

CCMVI2085U Machine Learning for Predictive Analytics in Business
International Summer University 2019-2020
Midway Mock Exam Briefing Document

This Criterion Reference Grid (CRG) is comply with the Danish 7-point grading scale system and the course assessment result will be calculated based on the following tables.

Section	Weight
Section 1 (15%)	Question 1 (1%); Question 2 (2%); Question 3 (2%); Question 4 (2%); Question 5 (5%); Question 6 (3%).
Section 2 (15%)	Question 1 (2%); Question 2 (5%); Question 3 (8%).
Section 3 (70%)	Question 1 (5%); Question 2 (10%); Question 3 (15%); Question 4 (30%); Question 5 (10%).

Danish mark	Explanation of the mark	Descriptors	Numerical grade equivalent
12	For an excellent performance	You have clearly described the data and models to an excellent standard, carried our substantial and key steps with extensive explanation, and provided the plots as required to a great standard. Your discussion and presentations are detailed, in-depth, and offer a critique of the steps undertaken. You have demonstrated an excellent understanding of machine learning models as well as how to use Python.	96-100 %
10	For a very good performance	You have clearly described the data and models to a very good standard, carried our substantial and key steps with extensive explanation. Your discussion and presentations are detailed and offer a critique of the steps undertaken. A significant amount of the discussion is related to the key information obtained from the processes. You have demonstrated a very good understanding of how to use Python and good critical thinking and analysis.	83-95 %
7	For a good performance	You have clearly described the data and models, carried out some data pre-processing steps, and provided evidence to a good standard. You have demonstrated a good understanding of how to use Python but your discussion and presentations can be improved.	69-82 %
4	For a fair performance	You have given a basic description of the data and models, carried out some data pre-processing steps, and provided some evidence. Your discussion and presentations are brief with little critique, can be improved significantly.	56-68 %
02	For an adequate performance	You have given a very basic description of the data and models, carried out some data pre-processing steps, and provided some evidence. Your discussion and presentations are brief with some errors or flaw.	50-55 %
00	For an inadequate performance	You have not provided convincing evidence for attainment in respect of specific intended learning outcomes of the course.	26-49 % (more work required)
-3	For an unacceptable performance		0-25 % (much more work required)