# PROJECT AND PORTFOLIO PEER REVIEWS

**Instructions**

1. Portfolio (HW2) and Project Milestone 2 submissions are available as Piazza posts. Choose **one portfolio + one project milestone** to study and review.
2. Share your reviews on a discussion thread in the relevant Piazza post.
3. Separately, upload a document with the text from your reviews. We will grade these reviews.

# Description

This peer review gives you a chance to (1) learn from your peers and (2) provide constructive feedback. You may post your Piazza review anonymously but be aware that we will penalize reviews that we find unprofessional or disrespectful.

A good review has the following elements,

* A brief summary of the submission’s purpose and implementation in your own words. This ensures that you and the authors share a common understanding of the context and results.
* A high-level critique. Are there major design choices that you found confusing? What alternatives do you think would help? Are there substantive mistakes in the presented discussion or interpretations?
* Minor comments (optional): You may want to alert the authors to small mistakes or request clarification of relevant details. This can include suggestions for ways to re-organize code.

A typical review is 2 - 3 paragraphs (one per bullet point) of 4 - 6 sentences each.

# Rubric

The two reviews are worth 8 points towards the homework portion of the grade. These points will be allocated according to,

* Attention (4 points): The reviewer has spent time to understand the work that is being reviewed. The review refers to specific design choices.
* Thoughtfulness (4 points): The reviewer offers substantive, constructive feedback. Comments relate to specific aspects of the work and avoid any vague generalities.