E is an associative commutative unital ring gratum (generally cohomology Herry)

we say that E is comphe-oriented when the universe comphe line hundle be on Cpo 14 E-oriented.

Review: If \$ 12 a vector real n-bundle on X, then the Thon your X\$ 15 the 1-point compatification of the total specific of \$ 14 X 12 compact, coling K\$ in general. We have the Thom deposal KCX compact

X₂ $\xrightarrow{\lambda_1}$ χ_2 χ_3

te E (x, p(x))

From this, we get a priving

 $\theta_* \colon E_* X \otimes E_* X_{\ell} \longrightarrow E_* X_{\ell}$

We say that & is E-oriented if there exist a class $u \in \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}^m X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ which,

for xeX, which to a wot:

 $\widetilde{E}^{n}X^{f} \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}^{n}\{x\}^{f} = \widetilde{E}^{n}S^{n} = E^{0}(4)_{S}$ $\lim_{x \to \infty} \lim_{x \to \infty$

Thom is morphism theorem: Pairing with a Thom class (if one exit)
It an iromorphism (the Thom wound wow):

~. 6 b ~ ~ ~ b 6

Exx & Exx & Enx & Ent x & color in person (? & u): Exx & Ent x & color in person ("To the eyes of E, the Thom your X's just books like Ex X.") []

Comment: If we have a those class u e E'x Xs, it pullback under the 0-retion maps X+ -> X is called the Enter class $e(\xi) \in E^{*}(X)$

What is the Thom your (CPD) to of the universal complex Low buille? It is Comagain.

 $(Cr^n)^{r'} \cong Cr^{r+1}$

rier that as the Cri al so.

i. If E is a complex-orbital generalized who mology, EtCP" = Et(u)/u"+1

d clas in E2; the Thom chec

E*C(= E* [[u]] There could be infinite penies in a to add in the same object (don't care whom different

Exemple: E = K (complex K-Hury) p so the Bott du E* = E* (*) = Z[B, B] homologial dega 2 (se E-2(*) = E2(*) whomological dique - d. K is a comple-oriented theory: the Faler class of x': x'-1. K* 6p2 = 2[pp] [[u]] abourly, de qu-2 cohomby. de 2 Example of a ligal climent of KCTros = 1+ pu + p2v2+... E + BU(m) = E + [[u1,...,un]] Em 4/(X, E1) =1 6/1(X) collyns = E*[[c1 ... cm]] E-whol Ches desers

All couple redochundles are E-onimited.

New Section 1 Page /

How do formal group laws appear? Let Et he a comple-overted operated colomology theory.

 $\int E^{\dagger}(Cr^{\infty} = E^{\dagger}[[u]])$ $= E^{\dagger}(Tx,y)$

We actually have a may

B: E + Chap E + (Chap x Chap) E'[[u]] -> E'[[x,y]]

: $C_1^{\epsilon}(\S \Theta \gamma) = F(C_1^{\epsilon}(\S), C_1^{\epsilon}(\gamma))$ What hind of prover rule 13 F? comple bue holds Answer: A formal group law (FGL)

A formal grow law (FbL) over a commutative up R

is a howa swies $F(x,y) \in R[[x,y]]$ which satisfies: F(x,0) = F(0,x) = X F(x,y) = F(y,x) F(F(x,y),z) = F(x,F(y,z)).

If E is a complex-orientel generalised cohomology there, we may get different Fol.

Examples: E = H(?; 2)

F(x,y) = x+y

F(x,y) = x+y

In K-theory, multiplication is the tensor product.

It Bu

It $\beta(x+y) = (1+\beta x)(1+\beta y)$ B = Both periodist

It fy = x+y + \beta xy

Multiplication Fol

What about complex cohordism?: (we will prove it is complex - oriented)

The F6L of coughe cohordon is universal.

Constant fle universal FGL:

F(x14) = \(\alpha \cdot \cdot

L = Z[aij: |ije No]/whitens enfering that Fil on Fol on L.

an = 1 ank = 0 k +1

assovation's

Las called the lased very.

(Fol on a vins R) = Morking (L, R)

Panch line: St. = L.

Complex cohordina vinz