Appendix B: Peak Discharge Analysis:

Peak Discharge (q_n) Calculations for Cascadilla Creek:

- I. Cascadilla Creek Constants needed for all q_n analyses
 - a. Find Rainfall Intensity

$$t = time (yrs) = 15yrs$$

$$P = exceedance probability = 0.25$$

$$EP = exceedance \ probability \ in \ t \ years = 1 - (1 - P)^{1/t} = 0.019$$

$$T = 50$$
 yr rainfall event with 2 hr duration = $1/EP \approx 52$ yrs

$$i = Rainfall\ Intensity = depth/duration = [2.40\ in/2\ hrs] \cdot \frac{0.0254\ m}{1\ in} \cdot \frac{1\ hr}{3600\ sec} = 8.45*10^{-6}\ m/sec$$

b. Use Kirpich method (1940) to find the time of concentration (see Appendix A):

$$t_c = 0.0078 \cdot L^{0.77} \cdot S^{-0.385}$$

$$t_c = [0.0078 \cdot (48000)^{0.77} \cdot (3.03)^{-0.385}] \cdot \frac{1 \, hr}{60 \, min}$$

$$t_c = 1.99 \ hrs \approx 2 \ hrs$$

c. Area of the Cascadilla Creek Watershed

$$A = 3.5 * 10^7 m^2$$

d. Land Covering constants

$$CN = NRCS Curve Number = 76.76$$

$$C = Rational Method Runoff Coefficient = 0.23$$

II. Rational Method (Lloyd-Davies Equation, 1800's)

$$q_p = CiA = 0.23 \cdot 8.45 * 10^{-6} \text{ m/sec} \cdot 3.5 * 10^7 \text{m}^2$$

$$q_p = 67.2 \ m^3/s$$

- III. Curve Number (CN) Method with A Synthetic Triangular Hydrograph
 - a. Curve Number Equation

$$Q = (P - I_a)^2 / (P - I_a + S)$$

Where:

$$Q = Runoff depth (in)$$

$$P = Rainfall depth (in) = 2.4 in. (sourced from NRCC data)$$

$$I_a = Initial \ Abstraction (in)$$

$$S = Maximum \ Watershed \ Storage = (1000/CN) - 10 \ (in) = 3.03 \ in$$

b. Using $I_a = 0.05S$ because it is recognized as more accurate than $I_a = 0.2S$

$$I_a = 0.05S = 0.05 \cdot 3.03 \ in = 0.15 \ in$$

$$Q = [(2.4 - 0.15)^{2}/(2.4 - 0.15 + 3.03)] \cdot \frac{0.0254 \, m}{1 \, in}$$

$$Q = 0.0243 \ m$$

c. Find volume of watershed

$$Q_v = volume \ of \ watershed \ (m^3) = 0.5 \cdot q_v \cdot (2.94 \cdot t_c)$$

$$Q_v = A \cdot Q = 3.5 * 10^7 m^2 \cdot 0.0243 \ m = 850500 \ m^3$$

d. Use volume of watershed (Q_v) and the runoff depth (Q) to find

peak discharge
$$(q_p)$$

$$q_p = 2 \cdot Q_v / (2.94 \cdot t_c)$$

$$q_p = 2 \cdot 858500/(2.94 \cdot 2 \cdot 3600)$$

 $q_p = 80.9 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$




Figure B.1: Synthetic Triangular Hydrograph with the peak discharge of 80.9 m³/s for a 50 year, 2 hour precipitation event in Cascadilla Creek


To see the formulation of the graph in Fig. B.1 please see <u>MATLAB Appendix: Code for</u> Peak Discharge Analysis of Cascadilla Creek

