

Project Definition Document (PDD)

Cover Sheet

Project Title: Decompiling Ethereum EVM ByteCode for Static Analysis

Prepared for: City UOL, Department of Computer Science

Prepared by: Gera Jahja, Computer Science Bsc

Email: gera.jahja@city.ac.uk / g_jahja31@outlook.com

Consultant: Martin Nyx Brain

Academic Client: Martin Nyx Brain and Michał Król

Date: February 2022

This project is an academic proposal supervised by Martin Nyx Brain and Michał Król. The project will be to write a decompiler so that it can convert EVM byte code into C that can be handled by the CPROVER tools.

Project Proposal

Problem to be solved:

Ethereum is one of the most exciting block chain technologies as it is not just a cryptocurrency but also supports smart-contracts. These are programs that are written in a variety of programming languages and compiled to EVM, a byte-code format similar to JVM, before they are run on the block chain. The security of these contracts is vital as they can control significant amounts of cryptocurrency

This project requires me to translate EVM byte code to C code. The purpose of this translation is to see whether we can detect bugs using CPROVER tools. If we can successfully use tools used to detect C code bugs on EVM this means we can add validation to EVM (as well as aiding our understanding of the behaviour of the smart contracts) and ensure that the Ethereum currency that is associated with the byte code is protected and less viable to hacking. Decompiling is a part of reverse engineering, I will be using this approach to convert EVM byte code to op code, and then generating C code from this.

When looking to verify whether the software works I will be specifically be looking at :

- Will the program crash?
- Can it be hacked?
- Can we do it without running the program? (Static Analysis, i.e using Cprover)

The Verification tool for C is CBMC and there are some verification tools for EVM or Solidity, etc. This project is to write a decompiler (or extend an existing decompiler such as <https://>

Project Objectives:

- Decompile EVM code to C code
- Ensure that the C Code produced is accurate and can be run through a CPROVER tool.

Project Beneficiaries:

Beneficiaries of the project include myself, my clients, people using blockchain and academics. If we are able to validate smart contracts it can prevent huge financial losses. In the past there have been times where hacking into the currency has led to cryptocurrency being destroyed. In 2017, “\$300m of cryptocurrency was lost after a series of bugs in a popular digital wallet service led one curious developer to accidentally take control of and then lock up the funds”

Locating these bugs can prevent similar cases from occurring, adding more security to the currency and more protection for people using block chain.

In general, building tools that are useful is the main benefit of this project. Finding and ideally preventing bugs with evidence that it works would be the ideal outcome of this project.

Work Plan:

I will be splitting my project into three builds and will have **numbered objectives** for each:

1. **Minimum Viable Product:** simplest version of the project : Complete by second week of March :

- Research all existing implementations of similar projects (2-3 days)
- Write-up report (3-4 days)
- The program will take some evm code and decompile it, translate into a list of Op code (or a CGF) (2+ weeks)
- Get client feedback, test that this product has no syntax or logical errors. (2-3 hours)

2. **The Main Product:** functional but with no extra features : Complete by second week of April :

- Extend Report with updates (3-4 days)
- The program will take the decompiled Op code and generate reliable C code AND will successfully be usable by CPROVER tests(3+weeks)

3. **Additional Features:** Complete towards the start of May :

- Look at the behaviour of the decompiled C program and add additional features based on the main product's current output(1+week(s))
- Add additional op code features (extending the decompiler and code generator made in the first two iterations) (2+ weeks)

Project Risks:

There may be issues with the verification tool that could prevent the success of the project, i.e:

- The EVM byte code may be difficult to decompile into C, and the end result may not produce perfect C code.
-

- What the CPROVER identifies as a bug may not be a bug for the EVM code... is this an accurate assumption to assume C code bugs are the same as EVM bugs?
- There may be Covid restrictions that prevent some meetings with the client. To prevent this I am prepared to communicate using online meetings if the situation calls for it.

The Risks to the project which may cause it to fail, or fail in part, and reduce my mark include:

- Does the software decompile EVM?
- Is the tool able to generate reliable C code?
- Does the program satisfy the Academic Client?

