

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/10802277>

Modulation of the Immune System in Cannabis Users [3]

Article in JAMA The Journal of the American Medical Association · May 2003

DOI: 10.1001/jama.289.15.1929-b · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

50

READS

411

8 authors, including:



Simona Pichini

Istituto Superiore di Sanità

314 PUBLICATIONS **6,011** CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Magí Farré

Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol

520 PUBLICATIONS **11,761** CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Jordi Segura

IMIM Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute

291 PUBLICATIONS **7,456** CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Rafael de la Torre

Consorci MAR Parc de Salut de Barcelona

443 PUBLICATIONS **13,495** CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



VOHF (Virgin Olive oil and HDL functionality: a model for tailoring a functional food) [View project](#)



Predicting Risk of Emerging Drugs with In silico and Clinical Toxicology (PREDICT) [View project](#)

In Reply: Like Dr Fournier and colleagues, we are uncertain about the possibility of class-specific differences in clinical outcomes (such as stroke or myocardial infarction) among antihypertensive drugs. Although debate continues about their relative efficacies, thiazide diuretics, β -blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, and long-acting dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers have been shown to reduce the risk of stroke. However, given the results of the recently published ALLHAT trial¹ and the relative costs of the various agents, we believe that thiazide diuretics remain the agents of first choice in hypertensive patients for the primary prevention of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease. Furthermore, a meta-regression of 27 antihypertensive drug trials found that the reductions in stroke (as well as other cardiovascular end points) from antihypertensive therapy observed in these trials could be explained by the achieved differences in SBP.² Of note, this meta-regression included the CAPPP trial that Fournier et al mention.

Drs Lakshminarayan and Anderson are correct in stating that the NCEP guidelines define only stroke due to carotid artery disease as a coronary risk equivalent. Patients with ischemic stroke of other mechanisms may qualify for treatment on the basis of other risk factors of absolute LDL levels. We believe that this is consistent with our statement that patients with documented symptoms related to vascular atherosclerosis are at high risk of recurrent events and should be considered eligible for secondary prevention. Consistent with the estimates of Lakshminarayan and Anderson, we recently reported that among 119 consecutive patients with anterior circulation stroke or TIA evaluated in a stroke prevention clinic, 82% had an LDL cholesterol level higher than 100 mg/dL (2.59 mmol/L).³ In addition, 74% of patients had hypertension, 23% had diabetes, and 21% had established coronary artery disease. One year later, only half of patients had LDL cholesterol levels of 100 mg/dL (2.59 mmol/L) or less.³ Until better evidence is available, we believe that symptomatic atherosclerosis in any vascular bed should be considered a "coronary equivalent" and managed as such.

In response to Dr Kirshner, 4 randomized trials provide information on treatment strategies for the secondary prevention of stroke in survivors of TIA or stroke. One evaluated warfarin vs placebo (and vs aspirin),⁴ 2 compared aspirin with placebo,⁵⁻⁷ and 1 trial compared adjusted-dose warfarin with low-dose warfarin plus aspirin (this study was not strictly a "secondary prevention" trial because almost 40% of the patients enrolled had already experienced a thromboembolic event).⁸ The data from these trials confirm a substantial benefit with adjusted-dose warfarin and a smaller but still statistically significant benefit with aspirin, as we described. While these relative benefits are similar to those seen in the trials of primary prevention for atrial fibrillation discussed above, the absolute benefit is substantially higher in patients with prior TIA or stroke given their markedly higher stroke risk at baseline.

In response to Drs Gebel and Caplan, the Antiplatelet Trialists⁹ concluded that the addition of dipyridamole to aspirin did not provide any significant benefit over aspirin alone. However, this may have been due to the wide confidence intervals rather than to the lack of an effect. The authors did note that a single randomized trial found that the addition of extended-release dipyridamole to aspirin decreased the risk of death significantly. The results of ongoing trials should provide additional guidance.

