Allosteric Modulation of GPCRs: New Insights and Potential Utility for Treatment of Schizophrenia and Other CNS Disorders

Daniel J. Foster[1,2] and P. Jeffrey Conn[1,2,*]
[1]Department of Pharmacology
[2]Vanderbilt Center for Neuroscience Drug Discovery
Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA
*Correspondence: jeff.conn@vanderbilt.edu
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.03.016

G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) play critical roles in regulating brain function. Recent advances have greatly expanded our understanding of these receptors as complex signaling machines that can adopt numerous conformations and modulate multiple downstream signaling pathways. While agonists and antagonists have traditionally been pursued to target GPCRs, allosteric modulators provide several mechanistic advantages, including the ability to distinguish between closely related receptor subtypes. Recently, the discovery of allosteric ligands that confer bias and modulate some, but not all, of a given receptor's downstream signaling pathways can provide pharmacological modulation of brain circuitry with remarkable precision. In addition, allosteric modulators with unprecedented specificity have been developed that can differentiate between subpopulations of a given receptor subtype based on the receptor's dimerization state. These advances are not only providing insight into the biological roles of specific receptor populations, but hold great promise for treating numerous CNS disorders.

All major functions of the central nervous system (CNS) require rapid and precise communication between neurons that are organized in circuits that range from simple di-synaptic feedback loops to large networks of interconnected brain nuclei that regulate complex CNS functions. These networks are driven by activation of excitatory and inhibitory ligand-gated ion channels and can be modulated by G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs; also known as seven-transmembrane-spanning receptors). GPCRs represent a large family of receptors that regulate multiple intracellular signaling pathways either through the activation of various G proteins or through interactions with β-arrestin and other regulatory proteins (Kristiansen, 2004). GPCRs are critical for fine-tuning transmission through CNS networks and modulate several key neuronal functions, such as neurotransmitter release, neuronal excitability, action potential firing patterns, and gene transcription. Given their central role in regulating CNS function, it is not surprising that GPCRs have been among the most successful targets for developing drugs for treatment of CNS disorders (Wise et al., 2002). However, ligands that selectively activate a single receptor subtype exist for only a small fraction of GPCRs, and it has been difficult to develop highly selective ligands for most GPCR subtypes. Historically, efforts to develop ligands that target GPCRs have focused on agonists and antagonists that interact with the orthosteric neurotransmitter binding site to either mimic or block the action of the endogenous neurotransmitter. While this strategy has been fruitful, the high conservation of orthosteric binding sites across related receptors can make development of subtype-selective orthosteric ligands challenging.

In recent years, allosteric modulators of GPCRs have emerged as a promising new approach for developing highly selective ligands and potential therapeutic agents for treatment of CNS disorders. Allosteric modulators of GPCRs bind to sites that are often less highly conserved than orthosteric sites (Conn et al., 2009a), and this has allowed optimization of highly selective allosteric modulators of some GPCR subtypes that have been intractable using traditional approaches. Allosteric modulators bind to sites that are topographically distinct from the orthosteric neurotransmitter binding site and can alter receptor signaling (see Table 1). Positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) increase responses to the orthosteric agonist, whereas negative allosteric modulators (NAMs) inhibit responses to orthosteric agonists. PAMs and NAMs often act by modulating the affinity of an orthosteric ligand. Thus, a GPCR PAM can increase the affinity of the endogenous neurotransmitter, whereas a NAM may reduce the affinity. However, allosteric modulators can also modulate GPCR coupling to downstream signaling mechanisms independent of the affinity of the orthosteric agonist (Wootten et al., 2013). Major advances have improved our understanding of how allosteric modulators interact with receptors, driven by crystal structures of receptors bound to allosteric modulators (Doré et al., 2014; Kruse et al., 2013; Oswald et al., 2016; Thal et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2014). These studies not only provide detailed information on the molecular interactions between allosteric modulators and their binding sites, but also provide invaluable information on how conformational changes at allosteric sites mechanistically alter orthosteric signaling (Staus et al., 2016). The effects of allosteric ligands on receptor function have been outlined in detail in multiple excellent reviews and can be described and quantified by equations, including an "operational model of allosterism" that describes both allosteric modulation of affinity and efficacy (Gregory et al., 2010; May

Table 1. Mechanisms of Action Observed by Allosteric Modulators

Orthosteric agonist	Binding of orthosteric agonists (which can be either endogenous neurotransmitters or synthetic agonists) to the neurotransmitter binding site induces a change in receptor confirmation and stabilizes an active state of the receptor.
Positive allosteric modulator (PAM)	PAMs bind to an allosteric site on the receptor that is distinct from the orthosteric binding pocket and increases the potency and/or efficacy of orthosteric agonists, resulting in enhanced receptor activation when an orthosteric agonist is present.
Ago-PAM	Binding of Ago-PAMs alone is sufficient to induce receptor activation. In addition, these compounds increase the potency and/or efficacy of orthosteric agonists.
Orthosteric antagonist	Binding of orthosteric antagonists have no effect on receptor activity. These compounds prevent activation of the receptor by preventing neurotransmitter binding.
Negative allosteric modulator (NAM)	NAMs bind to an allosteric site distinct from the orthosteric or neurotransmitter binding site and inhibit receptor activation via negative cooperativity to reduce the affinity and/or efficacy of orthosteric agonists.
Partial NAM	Partial NAMs do not completely block receptor activation, often due to weak negative cooperativity with respect to agonist binding. Accordingly, even when the allosteric binding pocket is fully occupied, partial NAMs will only partially reduce agonist responses.

et al., 2007) that has been highly useful in quantifying different aspects of allosteric modulator function.

The unique characteristics of allosteric modulators make this mode of GPCR regulation extremely attractive for developing agents with which to interrogate the physiological roles of individual GPCR subtypes and for developing novel treatment strategies for CNS disorders. Allosteric modulators of GPCRs are now being pursued as potential drug candidates for Alzheimer's disease (AD), dystonia, Parkinson's disease, schizophrenia, and other brain disorders (for reviews, see Conn et al., 2009a; Kruse et al., 2014). In addition to providing potential new treatment strategies, these compounds are helping drive fundamental insights into the roles of various receptors and specific signaling pathways in modulating identified brain circuits and animal behavior under both physiological and pathological conditions.

Potential Antipsychotic and Cognition-Enhancing Effects of mGlu5 Receptor PAMs

In recent years, the metabotropic glutamate (mGlu) receptor subtype 5 (mGlu5) has emerged as an exciting new target for the treatment of schizophrenia and improving cognitive function in multiple brain disorders (Nickols and Conn, 2014; Nicoletti et al., 2015). Multiple mGlu5 PAMs have robust efficacy in rodent models used to predict antipsychotic efficacy and the treatment of cognitive disturbances (Conn et al., 2009b; Liu et al., 2008; Parmentier-Batteur et al., 2014; Rook et al., 2013). Interestingly, mGlu5 PAMs enhance induction of both long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) at excitatory synapses in the hippocampus and other brain regions (Ayala et al., 2009; Rook et al., 2015; Sarihi et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2016) and can restore deficits in animal models in which synaptic plasticity and cognitive function are impaired (Bhardwaj et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2014; Waung and Huber, 2009; Won et al., 2012).

In addition to potential symptomatic effects, early studies raise the possibility that mGlu5 PAMs could reduce developmental changes that underlie specific deficits in schizophrenia. Deletion of mGlu5 from parvalbumin-expressing neurons is sufficient to induce cognitive and sensorimotor gating deficits in rodents (Barnes et al., 2015), and administration of mGlu5 PAMs in adolescence prevented the appearance of delayed cognitive deficits in a developmental model of schizophrenia (Clifton et al., 2013). These findings suggest the exciting possibility of a preventative role for mGlu5 PAM treatment in the development of schizophrenia, and further work will be important to evaluate these findings.

Maintenance of Activity Dependence May Be Important for Efficacy of mGlu5 PAMs

An important attribute of pure PAMs is that these compounds have no intrinsic efficacy but act to enhance activation of the receptor by the endogenous agonist, a property that fundamentally differentiates PAMs from traditional agonists. Recent studies suggest that the ability of mGlu5 PAMs to maintain the spatial and temporal patterning of receptor activation (Figure 1) provides these compounds with the unique ability to enhance both LTP and LTD without altering the afferent activity patterns required for induction of these two forms of synaptic plasticity (Ayala et al., 2009). The strict dependence of LTP and LTD on defined patterns of afferent activity is important for appropriately regulating different domains of cognitive function (see Mockett and Hulme, 2008 for review). The unique ability of mGlu5 PAMs to maintain appropriate activity-dependent plasticity provides an excellent demonstration of the advantages afforded by strictly potentiating responses to endogenous neurotransmitter release compared to use of an agonist. Consistent with the effects of mGlu5 PAMs on synaptic plasticity, systemic administration of selective mGlu5 PAMs improves performance in a broad range of animal models of cognitive function that are dependent on intact function of the hippocampus or prefrontal cortex (PFC) and are disrupted in schizophrenia patients (Bhardwaj et al., 2015; Gilmour et al., 2013; Homayoun et al., 2004; Horio et al., 2013; Moghaddam, 2004; Uslaner et al., 2009). Thus, mGlu5 PAMs have the potential to improve both positive symptoms and cognitive disturbances in schizophrenia patients.

Minimizing Ago-PAM Activity Reduces the Side-Effect Liability of mGlu5 PAMs

The combined effects of mGlu5 PAMs in preclinical models raise the possibility that these compounds could provide a fundamental

Figure 1. Positive Allosteric Modulators Modulate Receptor Activity while Maintaining Spatial and Temporal Dynamics Associated with Neurotransmitter Release

Communication between neurons is commonly encoded by neurotransmitter release events emanating from presynaptic terminals resulting in postsynaptic receptor activation (A). Presynaptic activity patterns (B) and the proximity of a receptor from a neurotransmitter release site both play a key role in determining postsynaptic receptor activity patterns. In receptor populations that are present in the synaptic cleft, the site of neurotransmitter release is sufficiently proximal to the receptor such that each release event may induce receptor activation (C). Receptor populations that are expressed in extrasynaptic or perisynaptic areas, which are further removed from neurotransmitter release sites, may not be exposed to sufficient neurotransmitter levels following a single release event to become active. However, these receptors may be activated following bursts of high-frequency activity when neurotransmitter levels are sufficiently elevated to spill out from the synapse (D). Exogenously applied agonists activate receptors with a temporal profile (E) that is very different from presynaptic activity patterns (B) and will activate receptors regardless of their proximity to presynaptic inputs. In the simplest case, positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) of postsynaptic receptors do not affect presynaptic firing rates (F) but potentiate responses to the endogenous neurotransmitter while maintaining temporally and spatially coded information with respect to receptor activity patterns (G and H). This activity dependence of allosteric modulators can avoid detrimental effects due to excessive receptor activation and preserve complex physiology such as spike-timing-dependent plasticity.

advance in treatment of schizophrenia and other disorders that impair cognitive function. However, some mGlu$_5$ PAMs induce severe seizure activity (Bridges et al., 2013; Rook et al., 2013) and excitotoxicity, leading to cell death in the forebrain (Parmentier-Batteur et al., 2014; Rook et al., 2015). Interestingly, recent studies suggest that a major factor contributing to the adverse effect liability of some mGlu$_5$ PAMs is the ability of some PAMs to also directly activate the receptor. While prototypical or pure PAMs do not alter receptor activity on their own, some allosteric modulators do possess intrinsic efficacy (Conn et al., 2009a). Allosteric modulators that possess both intrinsic efficacy and potentiate responses to orthosteric agonists are referred to as Ago-PAMs (Table 1). While both pure PAMs and Ago-PAMs can induce a shift in the concentration curve of an orthosteric agonist, only an Ago-PAM will induce receptor activation in the absence of orthosteric agonist. These differences in mechanism of action can profoundly impact both the side-effect profiles and therapeutic potential of a given compound.

Interestingly, systematic comparison of structurally related mGlu$_5$ PAMs that possess Ago-PAM activity, relative to pure PAMs that do not possess agonist activity, revealed that mGlu$_5$ Ago-PAMs induce seizures and behavioral convulsions, whereas closely related pure PAMs do not (Rook et al., 2013). Furthermore, appropriate activity dependence of LTP and LTD was maintained with pure mGlu$_5$ PAMs, but not with Ago-PAMs (Rook et al., 2013). Based on the striking impact of Ago-PAM activity, it is critical to optimize mGlu$_5$ compounds for clinical development that strictly avoid Ago-PAM activity. Based on the propensity of over-activation of mGlu$_5$ to induce seizures and excitotoxicity, recent efforts have focused on developing mGlu$_5$

PAMs that have the minimal positive cooperativity with glutamate required for achieving efficacy (Parmentier-Batteur et al., 2014; Rook et al., 2013). However, avoiding high cooperativity and Ago-PAM activity is not likely to be a universal guideline for all allosteric modulators and should be evaluated independently for each target as well as disease state. For example, in disease states where endogenous neurotransmitter levels are sufficiently attenuated, a PAM may lack sufficient efficacy, and optimizing Ago-PAMs may be preferred.