IV. Curve Number (CN) Method with a Composite Unit Hydrograph

- a. Find the storm duration (D), time to peak (t_p), and recession time (t_t) for unit graph $D = \Delta = 0.133 \cdot t_c = 0.133 \cdot 2 \ hr = 0.266 \ hr$ $t_p = D/2 + 0.6 \cdot t_c = 0.266 \ hr/2 + 0.6 \cdot 2 \ hr = 1.33 \ hr$ $t_r = 1.67 \cdot t_p = 1.67 \cdot 1.33 = 2.23 \ hr$
- b. Find volume of watershed using a unit depth of 0.001 m $Q_v = 0.001 \ m \cdot A = 0.001 \ m \cdot 3.5 * 10^7 m^2 = 35000 \ m^3$
- c. Use volume equation to solve for peak discharge (q_p) per unit: $q_p = 2 \cdot Q_v / (t_p + t_r)$ $q_p = [2 \cdot 35000 \ m^3 / (1.33 \ hr + 2.23 \ hr)] \cdot \frac{1 \ hr}{3600 \ sec}$ $q_p = 5.45 \ m^3 / s / unit$

d. Solve for the ascending and descending slopes of the unit hydrograph

Figure B.2: Example unit hydrograph with time to peak (tp), recession time (tr), and reak runoff rate per unit



defined. Slopes of each side of the unit triangle will be solved for in the equations below.

$$S_1 = q_p/t_p = (5.45 \text{ m}^3/\text{s/unit})/1.33 \text{ hr} = 4.09 \text{ m}^3/\text{s/hr}$$

 $S_2 = q_p/t_r = (5.45 \text{ m}^3/\text{s/unit})/2.23 \text{ hr} = 2.45 \text{ m}^3/\text{s/hr}$

e. Build the Unit Hydrograph by finding the distribution of rainfall in time steps of Δ . Graph $u(\Delta)$, where u is the peak discharge per unit per time step Δ up to q_p and back down to 0

$$u_{max} = the incremental value for the u vector = t_p/\Delta = 1.33/0.266 = 5$$

$$u_{increment} = q_p/u_{max} = 1.0902$$

$$u_{vector ascending} = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5] \rightarrow \text{defined up to } u_{max}$$

$$x_{ascending} = \Delta \cdot u_{vector ascending} = [0, 0.266, 0.5320, 0.7980, 1.0640, 1.3300]$$

$$y_{ascending} = u_{increment} \cdot u_{vector ascending} = [0, 1.09, 2.18, 3.27, 4.36, 5.45]$$

$$u_{vector descending} = [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]$$

$$x_{descending} = \Delta \cdot u_{vector descending} = [1.33, 1.59, 1.86, 2.12, 2.39, 2.66, 2.92, 3.19, 3.45]$$

$$y_{descending} = q_p - [(S_2 \cdot \Delta) \cdot [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]]$$

$$y_{descending} = [5.46, 4, 81, 4.15, 3.50, 2.85, 2.19, 1.54, 0.89, 0.24]$$

Will now use $x_{ascending}$ and $y_{ascending}$ to graph the ascending portion of the unit hydrograph and $x_{descending}$ and $y_{descending}$ to graph the descending portion of the unti hydrograph, as seen in Fig. B.3.




Figure B.3: Unit Hydrograph for Cascadilla Creek Watershed.

f. Build the Composite Unit Hydrograph using the Unit Hydrograph and graph $delta = [x_{ascending} x_{descending}] \rightarrow builds a vector of all the x values from the unit hydrograph$

 $U = [y_{ascending} y_{descending}] \rightarrow \text{builds a vector of all the y values from the unit hydrogrpah}$

Next, find the vector of rainfall depths (P) in order to solve the CN equation:

$$Q = (P - I_a)^2 / (P - I_a + S)$$

It is known that the rainfall depth is P = 2.4 in from NRCC data. Therefore, need to divide up that rainfall depth into composite segments to build a composite set of Q values to solve the CN equation:

 $\Delta P = step\ change\ for\ the\ rainfall\ depth = P/10 - steps = 0.24\ in/step$

 $P_{\textit{vector}} = \ [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10] \cdot \Delta P \ = \ [0.24, 0.48, 0.72, 0.96, 1.20, 1.44, 1.68, 1.92, 2.16, 2.40] \ (\textit{in})$

 $S = Maximum \ Watershed \ Storage = (1000/CN) - 10 \ (in) = 3.03 \ in$

 $I_a = 0.05S = 0.05 \cdot 3.03 \ in = 0.15 \ in$

Now, solve for the incremental runoff depths for each time step:

 $Q = (P_{vector} - I_a)^2 / (P_{vector} - I_a + S) = [0, 0.032, 0.089, 0.17, 0.26, 0.38, 0.51, 0.65, 0.80, 0.95] (in)$

It is also known that there will be no runoff unless $I_a > P$, so there will be no Q values when the rainfall depth is less than the initial abstraction.

