PA1 - Homework 2

Kristiyan Dimitrov 10/7/2019

Exercise 3.12

```
Part (a)
```

##

```
data=read.csv("/Users/kristiyan/Documents/MSiA 401 - Predictive 1/Homeworks/Cobb-Douglas.csv", stringsAs
str(data)
## 'data.frame':
                   569 obs. of 4 variables:
          : int 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
## $ capital: num 2.61 1.32 22.09 10.74 1.16 ...
## $ labor : int 184 91 426 72 46 7642 48 108 35 72 ...
## $ output : num 9.25 3.66 28.78 4.12 2.89 ...
#Calculating log of the three variables (capital, labor, and output)
log_data <- data %>%
 mutate(log_output=log(data$output),log_capital=log(data$capital),log_labor=log(data$labor)) %>%
 .[5:7] #Keep only the last 3 columns with log data
head(log_data)
    log_output log_capital log_labor
##
      2.224706 0.9580326 5.214936
## 1
     1.298640 0.2800809 4.510860
## 2
## 3
     3.359733 3.0952921 6.054439
## 4
     1.416979 2.3737750 4.276666
## 5
     1.061308 0.1495957 3.828641
## 6
      6.174079 5.6232833 8.941415
# Now we fit a LS model through the data
log_lm <- lm(log_output ~ log_capital + log_labor, data=log_data)</pre>
summary(log_lm)
##
## lm(formula = log_output ~ log_capital + log_labor, data = log_data)
##
## Residuals:
      Min
               1Q Median
                               30
## -1.7604 -0.2665 -0.0694 0.1926 3.7975
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
                          0.09671 -17.70
## (Intercept) -1.71146
                                            <2e-16 ***
## log_capital 0.20757
                          0.01719
                                   12.08
                                            <2e-16 ***
## log_labor
               0.71485
                          0.02314
                                    30.89
                                            <2e-16 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
## Residual standard error: 0.4781 on 566 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8378, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8373
## F-statistic: 1462 on 2 and 566 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
print(paste0("We see that the capital elasticity is: ", round(log_lm$coefficients[2],4)))
## [1] "We see that the capital elasticity is: 0.2076"
print(paste0("We see that the labor elasticity is: ", round(log_lm$coefficients[3],4)))
## [1] "We see that the labor elasticity is: 0.7148"
Part (b) - T-Test
# Calculating the Variance-Covariance matrix of the elasticities
vcov mat <- vcov(log lm)</pre>
var_capital_elas <- vcov_mat[2,2]</pre>
var_labor_elas <- vcov_mat[3,3]</pre>
covar_capital_labor_elas <- vcov_mat[2,3]</pre>
print(paste("The variance of capital elasticity is",var capital elas))
## [1] "The variance of capital elasticity is 0.000295412270078063"
print(paste("The variance of capital elasticity is", var labor elas))
## [1] "The variance of capital elasticity is 0.000535537185242537"
print(paste("The covariance of capital & labor elasticities is",covar_capital_labor_elas))
## [1] "The covariance of capital & labor elasticities is -0.000267477018380779"
Part (c) - Extra SS & F-Test
anova(log_lm)
## Analysis of Variance Table
## Response: log_output
               Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
                                            Pr(>F)
## log_capital 1 450.23 450.23 1969.96 < 2.2e-16 ***
## log labor
               1 218.08 218.08 954.19 < 2.2e-16 ***
## Residuals 566 129.36
                             0.23
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
# This shows us that the SSE for the full model is 129.36
# Partial model
# First we generate the data:
new_data <- log_data %>%
  mutate(response=log_data$log_output-log_data$log_labor) %>% #New response = log(output) - log(labor)
  mutate(input_one=log_data$log_capital-log_data$log_labor) %>%
  . [3:5]
head(new_data)
```

log_labor response input_one

```
## 1 5.214936 -2.990230 -4.256903
## 2 4.510860 -3.212219 -4.230779
## 3 6.054439 -2.694706 -2.959147
## 4 4.276666 -2.859687 -1.902891
## 5 3.828641 -2.767333 -3.679046
## 6 8.941415 -2.767336 -3.318131
full_model <- lm(data=new_data, response ~ input_one + log_labor)</pre>
summary(full model)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = response ~ input_one + log_labor, data = new_data)
## Residuals:
      Min
##
                               3Q
               1Q Median
                                      Max
## -1.7604 -0.2665 -0.0694 0.1926 3.7975
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                          0.09671 -17.697 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept) -1.71146
                          0.01719 12.077 < 2e-16 ***
## input one
              0.20757
## log_labor
              -0.07758
                          0.01720 -4.509 7.9e-06 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.4781 on 566 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.2393, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2366
## F-statistic: 89.03 on 2 and 566 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
anova(full_model) # We see that the SSE is still 129.36
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: response
             Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
## input one 1 36.048 36.048 157.727 < 2.2e-16 ***
                         4.648 20.335 7.905e-06 ***
## log labor 1
                 4.648
## Residuals 566 129.358
                          0.229
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
drop1(full_model,test="F")
## Single term deletions
##
## Model:
## response ~ input_one + log_labor
            Df Sum of Sq
                            RSS
                                    AIC F value
                         129.36 -836.86
## <none>
                  33.333 162.69 -708.40 145.849 < 2.2e-16 ***
## input_one 1
                 4.648 134.01 -818.77 20.335 7.905e-06 ***
## log labor 1
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
<<<< EXPERIMENTATION >>>>
# Let's try doubling all the data (this is ok, based on the assumption of H_O null hypothesis of consta
# Then we'll do a t.test() between the regular data and the log data
double_log_data <- log_data %>%
  mutate(double_log_output=2*log_data$log_output, double_log_capital=2*log_data$log_capital,double_log_
  .[4:6]
head(double_log_data)
     double_log_output double_log_capital double_log_labor
## 1
             4.449411
                               1.9160653
                                                10.429872
## 2
             2.597280
                               0.5601617
                                                 9.021719
## 3
             6.719467
                               6.1905843
                                                12.108879
             2.833958
## 4
                               4.7475500
                                                 8.553332
## 5
             2.122616
                               0.2991915
                                                 7.657283
## 6
            12.348157
                              11.2465666
                                                17.882829
head(log_data)
     log_output log_capital log_labor
      2.224706 0.9580326 5.214936
## 1
      1.298640 0.2800809 4.510860
## 2
## 3
      3.359733
                 3.0952921 6.054439
## 4
      1.416979
                 2.3737750 4.276666
## 5
      1.061308
                 0.1495957 3.828641
## 6
      6.174079
                 5.6232833 8.941415
t.test(log_data$log_output,double_log_data$double_log_output,paired=T)
##
## Paired t-test
## data: log_data$log_output and double_log_data$double_log_output
## t = -33.714, df = 568, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -1.772486 -1.577329
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
##
                -1.674907
```

We see that for log_labor the RSS is 134.01

The t statistic is -33.714, therefore we should reject $H_{-}0$