

Quantum Mechanics 7

Daniel Holleufer

In this exercise we consider a hydrogen atom, given that spin and fine structure effects are neglected. The normalized energy eigenfunctions are denoted as

$$\phi_{nlm}(\vec{r}),$$


where n is the principal quantum number, and l and m are the usual quantum numbers belonging to \hat{L}^2 and \hat{L}_z respectively. As usual we introduce the operators

$$\hat{L}_+ = \hat{L}_x + i\hat{L}_y$$

$$\hat{L}_- = \hat{L}_x - i\hat{L}_y$$

1. It is shown that ϕ_{nlm} is an eigenfunction for the operator $\hat{L}_+\hat{L}_-$, and the eigenvalue is determined.

The full expression for ϕ_{nlm} is given as


$$\phi_{nlm} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{2}{na}\right)^3 \frac{(n-l-1)!}{2n(n+l)!}} e^{-\frac{r}{na}} \left(\frac{2r}{na}\right)^l [L_{n-l-1}^{2l+1}] Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi). \quad (1)$$

To avoid any confusion with the energy eigenfunctions, we write the second angle in Y_l^m as φ , instead of the usual ϕ . To make things simpler, we can rewrite equation (1) as

$$\phi_{nlm} = R_{nl}(r) Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi).$$

Now let us consider the operator $\hat{L}_+\hat{L}_-$. It can be written as

$$\hat{L}_+\hat{L}_- = \hat{L}^2 - \hat{L}_z^2 + \hbar\hat{L}_z,$$

where \hat{L}^2 and \hat{L}_z are the two operators

$$\hat{L}^2 = -\hbar^2 \left[\frac{1}{\sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \right],$$

and

$$\hat{L}_z = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}.$$

We see no r dependency in \hat{L}^2 and \hat{L}_z . We can therefore treat $R_{nl}(r)$ as a constant during the transformation.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_- \phi_{nlm} &= \hat{L}^2 \phi_{nlm} - \hat{L}_z^2 \phi_{nlm} + \hbar \hat{L}_z \phi_{nlm} \\ &= \hat{L}^2 R_{nl} Y_l^m - \hat{L}_z^2 R_{nl} Y_l^m + \hbar \hat{L}_z R_{nl} Y_l^m \\ &= \hbar^2 l(l+1) R_{nl} Y_l^m - \hbar^2 m^2 R_{nl} Y_l^m + \hbar^2 m R_{nl} Y_l^m \\ &= \hbar^2 [l(l+1) - m(m-1)] R_{nl}(r) Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) \\ &= \hbar^2 [l(l+1) - m(m-1)] \phi_{nlm}.\end{aligned}$$

So ϕ_{nlm} is indeed an eigenfunction to the operator $\hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_-$, with eigenvalue $\hbar^2 [l(l+1) - m(m-1)]$.

2. Consider the state

$$\psi(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_{21-1}(\vec{r}) + i\phi_{211}(\vec{r})).$$

It is explained why ψ is an eigenfunction for the energy as well as \hat{L}^2 , but not for \hat{L}_z .

Because $n = 2$ for both energy eigenfunctions, they have the same energy. Therefore ψ will only have one possible value for the energy, which means it is an eigenfunction for \hat{H} . They also share the same $l = 1$, which is the only quantum number to appear in the eigenvalue for \hat{L}^2 , so they have the same eigenvalue for that operator. Their m 's are different, so they cannot share the same eigenvalue for \hat{L}_z , since it depends on m .

3. Now consider the 3-dimensional subspace \mathcal{H} spanned by ϕ_{21-1} , ϕ_{210} , and ϕ_{211} . The matrix representations of \hat{L}^2 , \hat{L}_z , \hat{L}_+ , and \hat{L}_- in the subspace \mathcal{H} are determined.

We choose a basis where ϕ_{21-1} , ϕ_{210} , and ϕ_{211} can be written as the three basis vectors \mathbf{e}_1 , \mathbf{e}_2 , and \mathbf{e}_3 . To obtain the matrix representation, we apply the operators on each basis element, and write the new vectors in a matrix.

For \hat{L}^2 , we observe that each of the functions that span the current subspace, have $l = 1$, so they have the same eigenvalue $2\hbar^2$ for \hat{L}^2 . This comes from

$$\hat{L}^2 f_l^m = \hbar^2 l(l+1) f_l^m.$$

The matrix representation becomes

$$\hat{L}^2 = 2\hbar^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \square$$

The three functions have different values for m , so their eigenvalues for \hat{L}_z will be different. They are given by

$$\hat{L}_z f_l^m = \hbar m f_l^m.$$

When applied to the three functions we get the eigenvalues -1 , 0 , and 1 respectively. The matrix representation becomes

$$\hat{L}_z = \hbar \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \square$$

For the operators \hat{L}_+ , we use the results proven in G3.21

$$\hat{L}_+ f_l^m = \hbar \sqrt{(l-m)(l+m+1)} f_l^{m+1}$$

When this is used on the three basis functions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_+ \phi_1^{-1} &= \sqrt{2}\hbar \phi_1^0 \\ \hat{L}_+ \phi_1^0 &= \sqrt{2}\hbar \phi_1^1 \\ \hat{L}_+ \phi_1^1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The last one becomes zero because m becomes greater than l . The matrix representation becomes

$$\hat{L}_+ = \sqrt{2}\hbar \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$


The matrix representation for \hat{L}_- is easily found by using $\hat{L}_+^\dagger = \hat{L}_-$.

$$\hat{L}_- = \sqrt{2}\hbar \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \square$$

4. The matrix representation of \hat{L}_x in \mathcal{H} is determined.

By adding \hat{L}_+ and \hat{L}_- we get $2\hat{L}_x$. Dividing by two yields


$$\hat{L}_x = \frac{\hat{L}_+ + \hat{L}_-}{2}.$$

The matrix representation for \hat{L}_x becomes the sum of the matrix representations for \hat{L}_+ and \hat{L}_- divided by two. 

$$\hat{L}_x = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$


5. All eigenvalues and eigenvectors for \hat{L}_x in the subspace \mathcal{H} are determined.

The eigenvalues are found by determining the roots of the characteristic polynomial for \hat{L}_x ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \det(\hat{L}_x - t\mathbf{I}_3) \\ &= \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} -t & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & -t & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & -t \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{} \\ &= -t^3 + \hbar^2 t. \end{aligned}$$

The solutions to this equations (and therefore the eigenvalues to \hat{L}_x) are $\lambda = 0$, $\lambda = \hbar$, and $\lambda = -\hbar$. We determine the eigenvectors by finding the null space for the matrix $\hat{L}_x - \lambda\mathbf{I}_3$. First $\lambda = 0$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The only free variable is the third one. Therefore the eigenvector for 0 is  $[-1, 0, 1]^T$. For $\lambda = \hbar$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\hbar & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & -\hbar & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & -\hbar \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Again, the free variable is the third one. The eigenvector for \hbar is $[1, \sqrt{2}, 1]^T$.

For $\lambda = -\hbar$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hbar & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & \hbar & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} & \hbar \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

And again, the only free variable is the third one. The eigenvector for $-\hbar$ is $[1, -\sqrt{2}, 1]^T$.