Now, to solve for the composite peak discharge q_p , must multiply each Q value by the vector U, used to define all the y values. This results in a composite unit hydrograph as seen in Fig. B.4. For a more detailed explanation, with composite vectors shown, please refer to MATLAB Appendix: Code for Peak Discharge Analysis of Cascadilla Creek.




Figure B.4: Composite Unit Hydrograph for the Cascadilla Creek Watershed

V. 24-hour Unit Hydrograph - Uniform distribution

Instead of looking at a 2-hr duration storm, will now analyze a 50 year, 24-hr duration storm. This method is very similar to the Composite Unit Hydrograph method except the D value is no longer $D=\Delta=0.133t_c$. Now, the D value, or time step, will be $D=0.2\ hrs$. This method is less sensitive to area issues and a 24-hr duration rainfall is easier to measure than a 2-hr rainfall event.

The rainfall depth (P) also changes for this analysis as it is no longer considering the depth at 2-hrs, but instead at 24-hrs. Therefore, P = 4.98 in (sourced from NRCC data) For the detailed equations used, please see <u>Spreadsheet Appendix</u>: <u>Excel Spreadsheet for 24-Hour Hydrograph Analyses of Cascadilla Creek</u>.

This analysis results in a design rainfall distributed uniformly over 24 hours.

24 hour Composite Hydrograph for Uniform Rainfall Distributions




Figure B.5: 24-hr Composite Unit Hydrograph for a Uniform Rainfall distribution.

VI. 24-hour Unit Hydrograph - NRCS Type II

For the detailed equations used, please see <u>Spreadsheet Appendix</u>: <u>Excel Spreadsheet for 24-Hour Hydrograph Analyses of Cascadilla Creek</u>.

This analysis results in a design rainfall distributed using NRCS distributions over 24 hours.

24 hour Composite Hydrograph for NRCS Type II Rainfall Distribution




Figure B.6: 24-hr Composite Unit Hydrograph for NRCS Type II Rainfall distribution.

VII. TR-55 Graphical Method

a. Have to use $I_a = 0.2S$ for the given C parameters of the TR-55 equation

$$q_u$$
 = unit peak runoff factor (m^3/s /cm runoff/k m^2 watershed area)

$$S_{0.2} = (1000/CN) - 10 = (1000/76.76) - 10 = 3.027 in$$

$$I_a = 0.2S = 0.6055$$
 in

$$I_a/P = 0.6055 \ in/4.98 \ in = 0.1$$

For $I_a/P = 0.1$ the following parameters were obtained:

$$C_o = 2.55323$$

$$C_1 = -0.61512$$

$$C_2 = -0.16403$$

$$ln(q_n) = 2.55323 + (-0.61512 * ln(1.99)) + (-0.16403 * [ln(1.990)]^2) - 2.366$$

$$ln(q_u) = -0.3142$$

$$q_u = 0.7304 \, m/s/cm \, runoff/km^2 \, of \, watershed \, area$$

b. Now solve for Q_{24} = runoff depth from a 24 hr rain event, CN method (cm)

$$Q_{24} = (P - I_a)^2 / (P - I_a + S)$$

$$Q_{24} = (4.98 - 0.6055)^2 / (4.98 - 0.6055 + 3.0276)$$

$$Q_{24} = 2.58 inches$$

$$Q_{24} = 2.58in * 2.54cm/in = 6.57 cm$$

c. Find remaining values and solve for peak discharge q_n

 $A = watershed area = 35 km^2$

 $F_{\rm p}$ = wetland adjustment = 1

$$q_p = q_u Q_{24} A F_p = (0.7304 \text{ m/s/cm runoff/km}^2 \text{ of watershed area})(6.57 \text{ cm})(35 \text{ km}^2)(1)$$

$$q_p = 168 \ m^3/s$$

Peak Discharge (q_p) Calculations for the 21 Tompkins Country Stream Crossings:

I. Using the CN Method with Synthetic Triangular Hydrograph

Table B.1: Values used to find the peak discharge (qp) for each Tompkins County Stream Crossing. The peak discharge (qp) can be found in the last column.

