# PROJECT AND PORTFOLIO PEER REVIEWS

**Instructions**

1. Group Project Milestone 2 submissions have been posted to [Piazza](https://canvas.wisc.edu/courses/459654/external_tools/20073).
2. As **an individual student; NOT as a project group;**choose one group's submission on Piazza to review.
3. Familiarize yourself with their visualization, then return to this assignment for guidance on how to structure your review.
4. **Post your review to their Piazza post; you are welcome to do so anonymously or with your name attached; AND submit the text of your review to this Canvas assignment so we can give you credit for it.**

Finally, I won't enforce any formal requirement or mechanism for this, but let's work together to ensure every project gets at least a couple peer reviews**.** Try not to add a review to a project that already has many, when there are others that have only one review or none.

# Description

This peer review gives you a chance to (1) see what your peers have been up to, ideally learning something interesting and perhaps inspiring ideas for your own group's project, and (2) offer respectful, constructive feedback.

In your review, please provide:

* A brief summary of the project's purpose, and the group's approach to that topic, in your own words. This helps you as a reviewer establish common ground with the group and helps the group any potential misunderstanding.
* High-level critique: Are there major design choices that you found confusing? What alternatives would you consider? Were you able to follow the rationale behind the approach, or were there sections that were unrelated to the central story? Which visualizations were effective, which were ineffective, and why?
* Specific feedback: Alert the authors to any small mistakes in their visualizations or write-ups, such as typos, mis-formatting, references to things that don't exist, or details that are never clarified.
  + In providing specific feedback, please remember that the Milestone 2 instructions asked for prototypes; you should indeed be able to understand what a visualization is showing, but these visualizations will likely have their color scheme/theme/etc. edited for Milestone 3.

A typical review is 2 - 3 paragraphs of 4 - 6 sentences each.

# Rubric

* Effort and Etiquette (5 points): The reviewer has spent time to understand the work that is being reviewed and demonstrates a commitment to helping the visualizers improve their designs rather than just criticizing.
* Quality of Feedback (5 points): The reviewer offers substantive, constructive feedback. Comments relate to specific aspects of the work and avoid vague statements such as "more detail in write-up" or "improve clarity in this graph".