

CE706 – SU - Information Retrieval 2022

Assignment 2

2112102

Test collection (Task 1)

Include here the selected information needs and how they will be represented as a query.

Information need	Query
Finding out how state football team has performed?	<pre>"query": { "match_phrase": { "title": "state football team" } }</pre>
Finding out when regional parliament election is held?	<pre>"query": { "match_phrase": { "title": "regional parliament election" } }</pre>
What are the new achievements of Indian Space Research Organisation?	<pre>"query": { "match_phrase": { "title": "Indian Space Research Organisation" } }</pre>

IR systems (Task 2)

There are one million articles in the given database, These pieces drew their information from a wide variety of sources, ranging from massive establishments like Reuters to more intimate venues like blogs and regional news websites. The dataset contains 734,488 news articles and 265,512 blog posts, each of which has an average word count of 405 (I assume), and the number of words in the posts ranges from one to ten thousand. I have used elasticsearch and Kibana to perform the given tasks.

The System 1 I have used here is a based on the system I have used in first assignment, but I have made some changes to create a system 2. Where first system is better than the second system as second system, I implemented porter stemmer means it will find stem of the word and then it will use that to do indexing, it will definitely make more errors than system 1. System 1 used to split the document into tokens, but system 2 splits the only indexed words. System 1 converts texts into lowercase while preprocessing the data, but what system 2 does is, it doesn't converts the text into lowercase if it is in the uppercase then it will index it as it is. In system 1 document will also be indexed along with the token of processed document. But in system 2 it indexes only tokens which are generated in preprocessing step. Hence, I think system 1 must perform better than system 2.

Pool method (Task 3)

For each system here I have retrieved the top 10 documents I got as a result. So, I have retrieved 20 documents for each query.

Rank	Query 1		Query 2		Query 3	
	System 1	System 2	System 1	System 2	System 1	System 2
1	6d899e17-59a0-4113-a86c-438ec499ac92	328d30b2-9995-45e0-b5d8-69c3f40b9e1a	131d4d64-b51e-489d-babc-5c98fbae9bda	2f5d7402-9835-4f53-b498-1463a6d8b47e	bc87eab5-11dc-4e13-b546-3af18b369a86	8b2dd51c-bbb2-4698-bbd4-d946eed6b537
2	ed237dc5-99d0-4df8-971e-a45bc5b38a8d	3da4d5fa-19cd-4fef-8f49-c9757b2cce42	4e645131-df44-4a07-9c80-12098585d8f1	c4d744f6-be2d-4b72-8f30-203e27811c14	083b9f46-c810-43ea-a750-6e399a80dce2	bba79558-f331-4a83-8030-6de77b816bbe
3	26fb026f-89c4-4399-a0d0-097c94dec169	66bc39e4-5c79-4926-a7a3-b8ba098c1567	c09a8f40-7d4d-4a72-834b-649f90f91e5a	e2f4b972-3fdd-42c1-8b1c-2aee2d442ef7	9332bf2c-aca2-493c-9760-2f030d38a248	829048ff-eaac-48d9-88f3-842116d7d9e0
4	1e5dc25c-0464-4960-ab1d-3e8b3332b23f	794c0a91-c240-497f-8e24-32ce0a740875	b1075450-f352-47bd-ac0a-caef497c7efe	4e645131-df44-4a07-9c80-12098585d8f1	8a1accf6-cea3-408e-a559-3123b51fd5f7	ad9d1bdb-b230-42dc-bceb-09f63e18b8ff
5	129dec80-2dc8-48e7-bef5-76cf384f6f77	ed237dc5-99d0-4df8-971e-a45bc5b38a8d	fb4f9da4-971a-4fd0-9ac6-80c5615bb276	131d4d64-b51e-489d-babc-5c98fbae9bda	5e276735-6637-4c1e-a99e-72dc7425bf99	3cac7a47-d047-4cfb-b102-4362954a435b
6	66bc39e4-5c79-4926-a7a3-b8ba098c1567	a577f46c-b154-4bdf-ad24-0efbbd28b545	97395b86-5f7f-46d8-9288-16561d370754	1f0fb31d-947d-4a64-8775-9650718989a9	60218eee-385b-4003-9a86-17516a631a2c	8a1accf6-cea3-408e-a559-3123b51fd5f7
7	794c0a91-c240-497f-8e24-32ce0a740875	6d67adbf-0c47-46c0-89ab-bde33abd6df7	1f0fb31d-947d-4a64-8775-9650718989a9	bbd19509-ccc8-4b8d-acb8-7a802d5295e3	bdf181ab-073d-47c2-a898-d6dc07d0d6d6	96e175cc-0c03-439a-884b-13ac6234be4
8	9447e337-8bb1-429e-bdae-879ebe21285f	e0ee19d3-75e8-41d5-b0da-2f9aba35c231	9281c574-1c2e-41c1-9063-38a86e6e0389	634cd5c8-d7a2-44ce-87f5-1e419c767ae0	ad9d1bdb-b230-42dc-bceb-09f63e18b8ff	8b4c315d-e8a6-4e78-981e-586fdd5eefa6
9	95da056e-9949-458a-bae5-76efeeb49bb1	129dec80-2dc8-48e7-bef5-76cf384f6f77	6d160a5a-2ea1-4bf7-af04-6d65c011a06b	fb4f9da4-971a-4fd0-9ac6-80c5615bb276	3cac7a47-d047-4cfb-b102-4362954a435b	441b63ad-ea70-478d-a691-9d89b785df1b