Novel mGlu$_5$ PAMs that Induce Stimulus Bias Reduce Adverse Effect Liability

In recent years, it has become increasingly clear that agonists can stabilize multiple active states of GPCRs that can engage different signaling pathways (Digby et al., 2010; Kenakin and Christopoulos, 2013). In the simplest case, an allosteric modulator would induce similar inhibition or amplification of all signaling pathways that are activated by an agonist. In this case, the allosteric modulator would not induce a qualitative change in receptor signaling but would potentiate or inhibit all responses that are normally induced by activation of the receptor. However, some allosteric modulators can selectively modulate the ability of agonists to stabilize specific active conformations of the receptor and thereby introduce a "stimulus bias" that differentially alters the effects of the endogenous agonist on specific signaling pathways (Figure 2). Recent studies provide exciting new insights into the potential for optimizing mGlu$_5$ PAMs that display stimulus bias for achieving robust efficacy in the absence of adverse effect liability.

While the presence of Ago-PAM activity at mGlu$_5$ is known to lead to severe adverse effects, avoiding Ago-PAM activity does

Figure 2. Ability of Allosteric Modulators to Confer Bias of GPCR Signaling
GPCRs can adopt multiple conformations upon neurotransmitter binding that can lead to activation of numerous signaling pathways (A). Non-biased allosteric modulators equally potentiate (B) or inhibit (C) all the signaling pathways that are activated by an agonist. However, some PAMs and NAMs can confer bias to GPCR signaling and selectively modulate coupling of GPCRs to specific signaling pathways while having little or no effect on others (D and E). These tools are affording the opportunity to determine the outcome of modulating a specific receptor-mediated signaling pathway and hold great therapeutic potential by allowing receptor activation to be steered in maximally beneficial directions.

not completely eliminate adverse effect liability of these compounds. For instance, an mGlu$_5$ PAM, termed 5PAM-523, lacks agonist activity but can induce seizure activity and excitotoxicity after chronic administration (Parmentier-Batteur et al., 2014). While the adverse effects are less pronounced than those seen with mGlu$_5$ Ago-PAMs, this finding suggests that other aspects of mGlu$_5$ signaling can contribute to the adverse effect liabilities. Interestingly, mGlu$_5$ is a close signaling partner with the N-methyl-D-aspartate subtype of glutamate receptor (NMDAR; Attucci et al., 2001; Awad et al., 2000; Doherty et al., 2000; Ehlers, 1999; Mannaioni et al., 2001; Marino and Conn, 2002; Ugolini et al., 1999), and the ability of mGlu$_5$ to potentiate NMDAR currents in forebrain regions has been commonly viewed as a key mechanism by which mGlu$_5$ PAMs exert their efficacy in rodent models (Darrah et al., 2008; Kinney et al., 2005; Niswender and Conn, 2010; Stefani and Moghaddam, 2010; Won et al., 2012). However, it is well established that excessive activity of NMDARs can induce seizures and excitotoxicity, raising the possibility that potentiation of NMDAR signaling could contribute to the adverse effect liability of some mGlu$_5$ PAMs. To directly evaluate the importance of potentiation of NMDAR currents for the observed in vivo efficacy of mGlu$_5$ PAMs, a novel mGlu$_5$ PAM (VU0409551) was developed that displays stimulus bias and potentiates mGlu$_5$ coupling to G$_{\alpha q}$ and related signaling pathways but does not enhance mGlu$_5$ modulation of NMDAR currents (Rook et al., 2015). Interestingly, VU0409551 produces robust

antipsychotic-like and cognition-enhancing effects in rodent models (Balu et al., 2016; Conde-Ceide et al., 2015; Rook et al., 2015), suggesting that the efficacy of mGlu$_5$ PAMs in these models does not require potentiation of NMDAR currents. Furthermore, chronic administration of VU0409551 had no adverse effects at doses over 100× those required to achieve in vivo efficacy (Rook et al., 2015). These studies raise the exciting possibility that it will be possible to develop mGlu$_5$ PAMs that have robust efficacy but are devoid of adverse effects that can be associated with modulation of NMDAR currents. However, these findings also raise the question of what signaling pathways and physiological responses are critical for specific in vivo actions of mGlu$_5$ PAMs. As new tools are further developed that selectively modulate specific signaling pathways by mGlu$_5$, they will provide opportunities to develop a full understanding of the specific signaling pathways that are critical for mediating the efficacy of mGlu$_5$ PAMs in preclinical models of numerous CNS disorders, including schizophrenia, fragile X syndrome, Rett syndrome, autistic spectrum disorders, obsessive compulsive disorder, Parkinson's disease, substance abuse (Ade et al., 2016; Gass et al., 2017; Gogliotti et al., 2016; Gould et al., 2016; Michalon et al., 2012; Rylander et al., 2010; Vicidomini et al., 2016), and others.

In addition to discovery of biased mGlu$_5$ PAMs, examples are beginning to emerge in which allosteric modulators induce biased signaling for multiple other GPCR subtypes. These include

selective PAMs for multiple mGlu receptor subtypes (Noetzel et al., 2013; Rook et al., 2015; Sheffler and Conn, 2008; Zhang et al., 2005), muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mAChRs; Leach et al., 2007, 2010; Marlo et al., 2009), dopamine receptors (Free et al., 2014), and cannabinoid receptors (Ahn et al., 2012). In addition, biased or functionally selective NAMs have been developed for $mGlu_7$ (Niswender et al., 2010), prostaglandin D_2 CHTH2 receptors (Mathiesen et al., 2005), and neurokinin NK2 receptors (Maillet et al., 2007). While the ability of orthosteric agonists to induce biased GPCR signaling is well established (Digby et al., 2010; Furness et al., 2016), the unique potential of GPCR NAMs to selectively inhibit specific signaling pathways is not shared by orthosteric antagonists and provides an exciting opportunity to develop biased NAMs that target pathways that are most critical for achieving a therapeutic effect. As these new tools continue to emerge, this will provide unprecedented opportunities to develop a more complete understanding of the specific signaling pathways responsible for modulation of different physiological responses in identified neuronal populations and brain circuits.

Potential Utility of $mGlu_1$ PAMs for Treatment of Schizophrenia

In addition to $mGlu_5$, the closely related $mGlu_1$ receptor may also be a potential therapeutic target for treating schizophrenia. Genetic studies have identified multiple mutations in the $mGlu_1$ gene (*Grm1*) in schizophrenic patients (Ayoub et al., 2012). Interestingly, a recent study revealed that each of the mutations associated with schizophrenia leads to a loss of $mGlu_1$ signaling and that highly selective $mGlu_1$ PAMs can potentiate signaling through these mutant receptors (Cho et al., 2014). At present, the functional impact of these mutations in circuits that may be relevant for the pathophysiology of schizophrenia are not understood. However, $mGlu_1$ regulates many of the same circuits that are relevant for potential $mGlu_5$-mediated antipsychotic efficacy, including modulation of NMDAR signaling (Benquet et al., 2002; Heidinger et al., 2002) and hippocampal plasticity (Aiba et al., 1994). In addition, $mGlu_1$ knockout mice show disrupted prepulse inhibition similar to that seen with $mGlu_5$ knockout mice (Brody et al., 2003, 2004). Future studies with newly developed $mGlu_1$ tools (Cho et al., 2014; Lovell et al., 2013) will provide critical insights into the biological roles and therapeutic potential of $mGlu_1$ in treating schizophrenia and other disorders in which $mGlu_1$ has been implicated, including ataxia, substance abuse, and autism spectrum disorders (Bariselli et al., 2016; Lum et al., 2014; Power et al., 2016).

Selective $mGlu_2$ and $mGlu_3$ PAMs for Treatment of Schizophrenia

Over the past two decades, there have been intensive efforts targeting group II mGlu receptors ($mGlu_2$ and $mGlu_3$) for the treatment of schizophrenia. Orthosteric agonists that activate both $mGlu_2$ and $mGlu_3$ have robust antipsychotic-like effects in preclinical models (Muguruza et al., 2016; Niswender and Conn, 2010). Unfortunately, while group II mGlu receptor agonists showed significant improvements in both positive and negative symptoms in an initial phase II trial (Patil et al., 2007), subsequent larger clinical studies failed to demonstrate significant efficacy of these agents compared to placebo (Kinon et al., 2011). However, preclinical studies have demonstrated that the antipsychotic-like activity of group II mGlu receptor agonists are absent in $mGlu_2$, but not $mGlu_3$, receptor knockout mice, suggesting that $mGlu_2$ activation may be sufficient to provide therapeutic benefit (Spooren et al., 2000). The discovery of $mGlu_2$-selective PAMs allowed direct testing of this hypothesis and several of these compounds demonstrated antipsychotic-like efficacy and pro-cognitive effects in multiple preclinical models (Galici et al., 2005, 2006; Griebel et al., 2016). However, a recent report revealed that the $mGlu_2$ PAM AZD8529 had no significant effects on positive or negative symptoms in schizophrenic patients when administered as a monotherapy (Litman et al., 2016). Another $mGlu_2$ PAM, JNJ-40411813/ADX71149, had promising beneficial effects in patients with residual negative symptoms (Hopkins, 2013) and showed potential efficacy in improving some aspects of cognition and reducing negative symptoms after administration of ketamine in healthy volunteers (Salih et al., 2015). However, it is not yet known whether this compound will show efficacy in larger trials in schizophrenia patients. As discussed below, several factors, including disease etiology, disease progression, and prior medication history, may be critical determinants of what compounds are most likely to provide therapeutic benefit.

$mGlu2$ PAMs May Be Effective in Select Patient Subpopulations

While the underpinnings of schizophrenia are diverse, great strides have been made in identifying genetic and environmental risk factors, as well as understanding the clinical and physiological correlates associated with progression of the disease (Millan et al., 2016). In addition to disease progression, it is important to consider the potential impact of prior medication when assessing a given therapy. Interestingly, some recent studies suggest that group II mGlu agonists, or $mGlu_2$ PAMs, may have a higher likelihood of providing significant efficacy in patients in which treatment is initiated soon after diagnosis and prior to long-term exposure to atypical antipsychotics (Kinon et al., 2015), which may repress activity of the $mGlu_2$ gene promoter (Kurita et al., 2012). Thus, it is possible that stratification of patients will help in identifying patients that would be most responsive to $mGlu_2$ PAMs. In addition, recent advances in stratifying patients using biomarkers, such as PET or functional imaging, could aid in identifying patients that could be most responsive to $mGlu_2$ PAMs or agonists. Such approaches have been effective in correlating treatment responses of atypical antipsychotics with D_2 receptor occupancy (Kapur et al., 2000), and similar strategies, including genetic and functional screening, have been applied with great success with regards to cancer treatment (Vargas and Harris, 2016). While future work is needed to determine the utility of similar strategies in treating schizophrenia and other CNS disorders, it is possible that stratification of patient populations could help identify what patients are most likely to respond to a given therapy.

Potential Role of Heterodimers in Mediating $mGlu_2$-Mediated Antipsychotic Efficacy

In addition to the potential importance of segregating patient populations, it is also important to consider the possibility that $mGlu_2$ heterodimers could impact the in vivo effects of $mGlu_2$

Figure 3. Allosteric Modulators Can Differentially Modulate Receptor Populations Based on Their Dimerization

Many GPCRs can form oligomers as either homodimers (containing two receptors of the same subtype) or heterodimers (containing two different receptor subtypes). As depicted above, using mGlu$_4$ and mGlu$_2$ receptors as an example, some PAMs (such as VU0155041) are active at both mGlu$_4$/mGlu$_4$ homodimer and mGlu$_4$/mGlu$_2$ heterodimer complexes (A). Potentiation of receptor activity is depicted by orange shading. Other PAMs (such as PHCCC) selectively activate mGlu$_4$ homodimers but are inactive at mGlu$_4$/mGlu$_2$ heterodimers (B). Furthermore, while no examples have been described to date, it is possible that other classes of PAMs could be active at the heterodimer but inactive at the homodimer (C). These novel tools that can distinguish between receptor complexes will shed light onto the physiological significance of homodimer and heterodimer complexes and could provide therapeutic benefits through incredibly precise modulation of circuitry that targets not only a given receptor subtype, but can target specific receptor complexes.

PAMs. Recent studies suggest that mGlu$_2$ forms functional heterodimers with mGlu$_4$ that are expressed in the CNS and that some PAMs can selectively activate homomeric relative to heteromeric forms of the receptor (Figure 3; Kammermeier, 2012; Niswender et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2014). Interestingly, some mGlu$_4$ PAMs have antipsychotic-like effects in rodent models (Kalinichev et al., 2014; Sławińska et al., 2013), including Lu AF21934, which acts as a robust PAM of mGlu$_{2/4}$ heterodimers (Niswender et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2014), raising the possibility

that mGlu$_{2/4}$ heterodimers could play a key role in mediating the antipsychotic efficacy seen with mGlu$_4$ and mGlu$_2$ PAMs. Furthermore, mGlu$_2$ and mGlu$_3$ readily form mGlu$_2$/mGlu$_3$ heterodimers in cell lines (Levitz et al., 2016), and these receptors are highly co-expressed in the PFC and other brain regions (Petralia et al., 1996). Interestingly, while neither mGlu$_2$ nor mGlu$_3$ knockout mice show overt behavioral deficits, dual mGlu$_{2/3}$ knockout mice display blunted responses to amphetamine and cognitive deficits (Lyon et al., 2011), raising the possibility that mGlu$_{2/2}$, mGlu$_{3/3}$, and mGlu$_{2/3}$ complexes could all play roles in regulating schizophrenia-associated circuitry. Finally, recent studies revealed that both mGlu$_2$-mediated signaling in the cortex (Moreno et al., 2016) and the antipsychotic-like effects of group II mGlu receptor agonists (Fribourg et al., 2011) are absent in 5HT$_{2A}$ knockout mice, suggesting an important role for cross-talk between these receptors in mediating antipsychotic effects. This cross-talk has been postulated to be mediated by formation of mGlu$_2$/5HT$_{2A}$ heterodimers and to be critical for the antipsychotic-like effects of mGlu$_2$ and 5HT$_{2A}$ ligands. Thus, in future studies, it will be important to consider the possibility that regulating signaling through certain heterodimers of mGlu$_2$ could be crucially important in determining antipsychotic-like or cognition-enhancing effects of these compounds. With the exception of PAMs that are known to be active at mGlu$_{2/4}$ heterodimers, there are no studies detailing whether specific pharmacological compounds can distinguish between different group II mGlu receptor complexes. Furthering our understanding regarding the importance of these various group II receptor complexes to regulating signaling in both physiological and pathological contexts could provide important insights that could translate into improved therapeutics targeting these receptors.