Stream Crossing Road Name	Kirpich t _c values (hrs)	P (in): duration=t _c recurrence =50	Curve Number (CN)	Maximum Watershe d Storage S (in)	Area (m²)	Runoff Depth Q (m)	Peak Discharge (m³/s)
Bostwick Road	0.1208	0.73	76.0	4.48	106000	0.0013	0.216
Enfield Main Road	0.6590	1.83	71.7	5.62	3108000	0.0085	7.598
Connecticut Hill Road	0.3713	1.37	77.6	4.10	1683000	0.0065	5.619
Leonard Road	0.3308	1.31	73.2	5.20	1580000	0.0045	4.040
Butternut Creek Road	0.3458	1.34	73.9	5.02	725000	0.0049	1.956
Stonehaven Circle Road	0.8958	2.09	74.2	4.93	6086000	0.0127	16.429
Station Road	0.1712	0.92	77.4	4.15	570000	0.0027	1.669
Valley View Road	0.4633	1.57	75.4	4.64	3445000	0.0076	10.709
West Danby Road (34)	0.4691	1.57	67.8	6.75	3496000	0.0048	6.797
Smiley Hill Road	0.2157	1.05	65.4	7.50	699000	0.0014	0.867
Ekroos Road (1)	1.5546	2.37	69.9	6.11	17068000	0.0132	27.318
Ekroos Road (2)	1.5546	2.37	75.4	4.62	17068000	0.0172	35.455
Vanostrand Road (1)	0.2085	1.05	73.4	5.14	285000	0.0027	0.697
Vanostrand Road (2)	0.2995	1.24	75.4	4.62	518000	0.0046	1.499
Douglas Road	0.3125	1.27	67.2	6.92	647000	0.0028	1.081
Fishkill Road	0.8356	2.02	77.0	4.25	4584000	0.0137	14.278
Thomas Road	0.2312	1.06	73.0	5.25	543897.5	0.0027	1.191
Curry Road (1)	2.6547	2.76	83.8	2.76	29784863.3	0.0325	68.682
Curry Road (2)	2.6547	2.76	73.2	5.19	29784863.3	0.0207	43.691
Genung Road	0.1786	0.93	69.7	6.16	212638.0	0.0014	0.327
38 North	0.3952	1.41	59.0	9.89	751096.6	0.0020	0.710

a. The calculations for the stream crossing qp values were the same as those performed for Cascadilla Creek using the CN Method with Synthetic Triangualar Hydrograph. Curve Number Equation

$$Q = (P - I_a)^2/(P - I_a + S)$$

Where:
 $Q = Runoff \ depth \ (in)$
 $P = Rainfall \ depth \ (in)$
 $I_a = Initial \ Abstraction \ (in)$
 $S = Maximum \ W \ atershed \ Storage$

Using $I_a = 0.05S$ because it is recognized as more accurate than $I_a = 0.2S$

$$I_a = 0.05S$$

Find volume of watershed

$$Q_v = volume \ of \ watershed \ (m^3)$$

 $Q_v = A \cdot Q$

Use volume of watershed ($Q_{\scriptscriptstyle V}$) and the runoff depth (Q) to find peak discharge ($q_{\scriptscriptstyle p}$)

$$q_p = 2 \cdot Q_v / (2.94 \cdot t_c)$$

b. This analysis resulted in the composite Synthetic Triangular Hydrograph seen in Fig. B.7. See MATLAB Appendix: Code for Peak Discharge Analysis for Tompkins County Stream Crossings. In order to run this code, download data set (1) and data set (2).




Fig B.7: Synthetic Triangular hydrographs for each Tompkins County Stream Crossing.