

MATH 695

11/4/2022

Products in the cohomology of spaces come from  
the diagonal map

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta: X &\longrightarrow X \times X \\ x &\longmapsto (x, x)\end{aligned}$$

$\omega$ -co-commutative  $\omega$ -co-associative co-unital  $\varepsilon: X \longrightarrow *$

On chain level, we have the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem

$$C(X \times Y) \xrightarrow[\psi]{\cong} C(X) \otimes C(Y) \leftarrow \text{singula chains}$$

unique up to natural chain homotopy  
(Munkres)

canonical

(For all chains, this is an isomorphism). If  $X$  is a space,

$$C(X) \xrightarrow{C(\Delta)} C(X \times X) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\psi} C(X) \otimes C(X).$$

One can describe:

$$C^*(X; R) \otimes_R C^*(X; R) \longrightarrow C^*(X; R)$$

( $R$  commutative ring),

$$C^*(X; R) \otimes_R C^*(X; R) \rightarrow C^*(X; R)$$

$$U: H^*(X; R) \otimes_R H^*(X; R) \rightarrow H^*(C^*(X; R) \otimes_R C^*(X; R)) \rightarrow H^*(X; R)$$

$C, D$  chain complexes:  
of  $R$ -modules

$$H_* C \otimes_R H_* D \rightarrow H_*(C \otimes_R D)$$

$$[z] \otimes [t] \mapsto [z \otimes t]$$

$$[dz] \otimes [t] \mapsto [dz \otimes t = d(z \otimes t)]$$

became  $dt=0$

$U$  on  $H^*(X; R)$  makes  $H^*(X; R)$  (for a commutative ring  $R$ )

in modern writing,  
usually just denoted as.

into a graded-commutative  $R$ -algebra

$(A_m)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring

$$A_m \otimes A_n \rightarrow A_{m+n}$$

is graded-commutative if for  $u \in A_m, v \in A_n$ , we have

$$uv = (-1)^{mn} vu.$$

In algebraic topology, if you are trying to do commutative algebra on cohomology of spaces, we always have this sign to consider: super-commutative

---

Not so easy to calculate  $\cup$  directly, but it does give interesting rings:

Examples (to be justified later):

$$H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[b]$$

$\deg(b) = 2$   
generators

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & & b^3 & & b^2 & & b & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \dots & 0 & \mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

$$\dots 7 \ 6 \ 5 \ 4 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ \deg.$$

$$H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[b]/2b$$

$$\dots 0 \ \mathbb{Z}/2 \ 0 \ \mathbb{Z}/2 \ 0 \ \mathbb{Z}/2 \ 0 \ \mathbb{Z}$$

We got the  $\cup$  product from working on chain-level.

What about product in generalized cohomology? It cannot be there always: Even in ordinary cohomology, it is only there with coeff. in a commutative ring



Fact: There is a product in K-theory. How do we get much information from " $\Omega^2 BU \times \mathbb{Z} \simeq BU \times \mathbb{Z}$ ".

We need some analog of the "category of chain complexes" that would work for generalized (a) homology.

↑ The category of spectra

(derived category unique, "point set" models vary)

? A more fundamental connection between homology and cohomology?

↑  
then, say, the universal coefficient theorem.

The concept of duality.

Alexander duality  
The homology of a subspace of  $S^n$  is isomorphic to the cohomology of its complement (after handling base point properly).

Precise statement: let  $(S^n, X, \star)$  be a based CW-pair.

$\uparrow$   
 $n$ -sphere with a chosen base point.

Then

$$H_k(X; A) \cong H^{n-k}(S^n, S^n \setminus X; A).$$

Points to observe: 1. The homology of something = cohomology of

Could we use this to pass between generalized homology and cohomology?

2. The proof has nothing to do with homology!

It is purely pre-level data  $\leadsto$  duality on chain  
complexes.

$\uparrow$   
tweak on how to construct spectrum.

---

(HW) (3) Prove that  $\mathbb{CP}^2 \not\cong S^4 \vee S^2$ , even though the two spaces have the same homology. [Hint: You are allowed to use the stated result on the product in  $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^\infty; \mathbb{Z})$ . Deduce the product in  $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ , and prove that the product in  $H^*(S^4 \vee S^2)$  is different.]