The discovery of allosteric modulators that differentiate between mGlu$_{2/4}$ relative to mGlu$_4$ and mGlu$_2$ raises the exciting potential of developing allosteric modulators for homomeric versus heteromeric forms of other GPCR subtypes. GPCRs belonging to each major subclass form functional homomeric, as well as heteromeric, complexes (Oldham and Hamm, 2008; Smith and Milligan, 2010). However, the majority of studies of GPCR heterodimers have been performed in cell lines, and the extent to which many GPCRs function as heterodimers in native systems is not yet clear (Milligan, 2013). Discovery of additional selective modulators could provide critical information on the molecular determinants of signaling through homo- versus heterodimer complexes and pave the way for understanding the unique roles of specific heteromeric GPCR complexes in regulating CNS function. However, at present, it is still unclear how allosteric modulators differentiate between these complexes. The mGlu$_{2/4}$ allosteric modulators outlined above appear to act at a conserved binding site on a single protomer (mGlu$_2$ or mGlu$_4$) of the mGlu$_{2/4}$ complex (Niswender et al., 2016). Future studies will be needed to fully understand why some modulators that bind at a single protomer modulate mGlu$_{2/4}$ signaling whereas others do not. In addition, other approaches using bivalent compounds to target heterodimer complexes have shown promise (Hübner et al., 2016), and it is possible that allosteric modulators could be developed that bind at the interface between the two subunits. Future work will be needed to determine the structural and molecular mechanisms through which

allosteric modulation of heterodimer complexes occurs. However, the approach of developing compounds that not only target a given receptor subtype, but specifically target a given heterodimeric complex (Figure 3) has the potential to allow incredibly precise pharmacological modulation of neuronal communication.

Selective mGlu₃ PAMs for Improving PFC-Dependent Cognitive Function

In addition to potential antipsychotic effects of mGlu₂-selective PAMs, recent studies also point to the potential utility of mGlu₃ PAMs in improving cognitive function in schizophrenia and other brain disorders. Several studies have identified single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the human gene encoding mGlu₃ (*GRM3*) that are associated with poor performance on cognitive tests that are dependent on function of the prefrontal cortex (Egan et al., 2004; Harrison et al., 2008; Tan et al., 2007), and *GRM3* has been identified as a risk locus for schizophrenia in genome-wide association studies (Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2014). The recent discovery of selective mGlu₃ NAMs enabled studies in mice that revealed that mGlu₃, but not mGlu₂, mediates clear postsynaptic effects in the PFC and could regulate synaptic plasticity as well as mediate pro-cognitive effects (Walker et al., 2015). This is consistent with recent studies in non-human primates, demonstrating that mGluR₂/₃ agonists have unexpected postsynaptic actions in the dorsolateral PFC that strengthen synaptic connections and improve cognitive function (Jin et al., 2016). Collectively, these studies suggest that mGlu₃ plays a key role in regulating PFC-dependent cognition. Future studies with current and next-generation group II modulators will broaden our understanding of these receptors and their potential utility in treating numerous CNS disorders, such as schizophrenia, substance abuse, depression (Chaki et al., 2004; Dhanya et al., 2014), and others.

Potential Utility of Muscarinic Receptor PAMs for Treatment of Schizophrenia

Preclinical and clinical studies suggest that potentiation of specific mAChR subtypes can improve symptoms in patients suffering from schizophrenia and Alzheimer's disease. A large multicenter trial examined the effects of the M₁/M₄-preferring mAChR agonist xanomeline in patients suffering from AD. While the primary endpoint was improved cognitive function, secondary measures surprisingly revealed that this compound had robust efficacy in reducing psychotic symptoms, such as suspiciousness, delusions, and hallucinations, in AD patients (Bodick et al., 1997). A subsequent study revealed that xanomeline induced robust improvements in positive symptoms, negative symptoms, and specific domains of cognitive function in patients suffering from schizophrenia (Shekhar et al., 2008). Despite these exciting advances, the clinical utility of xanomeline and other mAChR agonists is restricted by dose-limiting adverse effects (bradycardia, GI distress, salivation, and sweating) that are mediated by activation of peripheral M₂ and M₃ mAChRs (Bymaster et al., 2003). Interestingly, M₁ and M₄ are the primary mAChR subtypes thought to be involved in the therapeutic effects of mAChR agonists in schizophrenia patients (Langmead et al., 2008). Thus, it is possible that highly selective

activators of M₁ and/or M₄ could provide therapeutic efficacy in these patients in the absence of the peripheral adverse effects associated with less selective mAChR agonists.

M₄ PAMs Reduce Dopamine Release and Have Antipsychotic-like Effects in Animal Models

Despite major investments, previous efforts to develop highly selective agonists of M₁ or M₄ mAChRs have failed due to high conservation in the orthosteric ACh site across subtypes. However, more recent efforts to develop subtype-selective mAChR PAMs have been highly successful and have yielded multiple selective PAMs for M₁ and M₄ mAChRs that have excellent pharmacokinetic (PK) profiles and brain penetration, providing excellent tools for evaluating the effects of M₁ and M₄ PAMs in preclinical animal models for numerous CNS disorders (Conn et al., 2014; Kruse et al., 2014).

The discovery of two structurally distinct M₄ PAMs (VU10010 and LY2033298) represented a milestone in the development of M₄-selective molecules (Chan et al., 2008; Shirey et al., 2008). Since then, medicinal chemistry efforts have provided compounds with improved brain exposure and properties that are ideal for in vivo use. Studies utilizing these novel M₄-selective PAMs have demonstrated robust effects, similar to those seen with xanomeline, in multiple animal models used to predict antipsychotic-like activity, including reversal of psychostimulant-induced changes in conditioned avoidance responding, prepulse inhibition, and locomotor activity (Bubser et al., 2014; Chan et al., 2008; Leach et al., 2010; Suratman et al., 2011). Importantly, M₄ PAMs do not display any of the detrimental peripheral effects that are seen after administration of non-selective mAChR compounds (Bubser et al., 2014), suggesting that M₄ PAMs may provide a novel strategy for treating positive symptoms in schizophrenia patients.

Psychotic symptoms associated with schizophrenia are thought to be intimately associated with hyperactive dopaminergic signaling in the striatum and nucleus accumbens, and all currently available antipsychotics act as antagonists of dopamine (DA) receptors (Sawa and Snyder, 2003). Interestingly, M₄ PAMs decrease amphetamine-induced DA levels in the dorsal striatum and nucleus accumbens and induce a profound reduction in amphetamine-induced activation of forebrain regions in vivo as assessed by fMRI (Byun et al., 2014), raising the possibility that M₄ PAMs mediate their effects through modulating DA release. Cholinergic regulation of DA signaling is complex, and cholinergic interneurons in the striatum can exert bidirectional control over dopaminergic signaling through both nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR) and mAChRs (Rice et al., 2011). Studies using fast-scan cyclic voltammetry demonstrated that M₄ knockout mice display attenuated mAChR agonist-induced reductions in DA release (Threlfell et al., 2010), and selective M₄ PAMs induce a robust inhibition of DA release in striatal slices that persists well after receptor activation (Foster et al., 2016). This sustained inhibition of DA release following M₄ activation was distinct from the acute inhibition observed following application of mAChR agonists, which is mediated primarily by mAChR autoreceptors expressed on cholinergic interneurons (Shin et al., 2015). M₄ is not expressed on DA neurons (Weiner et al., 1990) but is highly expressed on D₁-containing direct pathway spiny projection

Figure 4. The Potential Therapeutic Benefits of Selectively Regulating Dopaminergic Signaling in the Basal Ganglia
Midbrain dopamine (DA) neurons project to several nuclei, including the striatum, nucleus accumbens (NAcc), prefrontal cortex (PFC), cortex, and hippocampus. DA signaling is dysregulated in schizophrenia, manifesting in excessive DA release in the striatum and NAcc that is associated with the positive symptoms, such as hallucinations and delusions (depicted as green shaded areas). However, DA signaling is not hyperactive in all brain regions, and hypoactive disruptions in cortical and hippocampal DA signaling are thought to contribute to the negative symptoms, such as anhedonia, as well as the cognitive deficits. Currently available antipsychotics act by blocking DA D_2 receptors across all brain regions, including the areas that are already DA deficient, potentially worsening negative and cognitive symptoms (A). By depressing DA release through the release of local messengers in the hyperactive limbic brain regions, M_4 PAMs have the potential to correct hyperactive DA signaling without further depressing DA signaling in other areas (B), demonstrating how circuit-selective therapeutics have the potential to provide efficacy with reduced adverse effect liability.

neurons (D1-SPNs; Ince et al., 1997). Interestingly, selective deletion of M_4 from D_1-expressing neurons (D_1-$M_4^{-/-}$ mice) eliminated the sustained reductions in DA release and anti-psychotic-like effects induced by M_4 PAMs and xanomeline (Dencker et al., 2011; Foster et al., 2016), suggesting that M_4 expressed on D_1-containing neurons mediate these effects. The finding that M_4 PAMs act at D_1-SPNs to inhibit DA release suggests that M_4 activation must act by inducing release of a local messenger that acts on neighboring DA terminals to inhibit DA release. Interestingly, M_4-mediated effects on DA release are blocked by a CB_2 endocannabinoid (eCB) receptor antagonist, absent in CB_2 knockout mice, and are occluded by inhibition of the eCB synthetic enzyme diacylglycerol lipase (Foster et al., 2016). Taken together, these data suggest that the effects of M_4 PAMs on DA release in the striatum are mediated by activation of eCB synthesis in D_1-SPNs and activation of CB_2 receptors, possibly expressed on neighboring DA terminals.

The ability of M_4 to reduce DA through the local release of eCBs provides a mechanism that may afford a spatially restricted modulation of DA signaling in the limbic forebrain. This could provide a major advantage over clinically available antipsychotics that act as DA receptor antagonists in that it may allow reduced DA signaling in striatal regions that are thought to be important for antipsychotic efficacy without reducing DA signaling in the hippocampus and cortical regions that may impair cognitive function (Davis et al., 1991; Reilly et al., 2007; see Figure 4). Consistent with this, early reports suggest that M_4 PAMs can improve some aspects of cognitive function in animal models that are impaired by DA receptor blockade (Bubser et al., 2014).

In addition to regulation of DA release, recent studies reveal that M_4 PAMs can decrease glutamatergic transmission at cortico-striatal synapses (Pancani et al., 2014) and induce spike-timing-dependent LTD on D_1-SPNs (Shen et al., 2016). M_4 receptors are present on cortico-striatal terminals, and activation of these

presynaptic M_4 receptors may contribute to M_4 PAM effects at these excitatory synapses (Pancani et al., 2014). However, as with the effect of M_4 PAMs on DA release, the ability of M_4 PAMs to induce LTD in D_1-SPNs is absent in D_1-$M_4^{-/-}$ mice and, surprisingly, was blocked by a CB_1 receptor antagonist (Shen et al., 2016), suggesting M_4 PAM-induced eCB release from D_1-SPNs can inhibit excitatory transmission in the striatum. Extensive studies in rodents and non-human primates suggest that these actions of M_4 PAMs on striatal plasticity could provide therapeutic benefits in treating L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia in Parkinson's disease (Shen et al., 2016). Furthermore, M_4 PAMs can normalize excessive excitatory transmission at cortico-striatal synapses in rodent models of Huntington's disease, and chronic administration of M_4 PAMs prevents the appearance of motor deficits in these animals (Pancani et al., 2015). Finally, the ability of M_4 PAMs to reduce behavioral effects of cocaine suggest that these agents may be useful for the treatment of substance abuse disorders (Dencker et al., 2012). Thus, recent optimization of highly selective M_4 PAMs is providing important insights into the roles of this receptor in regulating CNS function and may lead to novel treatment strategies for multiple CNS disorders.

