MSiA 421, Data Mining

- 1. (27 points) What are the rewards for listening to music? A sample of American adults (age 18 or over) was asked the extent to which they agree or disagree with the following items:
 - Q28* I enjoy talking about music or bands with my friends
 - Q29* I like for other people to know which music I listen to
 - Q30* I sometimes play or share certain songs with others so that they will understand me better
 - Q31* I carefully choose the music to have on in the background when I am with my friends
 - Q32* I like to give advice and recommendations to my friends about new bands or songs
 - Q33* I like to wear t-shirts or other clothing with the logo or name of my favorite bands or musicians
 - Q34* I often "like" bands or musicians on Facebook
 - Q35 I like to have music on in the background while I'm doing other things
 - Q36 I feel energized after listening to music
 - Q37 I lose myself in the pleasure of listening to my favorite music
 - Q38 I often unwind and relax by listening to music
 - Q39 Listening to music is an escape
 - Q40 Some songs definitely affect me emotionally
 - Q41 I feel less stress after listening to music
 - Q42 When I listen to music I am worry-free
 - Q43* Part of my role among friends is to keep them informed about new music or when bands will be touring
 - Q44 Music sometimes touches me deep down
 - Q45 Some songs send shivers up my spine or give me goose bumps
 - Q46* I like to discuss my favorite bands and music on social media site
 - Q47* I enjoy following certain bands or musicians
 - Q48 I do other things better when I have music on in the background
 - Q49 I sometimes feel like crying after listening to certain songs
 - Q50* Being a fan of certain bands is a little like belonging to a club
 - Q51 Listening to certain music leaves me with a good feeling

- Q52* My knowledge of music and bands makes me a more interesting person to others
- Q53 I can become so absorbed in music that I forget the world around me
- Q54 Listening to some songs makes me laugh out loud

Perform an exploratory factor analysis of the 27 questions to identify latent variables using either PCA or ML factor analysis. We would like to have as many factors as possible, but you should have (1) at least three items loading on each factor (three measures of each latent variable); (2) minimal large cross loadings (most less than, say .4); (3) alpha greater than 0.8. You should answer the following questions:

(a) (3 points) How many factors should be used? Explain your rationale. Answer: Use a scree plot of PCA solution to arrive at three factors. There are 3 eigenvalues greater than 1. Substantial partial credit should be given for 2 factors. One can make a case using a scree plot for 2, but I said we want to have as many factors as possible in the instructions.

	Eigenvalue	Difference	Proportion	Cumulative
	O		•	
1	14.3878122	11.8256951	0.5329	0.5329
2	2.5621172	1.4922098	0.0949	0.6278
3	1.0699073	0.3211021	0.0396	0.6674
4	0.7488053	0.0387638	0.0277	0.6951
5	0.7100415	0.0316233	0.0263	0.7214
6	0.6784182	0.1211723	0.0251	0.7466

- (b) For each factor (i.e., if you suggest 8 factors then you need to answer this part 8 times), state which items (questions) should load on the factor. List the question numbers of the questions assigned to a factor in <u>numerical order</u>. Hints: you will need to "purify" the measure by possibly dropping some of the variables that "load" on a particular factor in the EFA. Some of the considerations include discriminant validity (large cross-loadings diminish this), content validity, face validity and reliability. Don't be afraid to prune items aggressively! Answer: Give credit to students if they are more aggressive in dropping items from factors as long as their alpha is greater than about .8.
 - I called it the "social-identity experience," although anything with a social feel should receive full credit. α = .89, questions 46, 43, 34, 33.
 - I called it the "transportive experience," but other terms could be "timeout," "relaxation," etc. $\alpha = .92$, questions 38, 41, 39, 37.
 - I called it the "affect-inducing experience," but something around an emotional or physiological response is also OK. $\alpha = .81$, questions 45, 49, 44.

Give very substantial partial credit for a two-factor solution (without the affect-inducing experience). My correlations are corr(social, trans) = .46, corr(social, affect) = .58, corr(trans, affect) = .34 using a promax rotation.

- (c) Name each factor with at most, say, five words. The factor names should accurately describe the latent variable being measured.
- (d) Give the reliability of each scale (as measured by coefficient alpha). Does alpha improve when items are dropped?
- (e) Provide the varimax rotated loadings of your final set of items. Also give the eigenvalues. Answer: Only one cross loading exceeds .4. The third eigenvalue is a bit small

1 2 3 4	Eigenvalue 5.89728076 1.74236697 0.83508352 0.49101756	Difference 4.15491379 0.90728345 0.34406596 0.09671373	Proportion 0.5361 0.1584 0.0759 0.0446	Oumulative 0.5361 0.6945 0.7704 0.8151		
•••						
				Fact1	Fact2	Fact3
V38 I often unwind/relax by listening to music						
V39 Listening to music is an escape.				0.836		
V41 I feel less stress after listening to music.				. 0.798		
V37 lose myself in pleasure of listening to music.						
V34 I often Like musicians on Facebook.					0.851	
V46 like discuss music on social media sites					0.829	
V33 wear t-shirts name of my favorite musicians.					0.819	
V43 my role keep friends informed about new music				ic .	0.799	

0.795

0.775

0.751

0.458

(f) Estimate scores for your factors, allowing them to be correlated (this can be done either with a promax rotation or by averaging the raw items—you might want to try both to see if you get the same conclusion!). Submit a correlation matrix. (Note: my correlations with promax range from .34 to .58, and are slightly larger with the simple averages. If yours are larger than this then you probably have items with large cross loadings, and you may encounter multicollinearity problems.) Answer: Using the simple averages the correlations are a little larger: corr(social, timeout) = .54; corr(social, emot) = .41; corr(timeout, emot) = .68.

	Factor1	Factor2	Factor3
Factor1	1.00000	0.46415	0.57566
Factor2	0.46415	1.00000	0.34091
Factor3	0.57566	0.34091	1.00000

V45 songs send shivers up my spine/goose bumps.

V44 Music sometimes touches me deep down.

V49 sometimes cry after listening to certain songs

(g) The time1 variable gives the length of time that the respondent spends listening to music (consumption). Regress time1 on your factors and discuss which factors are most predictive of consumption. Answer: The first output uses promax factor scores and the second uses the simple averages of the items. The t-statistics tell the same story: social is the more important predicotr, followed by timeout. The emotional factor is not even significant.

		Parameter	Standard			
Variable	DF	Estimate	Error	t Value	Pr > t	
Intercept	1	5.83881	0.15720	37.14	<.0001	
Factor1	1	2.12309	0.19128	11.10	<.0001	
Factor2	1	0.93780	0.21685	4.32	<.0001	
Factor3	1	0.30565	0.20070	1.52	0.1280	
		Paramete	r Stand	ard		Variance
Variable	DF	' Estimat	e Er	ror t Valu	e Pr > t	Inflation
Intercept	1	-3.0004	4 0.63	329 -4.7	<.0001	0
social1	1	1.8484	2 0.16	188 11.4	2 <.0001	1.41276
timeout1	1	0.7479	9 0.22	211 3.3	7 0.0008	2.17840
emot1	1	0.4506	6 0.20	964 2.1	5 0.0318	1.85383