Risks arising from your project, which may harm you or others :

- Will the detection of bugs possibly be incorrect? As we are dealing with cryptocurrency the risks of being responsible for the authentication of smart contracts could cause losses to people relying on the tool if it is used and is incorrect.
- How will the tool be used? And what for?
- If we find a vulnerability this could be ethically wrong if its used for personal gain. If the tool is used as a form of Responsible disclosure (When a company implements a Responsible Disclosure Policy, it means that they allow freelance ethical hackers to find and report vulnerabilities to them.) However if the tool is used unethically then knowledge of bugs in EVM programs, that are supposed to be safe, could put a lot of smart contracts in danger.

Risk assesment:

Likelihood (of this being a risk) : 1-5 (1 being very unlikely, 5 being very likely)

Severity(how nessasary this is) : 1-5 (1 being not impactfull, 5 being very impactfull)

Score is the Likelihood*Severity, (0-6 is low risk, 6-14 is medium risk, 15+ is high risk)

Objective	Likelyhood	Severity	Score	Risk	Prevention
The program will take some EVM code and decompile it , translate into some sort of reliable C code.	1	5	5	Problems with incorrectly decompiling due to lack of knowledge of Solidity and EVM	Look at existing tools or extend an existing decompiler such as https://github.com/MrLuit/evm and get comfortable with EVM and solidity via tutorials and existing smart contracts.

Objective	Likelihood	Severity	Score	Risk	Prevention
The program will take the decompiled Op code and generate reliable C code	3	5	15	Unable to convert the op code to reliable C	Testing throughout the implementation with the client will prevent this problem
The generated C code will successfully be usable by CPROVER tests	2	5	10	CPROVER tests cannot be applied to the generated code	Re-attempt the second phase and look at existing code translation generators
Look at the behaviour of the decompiled C program and add additional features based on the main product's current output	2	2	4	possibly the C code generated could be incorrect	Look at existing op code to C conversions to identify where the program has gone wrong
Add additional op code features (extending the decompiler and code generator made in the first two iterations)	5	3	15	The additional Op code features may be too complex to decompile and then generate C code from	Tackle easier commands first and prioritise the generation of valid C code over the quantity of op codes decompiles

Research Ethics Checklist

CSREC – Review Form – Part A : Ethics Checklist

Version 4.4, October 2015, April 2019

Research Ethics Review Form: BSc, MSc and MA Projects

Computer Science Research Ethics Committee (CSREC)

<http://www.city.ac.uk/department-computer-science/research-ethics>

Undergraduate and postgraduate students undertaking their final project in the Department of Computer Science are required to consider the ethics of their project work and to ensure that it complies with research ethics guidelines. In some cases, a project will need approval from an ethics committee before it can proceed. Usually, but not always, this will be because the student is involving other people ('participants') in the project.

In order to ensure that appropriate consideration is given to ethical issues, all students must complete this form and attach it to their project proposal document. There are two parts:

PART A: Ethics Checklist. All students must complete this part. The checklist identifies whether the project requires ethical approval and, if so, where to apply for approval.

PART B: Ethics Proportionate Review Form. Students who have answered "no" to all questions in A1, A2 and A3 and "yes" to question 4 in A4 in the ethics checklist must complete this part. The project supervisor has delegated authority to provide approval in such cases that are considered to involve MINIMAL risk. The approval may be *provisional* – identifying the planned research as likely to involve MINIMAL RISK. In such cases you must additionally seek *full approval* from the supervisor as the project progresses and details are established. *Full approval* must be acquired in writing, before beginning the planned research.