M_1 PAMs May Enhance Specific Domains of Cognitive Function and Reduce Negative Symptoms

In addition to a potential role for M_4 in regulating dopaminergic systems that are relevant for positive symptoms in schizophrenia, the M_1 receptor may be important for reducing cognitive impairments and negative symptoms in AD and schizophrenia patients. Cholinergic signaling is disrupted in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) of schizophrenia patients (Berman et al., 2007; Raedler et al., 2007), and a subset of schizophrenia patients display profound decreases in M_1 levels in PFC, hippocampus, and other forebrain regions (Dean et al., 2002; Scarr et al., 2013). The recent discovery of highly selective M_1 PAMs enabled studies that reveal that these agents can enhance both

PFC- and hippocampal-dependent forms of cognitive function in rodents (Chambon et al., 2012; Digby et al., 2012; Gould et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2009; Shirey et al., 2009) and non-human primates (Lange et al., 2015; Vardigan et al., 2015), which is consistent with a large literature suggesting that selective M_1 receptor activation can have cognition-enhancing effects.

Recent studies are providing important new insights into the possible mechanisms by which M_1 PAMs could provide specific benefits to some schizophrenia patients. An emerging body of clinical and preclinical research has led to the hypothesis that deficits in LTD at hippocampo-PFC synapses (Strube et al., 2015; Thomases et al., 2014) and excessive activation of the PFC by excitatory projections from the hippocampus (Jodo, 2013; Woodward et al., 2013) contribute to the cognitive deficits and negative symptoms observed in schizophrenia patients. This is especially interesting in light of the findings that M_1 plays a major role in induction of LTD at hippocampo-PFC synapses (Caruana et al., 2011; Ghoshal et al., 2016) and that M_1 knockout mice display deficits in forms of cognitive function that involve interactions between the hippocampus and PFC (Anagnostaras et al., 2003). Interestingly, M_1 receptor-mediated LTD at the hippocampo-PFC synapse is completely lost in rodent models that pharmacologically or genetically inhibit NMDAR function during juvenile development, and M_1 PAMs can restore deficits in synaptic plasticity, cognitive function, and social interaction in these rodent models (Ghoshal et al., 2016; Grannan et al., 2016). Taken together with multiple studies demonstrating robust effects of M_1 PAMs on other aspects of cognitive function, these studies support the exciting possibility that highly selective M_1 PAMs may provide a novel approach for reducing cognitive deficits and negative symptoms associated with changes in cortical plasticity in schizophrenia patients. Furthermore, while M_4 PAMs are likely to have more robust antipsychotic-like effects, M_1 PAMs can augment the antipsychotic-like effects of atypical antipsychotics in wild-type, but not M_1 knockout mice (Choy et al., 2016). Accordingly, M_1 PAMs, either alone or in combination with current antipsychotics, have the potential to provide comprehensive relief across symptom clusters.

Surprisingly, a recent study suggested that some M_1-selective Ago-PAMs can induce cholinergic side effects in animal models that are typically associated with M_2/M_3 activation (Alt et al., 2016; Davoren et al., 2016). However, several studies with other M_1-selective PAMs did not observe any of the side effects seen with broad spectrum cholinergic mimetics (Chambon et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2008; Vardigan et al., 2015), suggesting that it is possible to develop M_1 PAMs that have a desirable side-effect profile. The exact mechanism underlying the adverse effects of M_1 Ago-PAMs is not entirely clear. However, it is possible that subtle variations in properties of different M_1-selective compounds could influence their in vivo effects in a manner similar to that outlined above for $mGlu_5$ PAMs. M_1 PAMs have been reported to possess distinct differences in signaling bias and allosteric agonist activity, and the impact of these differences has not been fully explored. For instance, while M_1 PAMs can potentiate ACh-induced activation of both phospholipase D (PLD) and Ca^{2+} mobilization in cell lines, a novel M_1 PAM (VU0029767) selectively potentiates M_1-induced Ca^{2+} mobilization but has no effect on M_1-mediated activation of PLD (Marlo

et al., 2009). M_1-mediated activation of phospholipase C and Ca^{2+} mobilization involves signaling through $G_{\alpha q}$, whereas PLD typically involves the activation of $G_{\alpha 12}$ or small G proteins, such as R-Ras (López De Jesús et al., 2006). Thus, it is possible that VU0029767 stabilizes a conformation of M_1 that couples to $G_{\alpha q}$, but not $G_{\alpha 12}$ or small G proteins. While the precise roles of these signaling pathways in different physiological and behavioral responses to M_1 activation are not known, closely related M_1 PAMs that display such striking differences in their effects on M_1 signaling could have fundamentally different effects on animal behavior, greatly modifying their potential therapeutic efficacy or adverse effect liability.

The robust pro-cognitive effects of M_1-selective PAMs could prove useful for treatment of multiple disorders in which cognitive function is impaired. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, which boost cholinergic signaling, have well-established efficacy in improving cognitive function in patients with early to moderate AD and other neurodegenerative disorders. Current evidence suggests that the M_1 receptor plays a vital role in mediating cholinergic pro-cognitive effects. In addition to the M_1 PAM efficacy observed in healthy rodents and schizophrenia models discussed above, M_1 PAMs have pro-cognitive effects in numerous rodent models of AD (Puri et al., 2015; Shirey et al., 2009) and can reverse cognitive deficits and prolong survival in a mouse model of prion disease that shows AD-like pathology (Bradley et al., 2017). As discussed above in the context of $mGlu_2$ PAMs for schizophrenia, it is possible that careful patient stratification will be critical for evaluating the potential efficacy of M_1 PAMs in patient populations. For instance, developing an appropriate PET ligand or other approaches for identifying and recruiting the subset of schizophrenia patients that display decreases in cortical M_1 levels (Dean et al., 2002; Scarr et al., 2013) could enrich for patients that would receive the greatest benefit from M_1 PAMs. Collectively, these studies call attention to the potential utility of M_1 PAMs in correcting cognitive and attentional deficits in a wide range of neurological disorders, including schizophrenia, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, AD, Parkinson's disease, and others.

Allosteric Modulation of Dopamine Receptors as Potential Treatments of Schizophrenia

Since all currently available antipsychotics act via downregulation of D_2 signaling, it is tempting to hypothesize that a D_2-selective NAM (or partial NAM) that possessed desirable signal bias, or selectively modulates only certain D_2 dimer complexes, could provide antipsychotic efficacy with reduced cognitive and motor side effects. The discovery of the first NAM of the D_2 receptor represented a breakthrough in efforts to achieve allosteric regulation of this target (Lane et al., 2014). Interestingly, this D_2 NAM (SB269652) possessed a bitopic mode of action, binding to both the orthosteric and an allosteric site, and only displayed NAM activity at functional D_2 receptor homodimers. Excitingly, medicinal chemistry efforts have recently succeeded at fragmenting SB269652 and have resulted in the first purely allosteric compound possessing NAM activity at the D_2 receptor (Mistry et al., 2015). While the hypothesis that a D_2 NAM could provide efficacy with a preferred side-effect profile has yet to be tested,

these advances suggest that such approaches could be testable in the near future.

Conversely, in preclinical animal models, D$_1$ agonists have been shown to mediate cognitive enhancing effects although with an inverted U-shaped dose-response curve, suggesting that too little or too much D$_1$ activation can be detrimental (Arnsten et al., 2017). However, a proof-of-principle study demonstrated that administration of the D$_1$-preferring agonist dihydrexidine improved working memory in patients with schizotypal personality disorder (Rosell et al., 2015). Unfortunately, dihydrexidine, which is only moderately selective for D$_1$ over D$_5$, has poor bioavailability and is rapidly metabolized, limiting the clinical utility of this compound. Excitingly, new D$_1$-selective PAMs have recently been developed that demonstrate enhanced specificity over D$_5$ receptors (Lewis et al., 2015). D$_1$ PAMs, due to their mechanism of action, have the potential to avoid the adverse effects seen with excessive D$_1$ receptor activation. Consistent with this, D$_1$ PAMs, unlike D$_1$ agonists, induced changes in locomotion in a humanized mouse line that plateaued at high doses without inducing stereotypies (Svensson et al., 2017). The recent discovery of both D$_2$- and D$_1$-selective allosteric scaffolds represents an important advance and hopefully will lead to optimized compounds that can provide therapeutically desirable outcomes with fewer side effects than those observed with DA receptor agonists and antagonists.

Conclusions and Future Directions

The discovery of allosteric modulators initially provided the ability to target binding sites on specific receptor subtypes that were less conserved than the neurotransmitter binding site, allowing allosteric compounds to act with unprecedented selectivity. Given the vast number of possible allosteric binding sites, there is reason to believe that many of these sites have not been identified or targeted from a medicinal chemistry perspective. Numerous examples have been detailed where multiple distinct allosteric binding sites have been found for a single GPCR subtype (Gregory and Conn, 2015), and the recent discovery of a cytoplasmic allosteric binding site in chemokine CCR9 represents one example of a previously unappreciated binding site that could allow therapeutic modulation of a previously intractable target (Oswald et al., 2016). As we continue to advance our understanding of the mechanisms underlying allosteric modulation of GPCRs, we have come to appreciate the immense number of conformations and higher-order complexes that these receptors can adopt (Changeux and Christopoulos, 2016; Latorraca et al., 2017) and are beginning to elucidate how these conformations differentially regulate various signaling pathways. In addition to differences in terms of stimulus bias, activity at heterodimers, and presence or absence of Ago-PAM activity, it has been possible to develop both full NAMs and "partial NAMs." Whereas most NAMs completely block agonist responses, partial NAMs possess weak negative cooperativity and only partially inhibit the maximal response to an orthosteric agonist (Kenakin, 2004; Nickols et al., 2016; Rodriguez et al., 2005, 2010). These partial NAMs could provide a key mechanistic advantage that cannot be achieved using orthosteric antagonists and have the potential to maintain efficacy similar to full NAMs in animal models but have fewer

adverse effects than agents that completely block GPCR signaling (Gould et al., 2016).

Other recent advances include the use of allosteric modulators in combination with optogenetic and chemogenetic technologies to allow selective modulation of specific receptor subpopulations with unprecedented spatial and temporal specificity. For instance, engineered chimeras of GPCRs with rhodopsin can adopt active conformations in the presence of light, allowing optical control over receptor activity (Airan et al., 2009). In addition, introduction of targeted mutations into GPCRs allows for labeling of receptor populations using coordination chemistry approaches that introduce either metal-binding domains (Kiyonaka et al., 2016) or photoswitchable tethered ligands (Levitz et al., 2013). By utilizing mutated GPCRs that can be expressed in specific neuronal populations, it is possible to assess the physiological and behavioral consequences of activating a particular receptor subtype in a specific location. More recently, this approach has refined through the use of non-tethered photoswitchable allosteric ligands that possess the properties of an allosteric modulator but adopt inactive conformations when exposed to light. Discovery of photoswitchable allosteric modulators for the mGlu$_5$ (Pittolo et al., 2014) and mGlu$_4$ (Rovira et al., 2016) receptors allows fast and direct modulation of endogenous receptor subtypes in a particular brain region. Collectively, these tools have the promise to provide unprecedented insights into the biology and circuitry underlying numerous CNS diseases. These discoveries will inform drug discovery efforts on how to optimally steer receptor signaling in a given patient population to provide maximal efficacy with minimal side effects and provide exciting opportunities for the treatment of CNS orders.

REFERENCES

Ade, K.K., Wan, Y., Hamann, H.C., O'Hare, J.K., Guo, W., Quian, A., Kumar, S., Bhagat, S., Rodriguiz, R.M., Wetsel, W.C., et al. (2016). Increased metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 signaling underlies obsessive-compulsive disorder-like behavioral and striatal circuit abnormalities in mice. Biol. Psychiatry *80*, 522–533.

Ahn, K.H., Mahmoud, M.M., and Kendall, D.A. (2012). Allosteric modulator ORG27569 induces CB1 cannabinoid receptor high affinity agonist binding state, receptor internalization, and Gi protein-independent ERK1/2 kinase activation. J. Biol. Chem. *287*, 12070–12082.

Aiba, A., Chen, C., Herrup, K., Rosenmund, C., Stevens, C.F., and Tonegawa, S. (1994). Reduced hippocampal long-term potentiation and context-specific deficit in associative learning in mGluR1 mutant mice. Cell *79*, 365–375.

Airan, R.D., Thompson, K.R., Fenno, L.E., Bernstein, H., and Deisseroth, K. (2009). Temporally precise in vivo control of intracellular signalling. Nature *458*, 1025–1029.

Alt, A., Pendri, A., Bertekap, R.L., Jr., Li, G., Benitex, Y., Nophsker, M., Rockwell, K.L., Burford, N.T., Sum, C.S., Chen, J., et al. (2016). Evidence for classical cholinergic toxicity associated with selective activation of M1 muscarinic receptors. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *356*, 293–304.

Anagnostaras, S.G., Murphy, G.G., Hamilton, S.E., Mitchell, S.L., Rahnama, N.P., Nathanson, N.M., and Silva, A.J. (2003). Selective cognitive dysfunction in acetylcholine M1 muscarinic receptor mutant mice. Nat. Neurosci. *6*, 51–58.

Arnsten, A.F., Girgis, R.R., Gray, D.L., and Mailman, R.B. (2017). Novel dopamine therapeutics for cognitive deficits in schizophrenia. Biol. Psychiatry *81*, 67–77.

Attucci, S., Carlà, V., Mannaioni, G., and Moroni, F. (2001). Activation of type 5 metabotropic glutamate receptors enhances NMDA responses in mice cortical wedges. Br. J. Pharmacol. *132*, 799–806.

Awad, H., Hubert, G.W., Smith, Y., Levey, A.I., and Conn, P.J. (2000). Activation of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 has direct excitatory effects and potentiates NMDA receptor currents in neurons of the subthalamic nucleus. J. Neurosci. *20*, 7871–7879.