Sharon E. Straus, MD, FRCPC

Department of Medicine

University of Toronto

Toronto, Ontario

Finlay A. McAlister, MD, FRCPC

Sumit R. Majumdar, MD, FRCPC

Division of General Internal Medicine

University of Alberta

Edmonton

1. Major outcomes in high-risk hypertensive patients randomized to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or calcium channel blocker vs diuretic: the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). *JAMA*. 2002;288:2981-2997.
2. Staessen JA, Wang JG, Thijs L. Cardiovascular protection and blood pressure reduction: a meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2001;358:1305-1315.
3. Mouradian MS, Majumdar SR, Senthilselvan A, et al. How well are hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, and smoking managed after a stroke or transient ischemic attack. *Stroke*. 2002;33:1656-1659.
4. European Atrial Fibrillation Trial Study Group. Secondary prevention in non-rheumatic atrial fibrillation after transient ischaemic attack or minor stroke. *Lancet*. 1993;342:1255-1262.
5. Diener HC, Cunha L, Forbes C, et al. European Secondary Prevention Study 2: dipyridamole and acetylsalicylic acid in the secondary prevention of stroke. *J Neurol Sci*. 1996;143:1-13.
6. Koudstaal PF. Anticoagulation for prevention of stroke in patients with non-rheumatic atrial fibrillation and history of stroke or transient ischemic attack. In: Warlow C, Van Gijn J, Sandercock P, eds. *Stroke Module of the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. Oxford, England: Cochrane Collaboration; 1999.
7. Benavente O, Hart R, Koudstaal P, Laupacis A, McBride R. Antiplatelet therapy for preventing stroke in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation and no previous history of stroke or transient ischemic attacks. In: Warlow C, Van Gijn J, Sandercock P, eds. *Stroke Module of the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. Oxford, England: Cochrane Collaboration; 1999.
8. Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation Investigators. Adjusted-dose warfarin versus low intensity, fixed-dose warfarin plus aspirin for high-risk patients with atrial fibrillation: Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation III randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 1996;348:633-638.
9. Antiplatelet Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction and stroke in high risk patients. *BMJ*. 2002;324:71-86.

RESEARCH LETTER

Modulation of the Immune System in Cannabis Users

To the Editor: In vitro studies and experiments in animal models have found that cannabinoids modulate immune cell function.¹ However, investigations of immune effects in human subjects are scarce and contradictory. Gene expression of cannabinoid receptors in peripheral blood mononuclear cells may be altered among marijuana users.² Experimental data in healthy persons have found abnormalities in T lymphocyte and natural killer (NK) cell function,

but have not confirmed that these alterations might affect susceptibility to infections.³ We sought to investigate cell-mediated immune response and cytokine release in cannabis users.

Methods. Participants were recruited by word of mouth and gave written consent to participate in the study, which was approved by our institutional ethical committee and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Volunteers were deemed healthy after a full medical history and examination. They were then interviewed about their recent use of illicit drugs, and their statements were confirmed by urine testing. A psychiatric screening excluded drug abuse or dependence (except for cannabis or nicotine) or psychiatric disorders according to criteria of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition*.

A blood sample was obtained between the hours of 8 and 11 AM to determine blood cell count and differential, lymphocyte immunophenotyping, lymphocyte proliferative response to mitogenic stimulation (stimulation index with phytohemagglutinine [SI-PHA] or concanavaline A [SI-ConA]), and levels of interleukin 2 (IL-2), interleukin 10 (IL-10), and trans-

forming growth factor β -1 (TGF β 1), as described previously.⁴ Comparisons between cannabis exposure categories were performed using χ^2 tests or analysis of variance. Multivariate linear regression models were fitted for each immune parameter to analyze the effects of cannabis consumption after adjusting for sex, as well as consumption of coffee, tobacco, and alcohol.

Results. According to total cannabis consumption and frequency of use during the previous 6 months, participants were classified as controls (n=32), occasional users (eventual to monthly use, n=13) and regular users (weekly to daily use, n=16). Sex, tobacco smoking, and alcohol consumption were unequally distributed between groups (TABLE).

Cannabis use was associated with a decrease in NK counts, lymphocyte proliferative response by SI-PHA and SI-ConA, and levels of IL-2, and an increase in levels of IL-10 and TGF β 1. No differences were found in counts of total lymphocytes or CD4, CD8, and CD19 cells (TABLE). The significant effect of cannabis consumption on immune measures persisted after multivariate analysis controlling for the possible confounding effects of sex and use of coffee, tobacco, and alcohol. A signifi-

Table. Group Characteristics and Immune Parameters in Controls and Cannabis Users

Characteristic/Parameter	Cannabis Consumption Group			P Value*
	Controls (n = 32)	Occasional Users (n = 13)	Regular Users (n = 16)	
Age, mean (SD), y	22 (3)	21 (1)	23 (2)	.18
Sex distribution, No (%)				
Male	23 (72)	12 (92)	6 (38)	.005
Female	9 (28)	1 (8)	10 (62)	
Coffee consumption, No (%)				
Daily	15 (47)	8 (61)	11 (69)	.32
Occasionally/none	17 (53)	5 (39)	5 (31)	
Tobacco smoking, No (%)				
Daily	4 (13)	2 (15)	4 (26)	.03
Weekly	3 (9)	3 (23)	6 (37)	
Occasionally/none	25 (78)	8 (62)	6 (37)	
Alcohol consumption, No (%)				
Daily	0	0	5 (31)	.003
Weekly	23 (72)	11 (85)	9 (56)	
Occasionally/none	9 (28)	2 (15)	2 (13)	
Immune parameters, mean (SD)				
Total lymphocytes, cells/ μ L	1950 (152)	1901 (141)	1862 (176)	.23
CD4 T cells, cells/ μ L	933 (105)	929 (160)	849 (132)	.14
CD8 T cells, cells/ μ L	548 (98)	574 (159)	538 (116)	.72
CD19 B cells, cells/ μ L	182 (61)	142 (45)	158 (76)	.13
NK cells, cells/ μ L	203 (82)	145 (67)	135 (103)	.02
SI-PHA, %	96 (15)	97 (25)	57 (27)††	<.001
SI-ConA, %	75 (17)	75 (18)	42 (26)††	<.001
IL-2, U/mL	10.6 (3.8)	8.6 (5.4)	5.0 (3.4)†	<.001
IL-10, pg/mL	903 (191)	1284 (327)§	1312 (365)†	<.001
TGF β 1, pg/mL	660 (172)	1450 (937)§	1629 (725)†	<.001

