

MATH 695

10/12/2022

General statement of Whitehead's theorem in a category  $\mathcal{C}$

becomes an axiom

with subcategory  $\mathcal{E}$  of "equivalences" (contains  $\cong$ , satisfies 2/3).

Localization (dual statement is called localization).

An object  $Z \in \text{Obj } \mathcal{C}$  is called co-local (with respect to  $\mathcal{E}$ )

when for every equivalence  $e: X \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{E}$

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, e): \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, Y)$$

is a bijection.

We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  (with  $\mathcal{E}$ ) has co-localization with respect to a class of objects  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Obj } \mathcal{C}$  if every object  $Z \in \mathcal{B}$  is co-local and for every object  $X \in \text{Obj } \mathcal{C}$  there exists an equivalence  $\sigma_X: X' \xrightarrow{\sim} X$  where  $X' \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Example:  $\text{hTop}$  has co-localization with respect to

$\mathcal{B} = \{ \text{CW-complexes} \}$

( $\mathcal{E} \approx$  weak equivalences)

} Whitehead's  
Theorem

Dual notion of localisation: We say that  $z \in \text{Obj } \mathcal{C}$  is local (w.r. to  $\mathcal{E}$ ) if for every equivalence  $e: X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \in \mathcal{E}$

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(e, z): \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, z) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, z)$$

We say  $\mathcal{C}$  has localisation with respect to a class of objects  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}$ , if for every object  $X \in \text{Obj } \mathcal{C}$  there exists  $X' \in \mathcal{B}$  and an equivalence  $\gamma_X: X \xrightarrow{\sim} X' \in \mathcal{E}$ , and every object of  $\mathcal{B}$  is local.

---

Comment: It is not known if  $\text{hTop}$  has localisation.

But usually more "combinatorially" defined categories do: homotopy categories of sheaves, simplicial sets, etc.

Also,  $D\text{Top}$  actually has localisation with respect to

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ e: X \rightarrow Y \mid E_* e: E_* X \xrightarrow{\sim} E_* Y \}$$

where  $E$  is a generalised homology theory. (Bousfield localisation)

---

Theorem: If  $\mathcal{E}$  has  $w$ -localisation (or localisation) on a class of objects  $\mathcal{B}$  (with respect to a class of equivalences  $\mathcal{E}$ ) then the derived category  $D\mathcal{E} (= D_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E})$  exists and is equivalent to the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

has all the applicable morphisms  
(all morphisms between objects in  $\mathcal{B}$ ).

Proof (the cases are symmetrical, let's discuss co-localization - closer to the current context).  $D = D_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{L}$

$$\text{Obj } D := \text{Obj } \mathcal{L}$$

$$\text{Mor}_D(X, Y) := \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{L}}(X', Y') \quad ( \gamma_X: X' \xrightarrow{\sim} X, X' \circ \beta ).$$

Automatically a category.  $\textcircled{!} \Phi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow D$  identity on objects  $f: X \rightarrow Y$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\Phi f} & Y' \\ \sim \gamma_X \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \gamma_Y \sim \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array} \quad \exists !$$

$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{L}}(X', \gamma_Y)$  is  $\cong$   
since  $X'$  is co-local.

Automatically a functor.

Showing that if  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\Phi f$  is an isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\Phi f} & Y' \\ \sim \gamma_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_Y \sim \\ X & \xrightarrow[f]{\sim} & Y \end{array}$$

2/3  $\Rightarrow \Phi f$  is an equivalence.

(HW2) Prove that an equivalence between objects of  $\mathcal{B}$  is an  $\cong$ .

Sketch universality:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{D} \\ & \searrow F & \downarrow \sim \\ & & \mathcal{D}' \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}'$

claimed  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} & & & \text{app } F & & \\ & & & \Phi f & & \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\Phi f} & Y' & & FX' & \cdots \rightarrow FY' \\ \sim \gamma_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_Y \sim & & \cong \downarrow F\gamma_X & \cong \downarrow \gamma_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow[f]{\sim} & Y & & FX & \xrightarrow{Ff} FY \end{array}$$

$\gamma$  is the required equivalence of categories.  $\square$

(WLOG,  $\gamma: X' \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} X$  if  $X \in \mathcal{B}$ )

By universality, the construction does not depend on the choice.

---

We prove that CW-complexes are  $\omega$ -local in  $\mathbf{hTop}$  first.

This uses something called H&LP (the homotopy extension and lifting property).

Reference (K., Kuw:  
Introduction to  
Algebraic Geometry)