Ayala, J.E., Chen, Y., Banko, J.L., Sheffler, D.J., Williams, R., Telk, A.N., Watson, N.L., Xiang, Z., Zhang, Y., Jones, P.J., et al. (2009). mGluR5 positive allosteric modulators facilitate both hippocampal LTP and LTD and enhance spatial learning. Neuropsychopharmacology *34*, 2057–2071.

Ayoub, M.A., Angelicheva, D., Vile, D., Chandler, D., Morar, B., Cavanaugh, J.A., Visscher, P.M., Jablensky, A., Pfleger, K.D., and Kalaydjieva, L. (2012). Deleterious GRM1 mutations in schizophrenia. PLoS ONE *7*, e32849.

Balu, D.T., Li, Y., Takagi, S., Presti, K.T., Ramikie, T.S., Rook, J.M., Jones, C.K., Lindsley, C.W., Conn, P.J., Bolshakov, V.Y., and Coyle, J.T. (2016). An mGlu5-positive allosteric modulator rescues the neuroplasticity deficits in a genetic model of NMDA receptor hypofunction in schizophrenia. Neuropsychopharmacology *41*, 2052–2061.

Bariselli, S., Tzanoulinou, S., Glangetas, C., Prévost-Solié, C., Pucci, L., Viguié, J., Bezzi, P., O'Connor, E.C., Georges, F., Lüscher, C., and Bellone, C. (2016). SHANK3 controls maturation of social reward circuits in the VTA. Nat. Neurosci. *19*, 926–934.

Barnes, S.A., Pinto-Duarte, A., Kappe, A., Zembrzycki, A., Metzler, A., Mukamel, E.A., Lucero, J., Wang, X., Sejnowski, T.J., Markou, A., and Behrens, M.M. (2015). Disruption of mGluR5 in parvalbumin-positive interneurons induces core features of neurodevelopmental disorders. Mol. Psychiatry *20*, 1161–1172.

Benquet, P., Gee, C.E., and Gerber, U. (2002). Two distinct signaling pathways upregulate NMDA receptor responses via two distinct metabotropic glutamate receptor subtypes. J. Neurosci. *22*, 9679–9686.

Berman, J.A., Talmage, D.A., and Role, L.W. (2007). Cholinergic circuits and signaling in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. Int. Rev. Neurobiol. *78*, 193–223.

Bhardwaj, S.K., Ryan, R.T., Wong, T.P., and Srivastava, L.K. (2015). Loss of dysbindin-1, a risk gene for schizophrenia, leads to impaired group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptor function in mice. Front. Behav. Neurosci. *9*, 72.

Bodick, N.C., Offen, W.W., Levey, A.I., Cutler, N.R., Gauthier, S.G., Satlin, A., Shannon, H.E., Tollefson, G.D., Rasmussen, K., Bymaster, F.P., et al. (1997). Effects of xanomeline, a selective muscarinic receptor agonist, on cognitive function and behavioral symptoms in Alzheimer disease. Arch. Neurol. *54*, 465–473.

Bradley, S.J., Bourgognon, J.M., Sanger, H.E., Verity, N., Mogg, A.J., White, D.J., Butcher, A.J., Moreno, J.A., Molloy, C., Macedo-Hatch, T., et al. (2017). M1 muscarinic allosteric modulators slow prion neurodegeneration and restore memory loss. J. Clin. Invest. *127*, 487–499.

Bridges, T.M., Rook, J.M., Noetzel, M.J., Morrison, R.D., Zhou, Y., Gogliotti, R.D., Vinson, P.N., Xiang, Z., Jones, C.K., Niswender, C.M., et al. (2013). Biotransformation of a novel positive allosteric modulator of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 contributes to seizure-like adverse events in rats involving a receptor agonism-dependent mechanism. Drug Metab. Dispos. *41*, 1703–1714.

Brody, S.A., Conquet, F., and Geyer, M.A. (2003). Disruption of prepulse inhibition in mice lacking mGluR1. Eur. J. Neurosci. *18*, 3361–3366.

Brody, S.A., Dulawa, S.C., Conquet, F., and Geyer, M.A. (2004). Assessment of a prepulse inhibition deficit in a mutant mouse lacking mGlu5 receptors. Mol. Psychiatry *9*, 35–41.

Bubser, M., Bridges, T.M., Dencker, D., Gould, R.W., Grannan, M., Noetzel, M.J., Lamsal, A., Niswender, C.M., Daniels, J.S., Poslusney, M.S., et al. (2014). Selective activation of M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors reverses MK-801-induced behavioral impairments and enhances associative learning in rodents. ACS Chem. Neurosci. *5*, 920–942.

Bymaster, F.P., Carter, P.A., Yamada, M., Gomeza, J., Wess, J., Hamilton, S.E., Nathanson, N.M., McKinzie, D.L., and Felder, C.C. (2003). Role of specific muscarinic receptor subtypes in cholinergic parasympathomimetic responses, in vivo phosphoinositide hydrolysis, and pilocarpine-induced seizure activity. Eur. J. Neurosci. *17*, 1403–1410.

Byun, N.E., Grannan, M., Bubser, M., Barry, R.L., Thompson, A., Rosanelli, J., Gowrishankar, R., Kelm, N.D., Damon, S., Bridges, T.M., et al. (2014). Antipsychotic drug-like effects of the selective M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor positive allosteric modulator VU0152100. Neuropsychopharmacology *39*, 1578–1593.

Caruana, D.A., Warburton, E.C., and Bashir, Z.I. (2011). Induction of activity-dependent LTD requires muscarinic receptor activation in medial prefrontal cortex. J. Neurosci. *31*, 18464–18478.

Chaki, S., Yoshikawa, R., Hirota, S., Shimazaki, T., Maeda, M., Kawashima, N., Yoshimizu, T., Yasuhara, A., Sakagami, K., Okuyama, S., et al. (2004). MGS0039: a potent and selective group II metabotropic glutamate receptor antagonist with antidepressant-like activity. Neuropharmacology *46*, 457–467.

Chambon, C., Jatzke, C., Wegener, N., Gravius, A., and Danysz, W. (2012). Using cholinergic M1 receptor positive allosteric modulators to improve memory via enhancement of brain cholinergic communication. Eur. J. Pharmacol. *697*, 73–80.

Chan, W.Y., McKinzie, D.L., Bose, S., Mitchell, S.N., Witkin, J.M., Thompson, R.C., Christopoulos, A., Lazareno, S., Birdsall, N.J., Bymaster, F.P., and Felder, C.C. (2008). Allosteric modulation of the muscarinic M4 receptor as an approach to treating schizophrenia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 10978–10983.

Changeux, J.P., and Christopoulos, A. (2016). Allosteric modulation as a unifying mechanism for receptor function and regulation. Cell *166*, 1084–1102.

Cho, H.P., Garcia-Barrantes, P.M., Brogan, J.T., Hopkins, C.R., Niswender, C.M., Rodriguez, A.L., Venable, D.F., Morrison, R.D., Bubser, M., Daniels, J.S., et al. (2014). Chemical modulation of mutant mGlu1 receptors derived from deleterious GRM1 mutations found in schizophrenics. ACS Chem. Biol. *9*, 2334–2346.

Choy, K.H., Shackleford, D.M., Malone, D.T., Mistry, S.N., Patil, R.T., Scammells, P.J., Langmead, C.J., Pantelis, C., Sexton, P.M., Lane, J.R., and Christopoulos, A. (2016). Positive allosteric modulation of the muscarinic M1 receptor improves efficacy of antipsychotics in mouse glutamatergic deficit models of behavior. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *359*, 354–365.

Clifton, N.E., Morisot, N., Girardon, S., Millan, M.J., and Loiseau, F. (2013). Enhancement of social novelty discrimination by positive allosteric modulators at metabotropic glutamate 5 receptors: adolescent administration prevents adult-onset deficits induced by neonatal treatment with phencyclidine. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) *225*, 579–594.

Conde-Ceide, S., Martínez-Viturro, C.M., Alcázar, J., Garcia-Barrantes, P.M., Lavreysen, H., Mackie, C., Vinson, P.N., Rook, J.M., Bridges, T.M., Daniels, J.S., et al. (2015). Discovery of VU0409551/JNJ-46778212: an mGlu5 positive allosteric modulator clinical candidate targeting schizophrenia. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. *6*, 716–720.

Conn, P.J., Christopoulos, A., and Lindsley, C.W. (2009a). Allosteric modulators of GPCRs: a novel approach for the treatment of CNS disorders. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. *8*, 41–54.

Conn, P.J., Lindsley, C.W., and Jones, C.K. (2009b). Activation of metabotropic glutamate receptors as a novel approach for the treatment of schizophrenia. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. *30*, 25–31.

Conn, P.J., Lindsley, C.W., Meiler, J., and Niswender, C.M. (2014). Opportunities and challenges in the discovery of allosteric modulators of GPCRs for treating CNS disorders. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. *13*, 692–708.

Darrah, J.M., Stefani, M.R., and Moghaddam, B. (2008). Interaction of N-methyl-D-aspartate and group 5 metabotropic glutamate receptors on behavioral flexibility using a novel operant set-shift paradigm. Behav. Pharmacol. *19*, 225–234.

Davis, K.L., Kahn, R.S., Ko, G., and Davidson, M. (1991). Dopamine in schizophrenia: a review and reconceptualization. Am. J. Psychiatry *148*, 1474–1486.

Davoren, J.E., Lee, C.W., Garnsey, M., Brodney, M.A., Cordes, J., Dlugolenski, K., Edgerton, J.R., Harris, A.R., Helal, C.J., Jenkinson, S., et al. (2016).

Discovery of the potent and selective M1 PAM-agonist N-[(3R,4S)-3-Hydroxytetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl]-5-methyl-4-[4-(1,3-thiazol-4-yl)benzyl]pyridine-2-carboxamide (PF-06767832): evaluation of efficacy and cholinergic side effects. J. Med. Chem. *59*, 6313–6328.

Dean, B., McLeod, M., Keriakous, D., McKenzie, J., and Scarr, E. (2002). Decreased muscarinic1 receptors in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex of subjects with schizophrenia. Mol. Psychiatry *7*, 1083–1091.

Dencker, D., Wörtwein, G., Weikop, P., Jeon, J., Thomsen, M., Sager, T.N., Mørk, A., Woldbye, D.P., Wess, J., and Fink-Jensen, A. (2011). Involvement of a subpopulation of neuronal M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors in the antipsychotic-like effects of the M1/M4 preferring muscarinic receptor agonist xanomeline. J. Neurosci. *31*, 5905–5908.

Dencker, D., Weikop, P., Sørensen, G., Woldbye, D.P., Wörtwein, G., Wess, J., and Fink-Jensen, A. (2012). An allosteric enhancer of M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor function inhibits behavioral and neurochemical effects of cocaine. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) *224*, 277–287.

Dhanya, R.P., Sheffler, D.J., Dahl, R., Davis, M., Lee, P.S., Yang, L., Nickols, H.H., Cho, H.P., Smith, L.H., D'Souza, M.S., et al. (2014). Design and synthesis of systemically active metabotropic glutamate subtype-2 and -3 (mGlu2/3) receptor positive allosteric modulators (PAMs): pharmacological characterization and assessment in a rat model of cocaine dependence. J. Med. Chem. *57*, 4154–4172.

Digby, G.J., Conn, P.J., and Lindsley, C.W. (2010). Orthosteric- and allosteric-induced ligand-directed trafficking at GPCRs. Curr. Opin. Drug Discov. Devel. *13*, 587–594.

Digby, G.J., Noetzel, M.J., Bubser, M., Utley, T.J., Walker, A.G., Byun, N.E., Lebois, E.P., Xiang, Z., Sheffler, D.J., Cho, H.P., et al. (2012). Novel allosteric agonists of M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors induce brain region-specific responses that correspond with behavioral effects in animal models. J. Neurosci. *32*, 8532–8544.

Doherty, A.J., Palmer, M.J., Bortolotto, Z.A., Hargreaves, A., Kingston, A.E., Ornstein, P.L., Schoepp, D.D., Lodge, D., and Collingridge, G.L. (2000). A novel, competitive mGlu(5) receptor antagonist (LY344545) blocks DHPG-induced potentiation of NMDA responses but not the induction of LTP in rat hippocampal slices. Br. J. Pharmacol. *131*, 239–244.

Doré, A.S., Okrasa, K., Patel, J.C., Serrano-Vega, M., Bennett, K., Cooke, R.M., Errey, J.C., Jazayeri, A., Khan, S., Tehan, B., et al. (2014). Structure of class C GPCR metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 transmembrane domain. Nature *511*, 557–562.

Egan, M.F., Straub, R.E., Goldberg, T.E., Yakub, I., Callicott, J.H., Hariri, A.R., Mattay, V.S., Bertolino, A., Hyde, T.M., Shannon-Weickert, C., et al. (2004). Variation in GRM3 affects cognition, prefrontal glutamate, and risk for schizophrenia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 12604–12609.

Ehlers, M.D. (1999). Synapse structure: glutamate receptors connected by the shanks. Curr. Biol. *9*, R848–R850.