10	<i>ade98406- d404-404c- 88e3- a943dbd54f 9d</i>	<i>061c1f06- 68a6-4c18- ac4c- 3ac9cf2d20 4f</i>	<i>55f48fe7- 80a0-4260- a5a7- 1b4335644e 47</i>	<i>b1075450- f352-47bd- ac0a- caef497c7ef e</i>	<i>4b6f42b4- 1d35-46da- a8aa- 3494f485f0 1e</i>	<i>4fb249fd- da0e-4a51- 97af- 6fc30f1273e b</i>
<i>Differen t docume nts</i>	<i>12</i>		<i>12</i>		<i>14</i>	

Relevance assessments (Task 4)

Relevance criteria:

Here every relevant documents are being collected from the results of system 1 and system 2. The documents which give the perfect information that gives the answer to our question or query.

Fill the following table with the ID of the relevant documents

	ID of relevant documents
Query 1	794c0a91-c240-497f-8e24-32ce0a740875 ed237dc5-99d0-4df8-971e-a45bc5b38a8d 66bc39e4-5c79-4926-a7a3-b8ba098c1567 129dec80-2dc8-48e7-bef5-76cf384f6f77 6d899e17-59a0-4113-a86c-438ec499ac92 95da056e-9949-458a-bae5-76efeeb49bb1
Query 2	131d4d64-b51e-489d-babc-5c98fbae9bda 1f0fb31d-947d-4a64-8775-9650718989a9 fb4f9da4-971a-4fd0-9ac6-80c5615bb276 b1075450-f352-47bd-ac0a-caef497c7efe 6d160a5a-2ea1-4bf7-af04-6d65c011a06b 634cd5c8-d7a2-44ce-87f5-1e419c767ae0 55f48fe7-80a0-4260-a5a7-1b4335644e47 4e645131-df44-4a07-9c80-12098585d8f1
Query 3	ad9d1bdb-b230-42dc-bceb-09f63e18b8ff 3cac7a47-d047-4cfb-b102-4362954a435b 8a1accf6-cea3-408e-a559-3123b51fd5f7 8b4c315d-e8a6-4e78-981e-586fdd5eefa6 441b63ad-ea70-478d-a691-9d89b785df1b bdf181ab-073d-47c2-a898-d6dc07d0d6d6 60218eee-385b-4003-9a86-17516a631a2c bc87eab5-11dc-4e13-b546-3af18b369a86 083b9f46-c810-43ea-a750-6e399a80dce2 4b6f42b4-1d35-46da-a8aa-3494f485f01e 4fb249fd-da0e-4a51-97af-6fc30f1273eb

Evaluation (Task 5)

For calculating the precision and recall I have developed the functions using pseudocode. It takes ids which are in relevant documents and the next result generated by our system.

