Parser Combinators

Guide to Chapter 9 of Chiusano/Bjarnason

What do we learn from this chapter?

- How to **use** a parser combinator library?
- Specify a simple language (JSON) **using** a grammar and regexes
- **Design** an <u>internal DSL</u> for expressing grammars in scala
- Separating **design** from implementations

The yellow skills are more general.

BTW, none of the above is Scala-specific. Not even FP specific.

Key Concepts that appear in this chapter

- Algebraic design, algebra (type, operators, and laws)
- Full abstraction of a type
- Type constructor
- Higher-kind, higher-kinded polymorphism
- Structure-preserving map (the structure preservation law)
- Internal DSL, fluid interface

All of these are well hidden in the chapter (some not named explicitly), so make sure you identify them after class.

Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

Input data in JSON format

(this is an example in concrete syntax of JSON; basically a character string)

```json
{
  "Company name" : "Microsoft",
  "Ticker" : "MSFT",

  "Active" : true,
  "Price"  : 30.66,
  "Shares outstanding" : 8.38e9,
  "Related companies" :
    [ "HPQ", "IBM", "YHOO", "DELL", "GOOG", ],
}
```

The Example in JSON's <u>Abstract Syntax</u>

(no longer a string, but a structured Scala object)

```scala
JObject(Map(
  "Shares outstanding" -> JNumber(8.38E9),
  "Price" -> JNumber(30.66),
  "Company name" -> JString("Microsoft"),
  "Related companies" -> JArray(
      Vector(JString("HPQ"), JString("IBM"),
             JString("YHOO"), JString("DELL"),
             JString("GOOG"))),
  "Ticker" -> JString("MSFT"),
  "Active" -> JBool(true)))
```

Abstract Syntax for JSON

(the types of what we want to obtain from the input, using a parser)

```scala
trait JSON
case object JNull extends JSON
case class JNumber (get: Double) extends JSON
case class JString (get: String) extends JSON
case class JBool (get: Boolean) extends JSON
case class JArray (get: IndexedSeq[JSON])
    extends JSON
case class JObject (get: Map[String, JSON])
    extends JSON
```

Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

Algebraic Design

- **Algebraic design**: *design your interface first, along with associated laws. Use the types and laws to refactor and evolve the interface*.

- We are using types heavily, **designing the API with types**, compiling and trying expressions.

- Since **laws are properties**, they are **tests** (property tests). This is a form of test-driven development (TDD), or test-first development.

Algebraic Design, Full Abstraction, Higher Kinds
(the API/Interface first; separation of design & Implementation)

These types are **abstracted fully**;
We work without deciding how
they are implemented.
We typecheck & compile!

```scala
trait Parsers[ParseError, Parser[+_]] {

  def run[A] (p: Parser[A]) (input: String): Either[ParseError,A]

  def char (c: Char): Parser[Char]

  def string (c: String): Parser[String]

  def or[A] (s1: Parser[A], s2: Parser[A]): Parser[A]

  …

}
```

This is a higher kind (a type that is polymorphic in type constructors not in types!)

This is a type (variable)

This is a type constructor (variable). This particular variable must be instantiated with a covariant type constructor.

Algebraic Design
(laws, aka tests)

```
forAll { (c: Char) => run (char(c)) (c.toString) == Right (c) }


forAll { (s: String) => run (string (s)) (s) == Right(s) }


forAll { (s1: String, s2: String) =>
  val p = or (string(s1),string(s2))
  run (p) (s1) shouldBe Right (s1)
  run (p) (s2) shouldBe Right (s2) }


…
```

You can make such tests compile, before you have the implementation of parsers!

Map is structure preserving

Consider new API:

```
def many[A] (p: Parser[A]): Parser[List[A]]
def map[A,B] (p: Parser[A]) (f: A=>B): Parser[B]
```

Example:

```
map (many (char ('a'))) ( _.size)    ← What does this parser produce?
```

Law:

```
map (p) (a => a) == p // for any parser p
```

This means that map is structure preserving
(it only changes values produced, so with identity there is no change at all).
Category theory (mathematics of structures) says: there exist interesting laws about types;
Laws that can be written knowing only structures, not implementations.

Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

Parsing Combinators: TERMINALS for JSON

(We build a parser combinator language in which we can specify the translation)

```scala
val QUOTED: Parser[String] =
  """"([^"]*)"""".r
    .map { _ dropRight 1 substring 1}


val DOUBLE: Parser[Double] =
  """(\+|-)?[0-9]+(\.[0-9]+(e[0-9]+)?)?""".r
    .map { _.toDouble }


val ws: Parser[Unit] =
  "[\t\n ]+".r map { _ => () }
```

Parsing Combinators: JSON start symbol

```
lazy val json : Parser[JSON] =
  (jstring | jobject | jarray |
  jnull | jnumber | jbool) *| ws.?
```

- **|** is choice, **?** means optional
- ***|** is sequencing & ignore the right component when building AST
  (' x *| y ' is syntactic sugar for ' (x ** y) map { _._1 }'
- Laziness allows recursive rules (like in EBNF)

Turn terminal into AST leaves

```scala
val jnull: Parser[JSON] =
  "null" |* succeed (JNull)

val jbool: Parser[JBool] =
  (ws.? |* "true" |* succeed (JBool(true ))) |
  (ws.? |* "false"|* succeed (JBool(false)))

val jstring: Parser[JString] =
  QUOTED  map { JString(_) }

val jnumber: Parser[JNumber] =
  DOUBLE  map { JNumber(_) }
```

Parse complex values

```scala
lazy val jarray: Parser[JArray] =
  ( ws.? |* "[" |* (ws.? |* json *| ",").*
    *| ws.? *| "]" *| ws.? )
      .map { l => JArray (l.toVector) }

lazy val field: Parser[(String, JSON)] =
ws.? |* QUOTED *| ws.? *| ":" *| ws.? ** json *| ","

lazy val jobject: Parser[JObject] =
  (ws.? |* "{" |* field.* *| ws.? *| "}" *| ws.?)
      .map { l => JObject (l.toMap) }
```

Parser Combinators

(as an approach to parsing)

- Good for <u>ad hoc jobs</u>, parsing when regexes do not suffice

- Very <u>lightweight</u> as a dependency, no change to build process

- More <u>expressive</u> than generator-based tools (Turing complete)

- In <u>standard</u> libraries of many modern languages

- Error <u>reporting weaker</u> during parsing (but fpinscala does a good job)

- Usually <u>slower</u> than generated parsers (and use more memory), unless implemented at compile time (parboiled!)