Foster, D.J., Wilson, J.M., Remke, D.H., Mahmood, M.S., Uddin, M.J., Wess, J., Patel, S., Marnett, L.J., Niswender, C.M., Jones, C.K., et al. (2016). Antipsychotic-like effects of M4 positive allosteric modulators are mediated by CB2 receptor-dependent inhibition of dopamine release. Neuron *91*, 1244–1252.

Free, R.B., Chun, L.S., Moritz, A.E., Miller, B.N., Doyle, T.B., Conroy, J.L., Padron, A., Meade, J.A., Xiao, J., Hu, X., et al. (2014). Discovery and characterization of a G protein-biased agonist that inhibits β-arrestin recruitment to the D2 dopamine receptor. Mol. Pharmacol. *86*, 96–105.

Fribourg, M., Moreno, J.L., Holloway, T., Provasi, D., Baki, L., Mahajan, R., Park, G., Adney, S.K., Hatcher, C., Eltit, J.M., et al. (2011). Decoding the signaling of a GPCR heteromeric complex reveals a unifying mechanism of action of antipsychotic drugs. Cell *147*, 1011–1023.

Furness, S.G., Liang, Y.L., Nowell, C.J., Halls, M.L., Wookey, P.J., Dal Maso, E., Inoue, A., Christopoulos, A., Wootten, D., and Sexton, P.M. (2016). Ligand-dependent modulation of G protein conformation alters drug efficacy. Cell *167*, 739–749.e11.

Galici, R., Echemendia, N.G., Rodriguez, A.L., and Conn, P.J. (2005). A selective allosteric potentiator of metabotropic glutamate (mGlu) 2 receptors has effects similar to an orthosteric mGlu2/3 receptor agonist in mouse models predictive of antipsychotic activity. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *315*, 1181–1187.

Galici, R., Jones, C.K., Hemstapat, K., Nong, Y., Echemendia, N.G., Williams, L.C., de Paulis, T., and Conn, P.J. (2006). Biphenyl-indanone A, a positive allosteric modulator of the metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 2, has antipsychotic- and anxiolytic-like effects in mice. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *318*, 173–185.

Gass, J.T., McGonigal, J.T., and Chandler, L.J. (2017). Deficits in the extinction of ethanol-seeking behavior following chronic intermittent ethanol exposure are attenuated with positive allosteric modulation of mGlu5. Neuropharmacology *113* (Pt A), 198–205.

Ghoshal, A., Rook, J.M., Dickerson, J.W., Roop, G.N., Morrison, R.D., Jalan-Sakrikar, N., Lamsal, A., Noetzel, M.J., Poslusney, M.S., Wood, M.R., et al. (2016). Potentiation of M1 muscarinic receptor reverses plasticity deficits and negative and cognitive symptoms in a schizophrenia mouse model. Neuropsychopharmacology *41*, 598–610.

Gilmour, G., Broad, L.M., Wafford, K.A., Britton, T., Colvin, E.M., Fivush, A., Gastambide, F., Getman, B., Heinz, B.A., McCarthy, A.P., et al. (2013). In vitro characterisation of the novel positive allosteric modulators of the mGlu5 receptor, LSN2463359 and LSN2814617, and their effects on sleep architecture and operant responding in the rat. Neuropharmacology *64*, 224–239.

Gogliotti, R.G., Senter, R.K., Rook, J.M., Ghoshal, A., Zamorano, R., Malosh, C., Stauffer, S.R., Bridges, T.M., Bartolome, J.M., Daniels, J.S., et al. (2016). mGlu5 positive allosteric modulation normalizes synaptic plasticity defects and motor phenotypes in a mouse model of Rett syndrome. Hum. Mol. Genet. *25*, 1990–2004.

Gould, R.W., Dencker, D., Grannan, M., Bubser, M., Zhan, X., Wess, J., Xiang, Z., Locuson, C., Lindsley, C.W., Conn, P.J., and Jones, C.K. (2015). Role for the M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor in top-down cognitive processing using a touchscreen visual discrimination task in mice. ACS Chem. Neurosci. *6*, 1683–1695.

Gould, R.W., Amato, R.J., Bubser, M., Joffe, M.E., Nedelcovych, M.T., Thompson, A.D., Nickols, H.H., Yuh, J.P., Zhan, X., Felts, A.S., et al. (2016). Partial mGlu5 negative allosteric modulators attenuate cocaine-mediated behaviors and lack psychotomimetic-like effects. Neuropsychopharmacology *41*, 1166–1178.

Grannan, M.D., Mielnik, C.A., Moran, S.P., Gould, R.W., Ball, J., Lu, Z., Bubser, M., Ramsey, A.J., Abe, M., Cho, H.P., et al. (2016). Prefrontal cortex-mediated impairments in a genetic model of NMDA receptor hypofunction are reversed by the novel M1 PAM VU6004256. ACS Chem. Neurosci. *7*, 1706–1716.

Gregory, K.J., and Conn, P.J. (2015). Molecular insights into metabotropic glutamate receptor allosteric modulation. Mol. Pharmacol. *88*, 188–202.

Gregory, K.J., Sexton, P.M., and Christopoulos, A. (2010). Overview of receptor allosterism. Curr. Protoc. Pharmacol. *Chapter 1*. Unit 1 21.

Griebel, G., Pichat, P., Boulay, D., Naimoli, V., Potestio, L., Featherstone, R., Sahni, S., Defex, H., Desvignes, C., Slowinski, F., et al. (2016). The mGluR2 positive allosteric modulator, SAR218645, improves memory and attention deficits in translational models of cognitive symptoms associated with schizophrenia. Sci. Rep. *6*, 35320.

Harrison, P.J., Lyon, L., Sartorius, L.J., Burnet, P.W., and Lane, T.A. (2008). The group II metabotropic glutamate receptor 3 (mGluR3, mGlu3, GRM3): expression, function and involvement in schizophrenia. J. Psychopharmacol. (Oxford) *22*, 308–322.

Heidinger, V., Manzerra, P., Wang, X.Q., Strasser, U., Yu, S.P., Choi, D.W., and Behrens, M.M. (2002). Metabotropic glutamate receptor 1-induced upregulation of NMDA receptor current: mediation through the Pyk2/Src-family kinase pathway in cortical neurons. J. Neurosci. *22*, 5452–5461.

Homayoun, H., Stefani, M.R., Adams, B.W., Tamagan, G.D., and Moghaddam, B. (2004). Functional interaction between NMDA and mGlu5 receptors: effects on working memory, instrumental learning, motor behaviors, and dopamine release. Neuropsychopharmacology *29*, 1259–1269.

Hopkins, C.R. (2013). Is there a path forward for mGlu(2) positive allosteric modulators for the treatment of schizophrenia? ACS Chem. Neurosci. *4*, 211–213.

Horio, M., Fujita, Y., and Hashimoto, K. (2013). Therapeutic effects of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 positive allosteric modulator CDPPB on

phencyclidine-induced cognitive deficits in mice. Fundam. Clin. Pharmacol. *27*, 483–488.

Hübner, H., Schellhorn, T., Gienger, M., Schaab, C., Kaindl, J., Leeb, L., Clark, T., Möller, D., and Gmeiner, P. (2016). Structure-guided development of heterodimer-selective GPCR ligands. Nat. Commun. *7*, 12298.

Ince, E., Ciliax, B.J., and Levey, A.I. (1997). Differential expression of D1 and D2 dopamine and m4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor proteins in identified striatonigral neurons. Synapse *27*, 357–366.

Jin, L.E., Wang, M., Yang, S.T., Yang, Y., Galvin, V.C., Lightbourne, T.C., Ottenheimer, D., Zhong, Q., Stein, J., Raja, A., et al. (2016). mGluR2/3 mechanisms in primate dorsolateral prefrontal cortex: evidence for both presynaptic and postsynaptic actions. Mol. Psychiatry. Published August 9, 2016. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.129.

Jodo, E. (2013). The role of the hippocampo-prefrontal cortex system in phencyclidine-induced psychosis: a model for schizophrenia. J. Physiol. Paris *107*, 434–440.

Jones, C.K., Brady, A.E., Davis, A.A., Xiang, Z., Bubser, M., Tantawy, M.N., Kane, A.S., Bridges, T.M., Kennedy, J.P., Bradley, S.R., et al. (2008). Novel selective allosteric activator of the M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor regulates amyloid processing and produces antipsychotic-like activity in rats. J. Neurosci. *28*, 10422–10433.

Kalinichev, M., Le Poul, E., Boléa, C., Girard, F., Campo, B., Fonsi, M., Royer-Urios, I., Browne, S.E., Uslaner, J.M., Davis, M.J., et al. (2014). Characterization of the novel positive allosteric modulator of the metabotropic glutamate receptor 4 ADX88178 in rodent models of neuropsychiatric disorders. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *350*, 495–505.

Kammermeier, P.J. (2012). Functional and pharmacological characteristics of metabotropic glutamate receptors 2/4 heterodimers. Mol. Pharmacol. *82*, 438–447.

Kapur, S., Zipursky, R., Jones, C., Remington, G., and Houle, S. (2000). Relationship between dopamine D(2) occupancy, clinical response, and side effects: a double-blind PET study of first-episode schizophrenia. Am. J. Psychiatry *157*, 514–520.

Kenakin, T. (2004). Allosteric modulators: the new generation of receptor antagonist. Mol. Interv. *4*, 222–229.

Kenakin, T., and Christopoulos, A. (2013). Signalling bias in new drug discovery: detection, quantification and therapeutic impact. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. *12*, 205–216.

Kinney, G.G., O'Brien, J.A., Lemaire, W., Burno, M., Bickel, D.J., Clements, M.K., Chen, T.B., Wisnoski, D.D., Lindsley, C.W., Tiller, P.R., et al. (2005). A novel selective positive allosteric modulator of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 has in vivo activity and antipsychotic-like effects in rat behavioral models. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *313*, 199–206.

Kinon, B.J., Zhang, L., Millen, B.A., Osuntokun, O.O., Williams, J.E., Kollack-Walker, S., Jackson, K., Kryzhanovskaya, L., and Jarkova, N.; HBBI Study Group (2011). A multicenter, inpatient, phase 2, double-blind, placebo-controlled dose-ranging study of LY2140023 monohydrate in patients with DSM-IV schizophrenia. J. Clin. Psychopharmacol. *31*, 349–355.

Kinon, B.J., Millen, B.A., Zhang, L., and McKinzie, D.L. (2015). Exploratory analysis for a targeted patient population responsive to the metabotropic glutamate 2/3 receptor agonist pomaglumetad methionil in schizophrenia. Biol. Psychiatry *78*, 754–762.

Kiyonaka, S., Kubota, R., Michibata, Y., Sakakura, M., Takahashi, H., Numata, T., Inoue, R., Yuzaki, M., and Hamachi, I. (2016). Allosteric activation of membrane-bound glutamate receptors using coordination chemistry within living cells. Nat. Chem. *8*, 958–967, advance online publication.

Kristiansen, K. (2004). Molecular mechanisms of ligand binding, signaling, and regulation within the superfamily of G-protein-coupled receptors: molecular modeling and mutagenesis approaches to receptor structure and function. Pharmacol. Ther. *103*, 21–80.

Kruse, A.C., Ring, A.M., Manglik, A., Hu, J., Hu, K., Eitel, K., Hübner, H., Pardon, E., Valant, C., Sexton, P.M., et al. (2013). Activation and allosteric modulation of a muscarinic acetylcholine receptor. Nature *504*, 101–106.

Kruse, A.C., Kobilka, B.K., Gautam, D., Sexton, P.M., Christopoulos, A., and Wess, J. (2014). Muscarinic acetylcholine receptors: novel opportunities for drug development. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. *13*, 549–560.

Kurita, M., Holloway, T., García-Bea, A., Kozlenkov, A., Friedman, A.K., Moreno, J.L., Heshmati, M., Golden, S.A., Kennedy, P.J., Takahashi, N., et al. (2012). HDAC2 regulates atypical antipsychotic responses through the modulation of mGlu2 promoter activity. Nat. Neurosci. *15*, 1245–1254.

Lane, J.R., Donthamsetti, P., Shonberg, J., Draper-Joyce, C.J., Dentry, S., Michino, M., Shi, L., López, L., Scammells, P.J., Capuano, B., et al. (2014). A new mechanism of allostery in a G protein-coupled receptor dimer. Nat. Chem. Biol. *10*, 745–752.

Lange, H.S., Cannon, C.E., Drott, J.T., Kuduk, S.D., and Uslaner, J.M. (2015). The M1 muscarinic positive allosteric modulator PQCA improves performance on translatable tests of memory and attention in rhesus monkeys. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *355*, 442–450.

Langmead, C.J., Watson, J., and Reavill, C. (2008). Muscarinic acetylcholine receptors as CNS drug targets. Pharmacol. Ther. *117*, 232–243.

Latorraca, N.R., Venkatakrishnan, A.J., and Dror, R.O. (2017). GPCR dynamics: structures in motion. Chem. Rev. *117*, 139–155.

Leach, K., Sexton, P.M., and Christopoulos, A. (2007). Allosteric GPCR modulators: taking advantage of permissive receptor pharmacology. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. *28*, 382–389.

Leach, K., Loiacono, R.E., Felder, C.C., McKinzie, D.L., Mogg, A., Shaw, D.B., Sexton, P.M., and Christopoulos, A. (2010). Molecular mechanisms of action and in vivo validation of an M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor allosteric modulator with potential antipsychotic properties. Neuropsychopharmacology *35*, 855–869.