Abbreviations: IL-2, interleukin 2; IL-10, interleukin 10; SI-ConA, mitogenic stimulation index by concanavaline A; SI-PHA, mitogenic stimulation index by phytohemagglutinin; TGF β 1, transforming growth factor β -1.

*From χ^2 test or analysis of variance.

†Significant difference ($P < .05$) between controls and regular users, by analysis of variance post hoc Tukey multiple paired comparisons.

‡Significant difference ($P < .05$) between occasional users and regular users, by analysis of variance post hoc Tukey multiple paired comparisons.

§Significant difference ($P < .05$) between controls and occasional users, by analysis of variance post hoc Tukey multiple paired comparisons.

cant dose-response relationship was found between cannabis exposure (total life consumption, as the log-transformed number of cannabis “joints”) and the decrease in counts of total lymphocytes, CD4 or NK cells, and IL-2 levels, or the increase in IL-10 levels.

Comment. Cannabis use was associated with a decrease in levels of IL-2, a T_H1 -type cytokine related to cell-mediated immunity, and an increase in levels of IL-10, a T_H2 -type cytokine related to humoral immunity. The decrease of proinflammatory (IL-2) cytokines and the augment of anti-inflammatory (IL-10 and $TGF\beta 1$) cytokines was associated with a marked reduction in lymphocyte functionality, and a decrease in the number of NK cells. The suppression of immediate and innate responses of the immune system together with the disruption of T_H1/T_H2 balance might increase the susceptibility and promote the progression of infectious diseases and tumors, although the clinical relevance of these findings has not been clearly demonstrated in humans.^{3,5} It also has been suggested that immunomodulatory effects of cannabinoids on inflammatory and autoimmune disorders could lead to new therapeutic interventions.⁶

Roberta Pacifici, PhD
Piergiorgio Zuccaro, PhD
Simona Pichini, PhD
Laboratorio Biochimica Clinica
Istituto Superiore di Sanità (ISS)
Rome, Italy

Pere N. Roset, MD, PhD
Sandra Poudevida, PhD
Magi Farré, MD, PhD
Unit of Pharmacology
Institut Municipal d'Investigació Mèdica (IMIM)
Autonomous University of Barcelona
Barcelona, Spain
Jordi Segura, PhD
Rafael de la Torre, PharmD, PhD
Unit of Pharmacology
Institut Municipal d'Investigació Mèdica (IMIM)
Pompeu Fabra University
Barcelona

Funding/Support: This study was supported in part by Progetto No. 1 “Area Progetto Droga” from Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy; Generalitat de Catalunya (2001SGR00407); and Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias (FIS-00/00777), Spain.

1. Klein TW, Newton C, Friedman H. Cannabinoids receptors and immunity. *Immunol Today*. 1998;19:373-381.
2. Nong L, Newton C, Cheng Q, Friedman H, Roth MD, Klein TW. Altered cannabinoid receptor mRNA expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from marijuana smokers. *J Neuroimmunol*. 2002;127:169-176.
3. Kaslow RA, Blackwelder WC, Ostrow DG, et al. No evidence for a role of alcohol or other psychoactive drugs in accelerating immunodeficiency in HIV-1-positive individuals: a report from the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study. *JAMA*. 1989;261:3424-3429.
4. Pacifici R, Zuccaro P, Hernández-López, et al. Acute effects of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine alone and in combination with ethanol on the immune system in humans. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2001;296:207-215.
5. Roth MD, Baldwin GC, Tashkin DP. Effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol on human immune function and host defense. *Chem Phys Lipids*. 2002;121:229-239.
6. Zurier RB. Prospects for cannabinoids as anti-inflammatory agents. *J Cell Biochem*. 2003;88:462-466.

CME ANNOUNCEMENT

Online CME to Begin in Mid-2003

In mid-2003, *online* CME will be available for JAMA/Archives journals and will offer many enhancements:

- Article-specific questions
- Hypertext links from questions to the relevant content
- Online CME questionnaire
- Printable CME certificates and ability to access total CME credits

We apologize for the interruption in CME and hope that you will enjoy the improved online features that will be available in mid-2003.