- Typically <u>no support for debugging</u> grammars

Internal Domain Specific Languages
(Parser Combinators are one example)

- Parser Combinators are a <u>language</u> (loosely similar to EBNF)

- Slogan: <u>internal DSL is syntactic sugar of host language</u>

- <u>No external tools</u>, just <u>pure Scala</u>, no magic involved

- Sometimes Internal DSLs are called fluid interfaces (although it seems that that term is a bit more narrow).

Let's analyze one combinator Expression

```
QUOTED *| ":" ** json *| ","  // parser producing a field

QUOTED : Parser[String] // a parser producing a String
but implicit def operators[A](p:Parser[A])=ParserOps[A](p)
so operators(QUOTED) :ParserOps[String]


":" : String
but implicit def string (s: String): Parser[String]
so string (":") : Parser[String]


then (ParserOps[A])*| : Parser[B] => Parser[A]
So operators(QUOTED).*|(string(":")) : Parser[String]
```

What have we used to implement this DSL

- Polymorphic types (that check syntax of our programs), for instance:
  `(ParserOps[A]) *| : Parser[B] => Parser[A]`

- Function values: `type Parser[+A] = Location=>Result[A]`

- Implicits: `implicit def regex (r: Regex):Parser[String]`

- Calls to unary methods without period (infix ops are methods of ParserOps)

- `":" ** json` is really `":".**(json)`
  (which delegates to `Parsers.product(string (":"),json) )`

- Math symbols as names, eg: ?,|,*|,*|,*, etc
  (btw. Scala allows unicode identifiers, used in scalaz internal DSLs)

- Ability to drop parentheses on calls to nullary methods
  `ws.?` translates to `ws.?()` (which delegates to `Parsers.opt(ws) )`

- Used Scala's parentheses (and other stuff) as elements of our DSL

Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

Running the parser

- We need to implement a Parsers.run method

```scala
def run[A] (p: Parser[A]) (input: String): Either[ParseError,A]
```

- Then we call a parser as follows:
```scala
run ("abra" | "cadabra") ("abra")
```
or `("abra" | "cadabra") run "abra"`
(if we add a ParserOps delegation)

```scala
("abra" | "cada") run "abra" == Right("abra")

("abra" | "cada") run "Xbra" == Left(ParseError(...))
```

Implementing **run**

```scala
type Parser[+A] = Location => Result[A]

def run[A] (p: Parser[A]) (input: String)
    : Either[ParseError,A] =
  p (Location(input,0)) match {
    case Success(a,n) => Right (a)
    case Failure(err,_) =>  Left (err)
  }
```

Implementing a concrete parser

(simplified slightly for presentation)

```scala
implicit def string(s: String): Parser[String] =
  loc =>
    if (loc.curr startsWith s)
      Success (s, s.size)
    else {
      val seen = loc.curr.substring (0,
        Math.min(loc.curr.size, s.size))
      Failure (s"expected '$s' but seen '$seen'")
    }
```

Implementing an operator/combinator

(slightly simplified for presentation, flatMap strikes back)

```scala
def flatMap[A,B] (p: Parser[A]) (f: A=>Parser[B])
      : Parser[B] =
  loc => p(loc) match {
    case Success(a,n) => f(a) (loc advanceBy n)
    case e@Failure(_,_) => e
  }
```

Implementing an operator/combinator

(slightly simplified for presentation)

```scala
def or[A] (s1: Parser[A], s2: => Parser[A])
    : Parser[A] =
  loc => s1 (loc) match {
    case Failure (e) => s2 (loc)
    case r => r
  }


def product[A,B] (p1: Parser[A],p2: =>Parser[B])
    :Parser[(A,B)] =
  flatMap (p1) (a => map (p2)(b => (a,b)))
```

Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

Parsing Libraries in Programming Languages

Java	
Parser Generators	ANTLR, JavaCC, Rats!, APG, …
Parser Combinators	Parboiled, PetitParser
Scala	
Parser Generators	? (parboiled2)
Parser Combinators	Scala parser combinators (previously Scalalib), parboiled2 (technically also a generator), fastparse
JavaScript	
Parser Generators	ANTLR, Jison
Parser Combinators	Bennu, Parjs And Parsimmon
C#	
Parser Generators	ANTLR, APG
Parser Combinators	Pidgin, superpower, parseq
C++	
Parser Generators	ANTLR, APG, boost meta-parse (?) , boost spirit (?)
Parser Combinators	Cpp-peglib, pcomb, boost meta-parse, boost spirit, Parser-Combinators

Conclusion

(what you need to get from this week)

- Algebraic design, algebra (type, operators, and laws)
- Full abstraction of a type
- Type constructor
- Higher-kind, higher-kinded polymorphism
- Structure-preserving map (the structure preservation law)
- Internal DSL, fluid interface
- … and parser combinators ☺

This is the last chapter in the case study series. Hurray!