```
PART A: THEORY
1. Prove:
   ( o (( wry map) f) (( curry map) g))
= (( curry map) ( o f g))
    Applying the function (both LHS & RHS) to list XS:
   (( o (( work map) f) (( curry map) g)) xs)
= ((( curry map) ( o f g)) xs)
   PART A: BASE CASE { xs - '()}
          Assume xs = 1()
   ( (o ((curry map) f) ((curry map) g))xs)
    = \S by assumption xs = '()\S
   ((o((curry map)f)((curry map)g)) '())
    = { by apply-compose law?
   (((curry map)f)(((curry map)g))'()))
    = & by apply-curry law?
    (((curry map)f) ( map g (1)))
    = { by map-ril law }
   ( ((corry map) f) '())
```

```
PART C: INDUCTIVE CASE { 25 -> (cms a as)}
((o((curry map)f)((curry map)g)) xs)
= { by arrumption xs = (cons a as)}
((0 (( curry map) f) ((curry map) g)) (cons a as))
= { by apply - compose law }
(((curry map)f) (((curry map)q) (cons a as)))
= & ph apply - curry rang
((( curry map) f) (map g (cms a as)))
= { by apply - curry law }
( map f (map g (cons a as)))
= & by map-cons law }
 (map f (cons (ga) (map gas)))
= { by map-cons law }
 (cons (f (ga)) (map f (map gas)))
= 2 by apply-curry law from right to left 3
(cons (f (g a)) (map f (((curry map) g) as)))
```

```
= { by apply-word law from right to left }
(cons (f (g a)) ( ((curry map) f) (((curry map) g) as)))
= { by apply-compose law from right to left?
(cons (f(ga)) ((o((curry map)f)((curry map)g)) as))
= { by inductive hypothesis }
(cms (f (g a)) ((curry map) (of g)) as))
= 2 by apply - compose law 3
(cons ((ofg)a)((curry map) (ofg)) as))
= { by apply-curry law }
(cons ((ofg)a)(map (ofg) as))
= { by map-cone law from right to left }
(map (ofg) (cons a as))
= { by apply-curry law from right to left}
(((curry map) (of g)) (cons a as))
= \frac{5}{2} by assumption, xs = (cons a as)
(((curry map) (of g)) xs)
```

PART D: CONCLUSION
Thus from the above inductive proof, we can conclude:
•
= ((and mab)(of d)) $= ((and mab)(of d))$
- (() () () () () () () () () (
$= ((\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, (0 + \beta))$