A.1 If you answer YES to any of the questions in this block, you must apply to an appropriate external ethics committee for approval and log this approval as an External Application through Research Ethics Online - https://ethics.city.ac.uk/		<i>Delete as appropriate</i>
1.1	Does your research require approval from the National Research Ethics Service (NRES)? <i>e.g. because you are recruiting current NHS patients or staff?</i> <i>If you are unsure try - https://www.hra.nhs.uk/approvals-and-consents/what-approvals-do-i-need/</i>	NO
1.2	Will you recruit participants who fall under the auspices of the Mental Capacity Act? <i>Such research needs to be approved by an external ethics committee such as NRES or the Social Care Research Ethics Committee - http://www.scie.org.uk/research/ethics-committee/</i>	NO
1.3	Will you recruit any participants who are currently under the auspices of the Criminal Justice System, for example, but not limited to, people on remand, prisoners and those on probation? <i>Such research needs to be authorised by the ethics approval system of the National Offender Management Service.</i>	NO
A.2 If you answer YES to any of the questions in this block, then unless you are applying to an external ethics committee, you must apply for approval from the Senate Research Ethics Committee (SREC) through Research Ethics Online - https://ethics.city.ac.uk/		<i>Delete as appropriate</i>
2.1	Does your research involve participants who are unable to give informed consent? <i>For example, but not limited to, people who may have a degree of learning disability or mental health problem, that means they are unable to make an informed decision on their own behalf.</i>	NO
2.2	Is there a risk that your research might lead to disclosures from participants concerning their involvement in illegal activities?	NO
2.3	Is there a risk that obscene and or illegal material may need to be accessed for your research study (including online content and other material)?	NO

2.4	Does your project involve participants disclosing information about special category or sensitive subjects? <i>For example, but not limited to: racial or ethnic origin; political opinions; religious beliefs; trade union membership; physical or mental health; sexual life; criminal offences and proceedings</i>	NO
2.5	Does your research involve you travelling to another country outside of the UK, where the Foreign & Commonwealth Office has issued a travel warning that affects the area in which you will study? <i>Please check the latest guidance from the FCO - http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/</i>	NO
2.6	Does your research involve invasive or intrusive procedures? <i>These may include, but are not limited to, electrical stimulation, heat, cold or bruising.</i>	NO
2.7	Does your research involve animals?	NO
2.8	Does your research involve the administration of drugs, placebos or other substances to study participants?	NO
A.3 If you answer YES to any of the questions in this block, then unless you are applying to an external ethics committee or the SREC, you must apply for approval from the Computer Science Research Ethics Committee (CSREC) through Research Ethics Online - https://ethics.city.ac.uk/ Depending on the level of risk associated with your application, it may be referred to the Senate Research Ethics Committee.		Delete as appropriate
3.1	Does your research involve participants who are under the age of 18?	NO
3.2	Does your research involve adults who are vulnerable because of their social, psychological or medical circumstances (vulnerable adults)? <i>This includes adults with cognitive and / or learning disabilities, adults with physical disabilities and older people.</i>	NO
3.3	Are participants recruited because they are staff or students of City, University of London? <i>For example, students studying on a particular course or module.</i> <i>If yes, then approval is also required from the Head of Department or Programme Director.</i>	NO
3.4	Does your research involve intentional deception of participants?	NO
3.5	Does your research involve participants taking part without their informed consent?	NO
3.5	Is the risk posed to participants greater than that in normal working life?	NO
3.7	Is the risk posed to you, the researcher(s), greater than that in normal working life?	NO
A.4 If you answer YES to the following question and your answers to all other questions in sections A1, A2 and A3 are NO, then your project is deemed to be of MINIMAL RISK. If this is the case, then you can apply for approval through your supervisor under PROPORTIONATE REVIEW. You do so by completing PART B of this form. If you have answered NO to all questions on this form, then your project does not require ethical approval. You should submit and retain this form as evidence of this.		Delete as appropriate
4	Does your project involve human participants or their identifiable personal data? <i>For example, as interviewees, respondents to a survey or participants in testing.</i>	NO

Refferences:

The Guardian. (2017). “*\$300m in cryptocurrency” accidentally lost forever due to bug*. [online] Available at: <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2017/nov/08/cryptocurrency-300m-dollars-stolen-bug-ether> [Accessed 3 Feb. 2022].
