D1	. SUBSECTION: OTHER SYSTEM OUTP	UTS / IN	NTERCONNE	СТІ	<b>ONS</b> (IF APPLICABLE) Yes $\square$ No $\square$ (If	no, exp	lain)
45.	Are all range hood/other suppression systems interconnected to fuel shut off/power disconnects as required		N		Were all Elevator Power Shutoff/Shunt Trip inspection/tests acceptable?	Y	N
46.	Are all air handlers over 2000 cfm shut down as required?	Y	N		Were all Elevator Fire Fighters Hat feature inspection/tests	Y	N
47.	Were all Primary Floor Elevator Recall inspection/tests acceptable?	Y	N	51.	acceptable? Were all Door Hold Open Release inspection/tests	Y	N
48.	Were all Secondary Floor Elevator Recall inspections/tests acceptable?	Y	N		acceptable?		
Ε.	ELECTRICAL						
52.	Was the fire alarm system power connected to a branch circuit of house panel?	Y	N		Were all additional NAC power supply inspection/tests acceptable?	Y	N
53.	Was the fire alarm system power disconnected for the dedicated branch circuit locked in the "On" position?	Y	N		Were all additional sub control, amplifier, firefighter phone panels and auxiliary power supply inspection/tests acceptab		N
54.	Was the fire alarm system power disconnect location clearly identified in writing at or on the control panel?	Y	N		Were all batteries for additional NAC power supplies sub controls, amplifiers, fire fighter phone panels and auxilia power supplies load tests/inspections acceptable?	Y ary,	N
55.	Was the test of the primary power source satisfactory?	Y	N		Were all batteries load tested?	Y	N
56.	Was the test of the secondary power source (e.g. batteries) satisfactory?	Y	N		Provide Make and Model of tester used?		
57.	Was the system tested using the secondary power source?	Y	N		Tovide Wake and Woder of lester used:		
	(If YES, complete this section) Was the Fire Command Center operating properly?  FIRE FIGHTER PHONE SYSTEMS (IF AF	Y		_	Were amplifier/tone generators tested satisfactority?  (If no explain)	Y	N
	·	7 L1071L	•		, ,	V	N.
	Was the call-in signal silence function correct?  Was the off-hook indicator verified?	Y Y	N		Were phone sets tested satisfactorily?	Y	N N
	Were phone jacks tested satisfactorily?	Y		70.	Were handset system voice quality and clarity acceptable?	·	N
Н.	MONITORING (IF APPLICABLE) Yes □	No 🗌 (	(If no, explain	)			
71.	Is this system monitored or required to be monitored?	Y	N				
72.	(If YES, complete this section) This system is monitored under which of the NFPA 72 monitoring categories?				The monitoring station is UL approved to receive Fire Alarm Signals?	Y	
	Proprietary Supervising Station				The name of the Monitoring Entity is:		
	Remote Supervising Station				Telephone #:		
	Other (Explain in comments section)				Account Reference No:		
	Central Station Service				UL Certification #: The system was tested to the monitoring station for the follo		
	53			13.		N	
	The system is monitored in compliance with the above	Y	N			- N	
	checked ( ✓ ) method.	V	N			N	
/3.	The system sends a daily test signal to the monitoring station?	Υ	N			N	
74.	The system has two telephone lines or other NFPA	Y	N			N	
	method of communication with the monitoring station?						

## **DETECTOR SENSITIVITY RESULTS**

Location	Device Type	Visual Check	Functional Test	Factory Setting	Measured Setting	Pass	Fail
		_					
		_					

NFPA 72, Section 10.6.2

## COMMENTS: (ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

CERTIFICATE HOLDER'S NAME (PRINT AND SIGN)	
EMAIL ADDRESS	TEST DATE
PHONE NUMBER	FIRE ALARM INSPECTOR LICENSE NUMBER 3707