

Reading Response April 01

Kritika Chugh
SUID: 882046659

kchugh@syr.edu

In this articles, the author took a very pessimistic take at the various laws both established and the ones in discussion by the various governments around the world. He discussed about the ways these laws and order are just pretending to protect us but, they are just protecting an individual but in reality, these AI algorithms are affecting one or the other ethnic group collectively. He argued that these rights to intent would hardly touch the main problem and called it more harm than help. He insinuates that GDPR is distracting and nurturing a false kind of transparency practice. I personally feel that these laws basically carry good intentions but do little to match the user needs. I feel that transparency is important and there is no concrete definition of the transparency. It differs place to place and perceived culturally to culturally but the writer mainly time and again called these aspirations ambitious. And I feel we need a strong legal regime around the personal data before even talking about it. Some countries like US lacks such framework around legal framework around the data and EU on the other hand have it. So they are in more strong position to discuss it. I mainly agree with this point.