Levitz, J., Pantoja, C., Gaub, B., Janovjak, H., Reiner, A., Hoagland, A., Schoppik, D., Kane, B., Stawski, P., Schier, A.F., et al. (2013). Optical control of metabotropic glutamate receptors. Nat. Neurosci. *16*, 507–516.

Levitz, J., Habrian, C., Bharill, S., Fu, Z., Vafabakhsh, R., and Isacoff, E.Y. (2016). Mechanism of assembly and cooperativity of homomeric and heteromeric metabotropic glutamate receptors. Neuron *92*, 143–159.

Lewis, M.A., Hunihan, L., Watson, J., Gentles, R.G., Hu, S., Huang, Y., Bronson, J., Macor, J.E., Beno, B.R., Ferrante, M., et al. (2015). Discovery of D1 dopamine receptor positive allosteric modulators: characterization of pharmacology and identification of residues that regulate species selectivity. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *354*, 340–349.

Lin, C.W., Chen, C.Y., Cheng, S.J., Hu, H.T., and Hsueh, Y.P. (2014). Sarm1 deficiency impairs synaptic function and leads to behavioral deficits, which can be ameliorated by an mGluR allosteric modulator. Front. Cell. Neurosci. *8*, 87.

Litman, R.E., Smith, M.A., Doherty, J.J., Cross, A., Raines, S., Gertsik, L., and Zukin, S.R. (2016). AZD8529, a positive allosteric modulator at the mGluR2 receptor, does not improve symptoms in schizophrenia: A proof of principle study. Schizophr. Res. *172*, 152–157.

Liu, F., Grauer, S., Kelley, C., Navarra, R., Graf, R., Zhang, G., Atkinson, P.J., Popiolek, M., Wantuch, C., Khawaja, X., et al. (2008). ADX47273 [S-(4-fluoro-phenyl)-3-[3-(4-fluoro-phenyl)-[1,2,4]-oxadiazol-5-yl]-piperidin-1-yl-methanone]: a novel metabotropic glutamate receptor 5-selective positive allosteric modulator with preclinical antipsychotic-like and procognitive activities. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *327*, 827–839.

López De Jesús, M., Stope, M.B., Oude Weernink, P.A., Mahlke, Y., Börgermann, C., Ananaba, V.N., Rimmbach, C., Rosskopf, D., Michel, M.C., Jakobs, K.H., and Schmidt, M. (2006). Cyclic AMP-dependent and Epac-mediated activation of R-Ras by G protein-coupled receptors leads to phospholipase D stimulation. J. Biol. Chem. *281*, 21837–21847.

Lovell, K.M., Felts, A.S., Rodriguez, A.L., Venable, D.F., Cho, H.P., Morrison, R.D., Byers, F.W., Daniels, J.S., Niswender, C.M., Conn, P.J., et al. (2013). N-Acyl-N'-arylpiperazines as negative allosteric modulators of mGlu1: identification of VU0469650, a potent and selective tool compound with CNS exposure in rats. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. *23*, 3713–3718.

Lum, E.N., Campbell, R.R., Rostock, C., and Szumlinski, K.K. (2014). mGluR1 within the nucleus accumbens regulates alcohol intake in mice under limited-access conditions. Neuropharmacology *79*, 679–687.

Lyon, L., Burnet, P.W., Kew, J.N., Corti, C., Rawlins, J.N., Lane, T., De Filippis, B., Harrison, P.J., and Bannerman, D.M. (2011). Fractionation of spatial memory in GRM2/3 (mGlu2/mGlu3) double knockout mice reveals a role for group II metabotropic glutamate receptors at the interface between arousal and cognition. Neuropsychopharmacology 36, 2616–2628.

Ma, L., Seager, M.A., Wittmann, M., Jacobson, M., Bickel, D., Burno, M., Jones, K., Graufelds, V.K., Xu, G., Pearson, M., et al. (2009). Selective activation of the M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor achieved by allosteric potentiation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106, 15950–15955.

Maillet, E.L., Pellegrini, N., Valant, C., Bucher, B., Hibert, M., Bourguignon, J.J., and Galzi, J.L. (2007). A novel, conformation-specific allosteric inhibitor of the tachykinin NK2 receptor (NK2R) with functionally selective properties. FASEB J. 21, 2124–2134.

Mannaioni, G., Marino, M.J., Valenti, O., Traynelis, S.F., and Conn, P.J. (2001). Metabotropic glutamate receptors 1 and 5 differentially regulate CA1 pyramidal cell function. J. Neurosci. 21, 5925–5934.

Marino, M.J., and Conn, P.J. (2002). Direct and indirect modulation of the N-methyl D-aspartate receptor. Curr. Drug Targets CNS Neurol. Disord. 1, 1–16.

Marlo, J.E., Niswender, C.M., Days, E.L., Bridges, T.M., Xiang, Y., Rodriguez, A.L., Shirey, J.K., Brady, A.E., Nalywajko, T., Luo, Q., et al. (2009). Discovery and characterization of novel allosteric potentiators of M1 muscarinic receptors reveals multiple modes of activity. Mol. Pharmacol. 75, 577–588.

Mathiesen, J.M., Ulven, T., Martini, L., Gerlach, L.O., Heinemann, A., and Kostenis, E. (2005). Identification of indole derivatives exclusively interfering with a G protein-independent signaling pathway of the prostaglandin D2 receptor CRTH2. Mol. Pharmacol. 68, 393–402.

May, L.T., Leach, K., Sexton, P.M., and Christopoulos, A. (2007). Allosteric modulation of G protein-coupled receptors. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 47, 1–51.

Michalon, A., Sidorov, M., Ballard, T.M., Ozmen, L., Spooren, W., Wettstein, J.G., Jaeschke, G., Bear, M.F., and Lindemann, L. (2012). Chronic pharmacological mGlu5 inhibition corrects fragile X in adult mice. Neuron 74, 49–56.

Millan, M.J., Andrieux, A., Bartzokis, G., Cadenhead, K., Dazzan, P., Fusar-Poli, P., Gallinat, J., Giedd, J., Grayson, D.R., Heinrichs, M., et al. (2016). Altering the course of schizophrenia: progress and perspectives. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 15, 485–515.

Milligan, G. (2013). The prevalence, maintenance, and relevance of G protein-coupled receptor oligomerization. Mol. Pharmacol. 84, 158–169.

Mistry, S.N., Shonberg, J., Draper-Joyce, C.J., Klein Herenbrink, C., Michino, M., Shi, L., Christopoulos, A., Capuano, B., Scammells, P.J., and Lane, J.R. (2015). Discovery of a novel class of negative allosteric modulator of the dopamine D2 receptor through fragmentation of a bitopic ligand. J. Med. Chem. 58, 6819–6843.

Mockett, B.G., and Hulme, S.R. (2008). Metaplasticity: new insights through electrophysiological investigations. J. Integr. Neurosci. 7, 315–336.

Moghaddam, B. (2004). Targeting metabotropic glutamate receptors for treatment of the cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) 174, 39–44.

Moreno, J.L., Miranda-Azpiazu, P., García-Bea, A., Younkin, J., Cui, M., Kozlenkov, A., Ben-Ezra, A., Voloudakis, G., Fakira, A.K., Baki, L., et al. (2016). Allosteric signaling through an mGlu2 and 5-HT2A heteromeric receptor complex and its potential contribution to schizophrenia. Sci. Signal. 9, ra5.

Muguruza, C., Meana, J.J., and Callado, L.F. (2016). Group II metabotropic glutamate receptors as targets for novel antipsychotic drugs. Front. Pharmacol. 7, 130.

Nickols, H.H., and Conn, P.J. (2014). Development of allosteric modulators of GPCRs for treatment of CNS disorders. Neurobiol. Dis. 61, 55–71.

Nickols, H.H., Yuh, J.P., Gregory, K.J., Morrison, R.D., Bates, B.S., Stauffer, S.R., Emmitte, K.A., Bubser, M., Peng, W., Nedelcovych, M.T., et al. (2016). VU0477573: partial negative allosteric modulator of the subtype 5 metabotropic glutamate receptor with in vivo efficacy. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 356, 123–136.

Nicoletti, F., Bruno, V., Ngomba, R.T., Gradini, R., and Battaglia, G. (2015). Metabotropic glutamate receptors as drug targets: what's new? Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 20, 89–94.

Niswender, C.M., and Conn, P.J. (2010). Metabotropic glutamate receptors: physiology, pharmacology, and disease. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 50, 295–322.

Niswender, C.M., Johnson, K.A., Miller, N.R., Ayala, J.E., Luo, Q., Williams, R., Saleh, S., Orton, D., Weaver, C.D., and Conn, P.J. (2010). Context-dependent pharmacology exhibited by negative allosteric modulators of metabotropic glutamate receptor 7. Mol. Pharmacol. 77, 459–468.

Niswender, C.M., Jones, C.K., Lin, X., Bubser, M., Thompson Gray, A., Blobaum, A.L., Engers, D.W., Rodriguez, A.L., Loch, M.T., Daniels, J.S., et al. (2016). Development and antiparkinsonian activity of VU0418506, a selective positive allosteric modulator of metabotropic glutamate receptor 4 homomers without activity at mGlu2/4 heteromers. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 7, 1201–1211.

Noetzel, M.J., Gregory, K.J., Vinson, P.N., Manka, J.T., Stauffer, S.R., Lindsley, C.W., Niswender, C.M., Xiang, Z., and Conn, P.J. (2013). A novel metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 positive allosteric modulator acts at a unique site and confers stimulus bias to mGlu5 signaling. Mol. Pharmacol. 83, 835–847.

Oldham, W.M., and Hamm, H.E. (2008). Heterotrimeric G protein activation by G-protein-coupled receptors. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 9, 60–71.

Oswald, C., Rappas, M., Kean, J., Doré, A.S., Errey, J.C., Bennett, K., Deflorian, F., Christopher, J.A., Jazayeri, A., Mason, J.S., et al. (2016). Intracellular allosteric antagonism of the CCR9 receptor. Nature 540, 462–465.

Pancani, T., Bolarinwa, C., Smith, Y., Lindsley, C.W., Conn, P.J., and Xiang, Z. (2014). M4 mAChR-mediated modulation of glutamatergic transmission at corticostriatal synapses. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 5, 318–324.

Pancani, T., Foster, D.J., Moehle, M.S., Bichell, T.J., Bradley, E., Bridges, T.M., Klar, R., Poslusney, M., Rook, J.M., Daniels, J.S., et al. (2015). Allosteric activation of M4 muscarinic receptors improve behavioral and physiological alterations in early symptomatic YAC128 mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112, 14078–14083.

Parmentier-Batteur, S., Hutson, P.H., Menzel, K., Uslaner, J.M., Mattson, B.A., O'Brien, J.A., Magliaro, B.C., Forest, T., Stump, C.A., Tynebor, R.M., et al. (2014). Mechanism based neurotoxicity of mGlu5 positive allosteric modulators—development challenges for a promising novel antipsychotic target. Neuropharmacology 82, 161–173.

Patil, S.T., Zhang, L., Martenyi, F., Lowe, S.L., Jackson, K.A., Andreev, B.V., Avedisova, A.S., Bardenstein, L.M., Gurovich, I.Y., Morozova, M.A., et al. (2007). Activation of mGlu2/3 receptors as a new approach to treat schizophrenia: a randomized Phase 2 clinical trial. Nat. Med. 13, 1102–1107.

Petralia, R.S., Wang, Y.X., Niedzielski, A.S., and Wenthold, R.J. (1996). The metabotropic glutamate receptors, mGluR2 and mGluR3, show unique postsynaptic, presynaptic and glial localizations. Neuroscience 71, 949–976.

Pittolo, S., Gómez-Santacana, X., Eckelt, K., Rovira, X., Dalton, J., Goudet, C., Pin, J.P., Llobet, A., Giraldo, J., Llebaria, A., and Gorostiza, P. (2014). An allosteric modulator to control endogenous G protein-coupled receptors with light. Nat. Chem. Biol. 10, 813–815.

Power, E.M., English, N.A., and Empson, R.M. (2016). Are type 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors a viable therapeutic target for the treatment of cerebellar ataxia? J. Physiol. 594, 4643–4652.

Puri, V., Wang, X., Vardigan, J.D., Kuduk, S.D., and Uslaner, J.M. (2015). The selective positive allosteric M1 muscarinic receptor modulator PQCA attenuates learning and memory deficits in the Tg2576 Alzheimer's disease mouse model. Behav. Brain Res. 287, 96–99.

Raedler, T.J., Bymaster, F.P., Tandon, R., Copolov, D., and Dean, B. (2007). Towards a muscarinic hypothesis of schizophrenia. Mol. Psychiatry 12, 232–246.

Reilly, J.L., Harris, M.S., Khine, T.T., Keshavan, M.S., and Sweeney, J.A. (2007). Antipsychotic drugs exacerbate impairment on a working memory task in first-episode schizophrenia. Biol. Psychiatry 62, 818–821.

Rice, M.E., Patel, J.C., and Cragg, S.J. (2011). Dopamine release in the basal ganglia. Neuroscience 198, 112–137.

Rodriguez, A.L., Nong, Y., Sekaran, N.K., Alagille, D., Tamagnan, G.D., and Conn, P.J. (2005). A close structural analog of 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)-pyridine acts as a neutral allosteric site ligand on metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 and blocks the effects of multiple allosteric modulators. Mol. Pharmacol. 68, 1793–1802.