For P@K

```
pred_docs = the first k docs in prediction list
actual_docs = appropriate documents
correct_doc_values = docs in pred_docs AND actual
Pk = correct_doc_values/k
return Pk
```

for R@K:

```
correct_doc_values = documents which appear in the first k values of prediction
list AND items in the relevant document list
if correct_doc_values is 0
return 0
else
return correct_doc_values / relevant document list length
```

Query 1:

Rank	System 1	P@5	R@5	System 2	P@5	R@5
1	6d899e17-59a0-4113-a86c-438ec499ac92	=1/1	=1/6	328d30b2-9995-45e0-b5d8-69c3f40b9e1a	'=0/1	'=0/6
2	ed237dc5-99d0-4df8-971e-a45bc5b38a8d	=2/2	=2/6	3da4d5fa-19cd-4fef-8f49-c9757b2cce42	'=0/2	'=0/6
3	26fb026f-89c4-4399-a0d0-097c94dec169	=2/3	=2/6	66bc39e4-5c79-4926-a7a3-b8ba098c1567	=1/3	=1/6
4	1e5dc25c-0464-4960-ab1d-3e8b3332b23f	=2/4	=2/6	794c0a91-c240-497f-8e24-32ce0a740875	=2/4	=2/6
5	129dec80-2dc8-48e7-bef5-76cf384f6f77	=3/5	=3/6	ed237dc5-99d0-4df8-971e-a45bc5b38a8d	=3/5	=3/6

Query 2:

Rank	System 1	P@5	R@5	System 2	P@5	R@5
1	131d4d64-b51e-489d-babc-5c98fbae9bda	=1/1	=1/8	2f5d7402-9835-4f53-b498-1463a6d8b47e	=0/1	=0/8
2	4e645131-df44-4a07-9c80-12098585d8f1	=2/2	=2/8	c4d744f6-be2d-4b72-8f30-203e27811c14	=0/2	=0/8
3	c09a8f40-7d4d-4a72-834b-649f90f91e5a	=2/3	=2/8	e2f4b972-3fdd-42c1-8b1c-2aee2d442ef7	=0/3	=0/8
4	b1075450-f352-47bd-ac0a-caef497c7efe	=3/4	=3/8	4e645131-df44-4a07-9c80-12098585d8f1	=1/4	=1/8
5	fb4f9da4-971a-4fd0-9ac6-80c5615bb276	=4/5	=4/8	131d4d64-b51e-489d-babc-5c98fbae9bda	=2/5	=2/8

Query 3:

Rank	System 1	P@5	R@5	System 2	P@5	R@5
1	<i>bc87eab5-11dc-4e13-b546-3af18b369a86</i>	=1/1	=1/11	<i>8b2dd51c-bbb2-4698-bbd4-d946eed6b537</i>	=0/1	=0/11
2	<i>083b9f46-c810-43ea-a750-6e399a80dce2</i>	=2/2	=2/11	<i>bba79558-f331-4a83-8030-6de77b816bbe</i>	=0/2	=0/11
3	<i>9332bf2c-aca2-493c-9760-2f030d38a248</i>	=2/3	=2/11	<i>829048ff-eaac-48d9-88f3-842116d7d9e0</i>	=0/3	=0/11
4	<i>8a1accf6-cea3-408e-a559-3123b51fd5f7</i>	=3/4	=3/11	<i>ad9d1bdb-b230-42dc-bceb-09f63e18b8ff</i>	=1/4	=1/11
5	<i>5e276735-6637-4c1e-a99e-72dc7425bf99</i>	=3/5	=3/11	<i>3cac7a47-d047-4cfb-b102-4362954a435b</i>	'=2/5	'=2/11

Results:

	System 1		System 2	
	P@5	R@5	P@5	R@5
Q1	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5
Q2	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.25
Q3	0.6	0.272727	0.4	0.18181818

Web search (Task 6)

Here below I have explained the differences between both the systems.

System 1	System 2
Implemented lemmatization (wordnet lemmatizer)	Implemented stemming (porter stemmer)
Each sentence of document gets splitted	Only indexed sentences splitted into tokens
It uses the stopword corpus (NLTK)	It uses stop word corpus (Gensim)
During preprocessing It converts text into lowercase.	It will index uppercase document as it is.
The original document will be indexed with the processed document	It will index only tokens which are generated during preprocessing step.

By doing all these changes and by seeing the results of system 1 and system 2, as well as P@5 and R@5 score, I think that system 1 is working better than the system 2. So, I would recommend system 1 for web search.