Rodriguez, A.L., Grier, M.D., Jones, C.K., Herman, E.J., Kane, A.S., Smith, R.L., Williams, R., Zhou, Y., Marlo, J.E., Days, E.L., et al. (2010). Discovery of novel allosteric modulators of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 reveals chemical and functional diversity and in vivo activity in rat behavioral models of anxiolytic and antipsychotic activity. Mol. Pharmacol. 78, 1105–1123.

Rook, J.M., Noetzel, M.J., Pouliot, W.A., Bridges, T.M., Vinson, P.N., Cho, H.P., Zhou, Y., Gogliotti, R.D., Manka, J.T., Gregory, K.J., et al. (2013). Unique signaling profiles of positive allosteric modulators of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 determine differences in in vivo activity. Biol. Psychiatry 73, 501–509.

Rook, J.M., Xiang, Z., Lv, X., Ghoshal, A., Dickerson, J.W., Bridges, T.M., Johnson, K.A., Foster, D.J., Gregory, K.J., Vinson, P.N., et al. (2015). Biased mGlu5-positive allosteric modulators provide in vivo efficacy without potentiating mGlu5 modulation of NMDAR currents. Neuron 86, 1029–1040.

Rosell, D.R., Zaluda, L.C., McClure, M.M., Perez-Rodriguez, M.M., Strike, K.S., Barch, D.M., Harvey, P.D., Girgis, R.R., Hazlett, E.A., Mailman, R.B., et al. (2015). Effects of the D1 dopamine receptor agonist dihydrexidine (DAR-0100A) on working memory in schizotypal personality disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology 40, 446–453.

Rovira, X., Trapero, A., Pittolo, S., Zussy, C., Faucherre, A., Jopling, C., Giraldo, J., Pin, J.P., Gorostiza, P., Goudet, C., and Llebaria, A. (2016). OptoGluNAM4.1, a photoswitchable allosteric antagonist for real-time control of mGlu4 receptor activity. Cell Chem Biol 23, 929–934.

Rylander, D., Iderberg, H., Li, Q., Dekundy, A., Zhang, J., Li, H., Baishen, R., Danysz, W., Bezard, E., and Cenci, M.A. (2010). A mGluR5 antagonist under clinical development improves L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia in parkinsonian rats and monkeys. Neurobiol. Dis. 39, 352–361.

Salih, H., Anghelescu, I., Kezic, I., Sinha, V., Hoeben, E., Van Nueten, L., De Smedt, H., and De Boer, P. (2015). Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characterisation of JNJ-40411813, a positive allosteric modulator of mGluR2, in two randomised, double-blind phase-I studies. J. Psychopharmacol. (Oxford) 29, 414–425.

Sarihi, A., Jiang, B., Komaki, A., Sohya, K., Yanagawa, Y., and Tsumoto, T. (2008). Metabotropic glutamate receptor type 5-dependent long-term potentiation of excitatory synapses on fast-spiking GABAergic neurons in mouse visual cortex. J. Neurosci. 28, 1224–1235.

Sawa, A., and Snyder, S.H. (2003). Schizophrenia: neural mechanisms for novel therapies. Mol. Med. 9, 3–9.

Scarr, E., Craig, J.M., Cairns, M.J., Seo, M.S., Galati, J.C., Beveridge, N.J., Gibbons, A., Juzva, S., Weinrich, B., Parkinson-Bates, M., et al. (2013). Decreased cortical muscarinic M1 receptors in schizophrenia are associated with changes in gene promoter methylation, mRNA and gene targeting microRNA. Transl. Psychiatry 3, e230.

Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2014). Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. Nature 511, 421–427.

Sheffler, D.J., and Conn, P.J. (2008). Allosteric potentiators of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 1a differentially modulate independent signaling pathways in baby hamster kidney cells. Neuropharmacology 55, 419–427.

Shekhar, A., Potter, W.Z., Lightfoot, J., Lienemann, J., Dubé, S., Mallinckrodt, C., Bymaster, F.P., McKinzie, D.L., and Felder, C.C. (2008). Selective muscarinic receptor agonist xanomeline as a novel treatment approach for schizophrenia. Am. J. Psychiatry 165, 1033–1039.

Shen, W., Plotkin, J.L., Francardo, V., Ko, W.K., Xie, Z., Li, Q., Fieblinger, T., Wess, J., Neubig, R.R., Lindsley, C.W., et al. (2016). M4 muscarinic receptor signaling ameliorates striatal plasticity deficits in models of L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia. Neuron 90, 1139.

Shin, J.H., Adrover, M.F., Wess, J., and Alvarez, V.A. (2015). Muscarinic regulation of dopamine and glutamate transmission in the nucleus accumbens. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112, 8124–8129.

Shirey, J.K., Xiang, Z., Orton, D., Brady, A.E., Johnson, K.A., Williams, R., Ayala, J.E., Rodriguez, A.L., Wess, J., Weaver, D., et al. (2008). An allosteric potentiator of M4 mAChR modulates hippocampal synaptic transmission. Nat. Chem. Biol. 4, 42–50.

Shirey, J.K., Brady, A.E., Jones, P.J., Davis, A.A., Bridges, T.M., Kennedy, J.P., Jadhav, S.B., Menon, U.N., Xiang, Z., Watson, M.L., et al. (2009). A selective allosteric potentiator of the M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor increases activity of medial prefrontal cortical neurons and restores impairments in reversal learning. J. Neurosci. 29, 14271–14286.

Sławińska, A., Wierońska, J.M., Stachowicz, K., Marciniak, M., Lasoń-Tyburkiewicz, M., Gruca, P., Papp, M., Kusek, M., Tokarski, K., Doller, D., and Pilc, A. (2013). The antipsychotic-like effects of positive allosteric modulators of metabotropic glutamate mGlu4 receptors in rodents. Br. J. Pharmacol. 169, 1824–1839.

Smith, N.J., and Milligan, G. (2010). Allostery at G protein-coupled receptor homo- and heteromers: uncharted pharmacological landscapes. Pharmacol. Rev. 62, 701–725.

Spooren, W.P., Gasparini, F., van der Putten, H., Koller, M., Nakanishi, S., and Kuhn, R. (2000). Lack of effect of LY314582 (a group 2 metabotropic glutamate receptor agonist) on phencyclidine-induced locomotor activity in metabotropic glutamate receptor 2 knockout mice. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 397, R1–R2.

Staus, D.P., Strachan, R.T., Manglik, A., Pani, B., Kahsai, A.W., Kim, T.H., Wingler, L.M., Ahn, S., Chatterjee, A., Masoudi, A., et al. (2016). Allosteric nanobodies reveal the dynamic range and diverse mechanisms of G-protein-coupled receptor activation. Nature 535, 448–452.

Stefani, M.R., and Moghaddam, B. (2010). Activation of type 5 metabotropic glutamate receptors attenuates deficits in cognitive flexibility induced by NMDA receptor blockade. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 639, 26–32.

Strube, W., Bunse, T., Nitsche, M.A., Wobrock, T., Aborowa, R., Misewitsch, K., Herrmann, M., Falkai, P., and Hasan, A. (2015). Smoking restores impaired LTD-like plasticity in schizophrenia: a transcranial direct current stimulation study. Neuropsychopharmacology 40, 822–830.

Sun, Y., Lipton, J.O., Boyle, L.M., Madsen, J.R., Goldenberg, M.C., Pascual-Leone, A., Sahin, M., and Rotenberg, A. (2016). Direct current stimulation induces mGluR5-dependent neocortical plasticity. Ann. Neurol. 80, 233–246.

Suratman, S., Leach, K., Sexton, P., Felder, C., Loiacono, R., and Christopoulos, A. (2011). Impact of species variability and 'probe-dependence' on the detection and in vivo validation of allosteric modulation at the M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor. Br. J. Pharmacol. 162, 1659–1670.

Svensson, K.A., Heinz, B.A., Schaus, J.M., Beck, J.P., Hao, J., Krushinski, J.H., Reinhard, M.R., Cohen, M.P., Hellman, S.L., Getman, B.G., et al. (2017). An allosteric potentiator of the dopamine D1 receptor increases locomotor activity in human D1 knock-in mice without causing stereotypy or tachyphylaxis. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 360, 117–128.

Tan, H.Y., Chen, Q., Sust, S., Buckholtz, J.W., Meyers, J.D., Egan, M.F., Mattay, V.S., Meyer-Lindenberg, A., Weinberger, D.R., and Callicott, J.H. (2007). Epistasis between catechol-O-methyltransferase and type II metabotropic glutamate receptor 3 genes on working memory brain function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 12536–12541.

Thal, D.M., Sun, B., Feng, D., Nawaratne, V., Leach, K., Felder, C.C., Bures, M.G., Evans, D.A., Weis, W.I., Bachhawat, P., et al. (2016). Crystal structures of the M1 and M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors. Nature 531, 335–340.

Thomases, D.R., Cass, D.K., Meyer, J.D., Caballero, A., and Tseng, K.Y. (2014). Early adolescent MK-801 exposure impairs the maturation of ventral hippocampal control of basolateral amygdala drive in the adult prefrontal cortex. J. Neurosci. 34, 9059–9066.

Threlfell, S., Clements, M.A., Khodai, T., Pienaar, I.S., Exley, R., Wess, J., and Cragg, S.J. (2010). Striatal muscarinic receptors promote activity dependence of dopamine transmission via distinct receptor subtypes on cholinergic interneurons in ventral versus dorsal striatum. J. Neurosci. 30, 3398–3408.

Ugolini, A., Corsi, M., and Bordi, F. (1999). Potentiation of NMDA and AMPA responses by the specific mGluR5 agonist CHPG in spinal cord motoneurons. Neuropharmacology *38*, 1569–1576.

Uslaner, J.M., Parmentier-Batteur, S., Flick, R.B., Surles, N.O., Lam, J.S., McNaughton, C.H., Jacobson, M.A., and Hutson, P.H. (2009). Dose-dependent effect of CDPPB, the mGluR5 positive allosteric modulator, on recognition memory is associated with GluR1 and CREB phosphorylation in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus. Neuropharmacology *57*, 531–538.

Vardigan, J.D., Cannon, C.E., Puri, V., Dancho, M., Koser, A., Wittmann, M., Kuduk, S.D., Renger, J.J., and Uslaner, J.M. (2015). Improved cognition without adverse effects: novel M1 muscarinic potentiator compares favorably to donepezil and xanomeline in rhesus monkey. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) *232*, 1859–1866.

Vargas, A.J., and Harris, C.C. (2016). Biomarker development in the precision medicine era: lung cancer as a case study. Nat. Rev. Cancer *16*, 525–537.

Vicidomini, C., Ponzoni, L., Lim, D., Schmeisser, M.J., Reim, D., Morello, N., Orellana, D., Tozzi, A., Durante, V., Scalmani, P., et al. (2016). Pharmacological enhancement of mGlu5 receptors rescues behavioral deficits in SHANK3 knock-out mice. Mol. Psychiatry. Published online August, 26, 2016. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.70.

Walker, A.G., Wenthur, C.J., Xiang, Z., Rook, J.M., Emmitte, K.A., Niswender, C.M., Lindsley, C.W., and Conn, P.J. (2015). Metabotropic glutamate receptor 3 activation is required for long-term depression in medial prefrontal cortex and fear extinction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *112*, 1196–1201.

Waung, M.W., and Huber, K.M. (2009). Protein translation in synaptic plasticity: mGluR-LTD, Fragile X. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. *19*, 319–326.

Weiner, D.M., Levey, A.I., and Brann, M.R. (1990). Expression of muscarinic acetylcholine and dopamine receptor mRNAs in rat basal ganglia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *87*, 7050–7054.

Wise, A., Gearing, K., and Rees, S. (2002). Target validation of G-protein coupled receptors. Drug Discov. Today *7*, 235–246.

Won, H., Lee, H.R., Gee, H.Y., Mah, W., Kim, J.I., Lee, J., Ha, S., Chung, C., Jung, E.S., Cho, Y.S., et al. (2012). Autistic-like social behaviour in Shank2-mutant mice improved by restoring NMDA receptor function. Nature *486*, 261–265.

Woodward, N.D., Duffy, B., and Karbasforoushan, H. (2013). Prefrontal cortex activity during response selection predicts processing speed impairment in schizophrenia. J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. *19*, 782–791.

Wootten, D., Christopoulos, A., and Sexton, P.M. (2013). Emerging paradigms in GPCR allostery: implications for drug discovery. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. *12*, 630–644.

Wu, H., Wang, C., Gregory, K.J., Han, G.W., Cho, H.P., Xia, Y., Niswender, C.M., Katritch, V., Meiler, J., Cherezov, V., et al. (2014). Structure of a class C GPCR metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 bound to an allosteric modulator. Science *344*, 58–64.

Yin, S., Noetzel, M.J., Johnson, K.A., Zamorano, R., Jalan-Sakrikar, N., Gregory, K.J., Conn, P.J., and Niswender, C.M. (2014). Selective actions of novel allosteric modulators reveal functional heteromers of metabotropic glutamate receptors in the CNS. J. Neurosci. *34*, 79–94.

Zhang, Y., Rodriguez, A.L., and Conn, P.J. (2005). Allosteric potentiators of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 have differential effects on different signaling pathways in cortical astrocytes. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *315*, 1212–1219.