

## On the Laws of a Metabelian Variety

D. E. COHEN

*Imperial College, London, England*

*Communicated by Graham Higman*

Received January 20, 1965

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Many varieties of groups are known to have a finite basis for their laws (for the definition and general properties of varieties, see [6]–[8]). Among such varieties are any variety consisting of nilpotent groups of bounded class [4] and any variety generated by a finite group [9]. It is not known whether all varieties have a finite basis for their laws. In this paper we prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM A.** *Any variety consisting of metabelian groups has a finite basis for its laws.*

Because of the relationship between varieties of groups and verbal subgroups of free groups, Theorem A is equivalent to

**THEOREM B.** *The free metabelian group of countable rank has maximum condition on verbal subgroups.*

Our main result is stronger than this.

**THEOREM C.** *A free metabelian group of arbitrary rank has maximum condition on characteristic subgroups.*

This may be compared with a result of Hall [2], which states that a finitely generated metabelian group has maximum condition on normal subgroups.

The proof uses a matrix representation, due to Magnus [5], to translate the problem to one of ring theory. The ring-theoretic result may be regarded as a generalization to an infinite number of variables of the result that the polynomial ring in a finite number of variables over a Noetherian ring is itself Noetherian. We begin with an interesting proposition on abstract closure relations, communicated to me by G. Higman, which greatly simplifies my original proofs of the ring-theoretic results.

## 2. RING THEORY

An *algebraic closure relation* on a set  $C$  is a rule assigning to each subset  $X$  of  $C$  a subset  $\text{cl } X$  of  $C$  subject to the conditions (i)  $X \subseteq \text{cl } X$ , (ii) if  $X \subseteq Y$ , then  $\text{cl } X \subseteq \text{cl } Y$ , (iii)  $\text{cl } \text{cl } X = \text{cl } X$ , (iv) if  $x \in \text{cl } X$ , then  $x \in \text{cl } X_0$ , for some finite  $X_0 \subseteq X$ . Such a relation may be defined by giving the closed subsets of  $C$ , and the most important example for us occurs when  $C$  is a commutative ring and the closed sets are the ideals of  $C$ . An algebraic closure relation has *finite basis property* (f.b.p.) if every closed set is the closure of a finite subset. This is equivalent, by the well-known argument, to the maximum condition on closed sets.

A partially ordered set is said to be *partially well-ordered* if every infinite sequence of elements contains a (nonstrictly)-increasing subsequence (see [3] for equivalent definitions).

The following example will be needed. Let  $V$  be the set of all finite sequences of nonnegative integers, the empty set being regarded as a sequence of zero length. We define two orderings on  $V$ ,

$(i_1, \dots, i_m) < (j_1, \dots, j_n)$  if  $m < n$ , or  $m = n$  and, for some  $r$ ,  $i_r < j_r$  but  $i_s = j_s$  for all  $s > r$ ;

$(i_1, \dots, i_m) \leq (j_1, \dots, j_n)$  if  $m \leq n$ , and, for some one-one order preserving map  $\varphi$  of  $(1, \dots, m)$  into  $(1, \dots, n)$ ,  $i_r \leq j_{\varphi r}$  for all  $r$ . It is easy to check that  $(V, \leq)$  is well-ordered, and that the identity map is an order-homomorphism from  $(V, \leq)$  to  $(V, <)$ . By theorem 4.3 of [3],  $(V, \leq)$  is partially well-ordered.

Now let  $C$  be any set with an algebraic closure relation and  $P$  any partly ordered set. We define on  $C \times P$  an algebraic closure relation, which we say is *induced* by the closure relation on  $C$  and the partial ordering on  $P$ , by the requirement:  $(c, p) \in \text{cl } X$  iff  $\exists (c_1, p_1), \dots, (c_n, p_n) \in X$  such that

$$c \in \text{cl}(c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

and  $p_i \leq p$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . (It is easy to check that this is an algebraic closure relation on  $C \times P$ .)

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If the closure operation on  $C$  has f.b.p., and  $P$  is partially well-ordered, then the induced operation on  $C \times P$  has f.b.p.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be any closed subset of  $C \times P$ . For any  $p \in P$ , define  $X(p) \subseteq C$  by  $X(p) \times p = X \cap (C \times p)$ . By the definition of the operation in  $C \times P$ ,  $X(p)$  is a closed subset of  $C$ , and, if  $p < q$  then  $X(p) \subseteq X(q)$ . We order the collection of indexed sets  $X(p)$  for all  $p$  by  $X(p) < X(q)$  iff  $p < q$  and  $X(p) = X(q)$  as sets.

Then, for any  $p, \exists q$  with  $X(p) > X(q)$ , and  $X(q)$  minimal. For otherwise there would be an infinite sequence  $X(p_1) > X(p_2) > \dots$ , which is impossible since  $P$ , being partially well-ordered, contains no strictly-decreasing infinite sequence. Also there can be only a finite number of minimal elements. For if there were an infinite number, we could find an infinite sequence  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$  such that each  $X(p_i)$  is minimal. But then, if  $i < j$  we have  $X(p_i) \subseteq X(p_j)$  because  $p_i < p_j$ , and also  $X(p_i) \neq X(p_j)$  because  $X(p_j)$  is minimal. This is impossible since  $C$  has f.b.p.

Let, then,  $X(p_1), \dots, X(p_r)$  be the minimal elements. As  $C$  has f.b.p. we can find elements  $c_{ij}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n_j$ , such that  $X(p_j) = \text{cl}\{\bigcup_i c_{ij}\}$ . Now take any  $(c, p) \in X$ . Then  $c \in X(p)$ , and, for some  $j$ ,  $X(p_j) < X(p)$ , so that  $c \in X(p_j)$ . From the definition of the closure operation in  $C \times P$ , it follows that  $X = \text{cl}\{\bigcup_{i,j} c_{ij}\}$ , as required.

We can now proceed to the ring theory. Let  $J$  denote the positive integers, and let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $S = R[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ . Let  $\Phi$  denote the set of all one-one order preserving maps of  $J$  into itself.

**DEFINITION 1.** *An ideal  $I$  of  $S$  is called a  $\Phi$ -ideal if whenever the polynomial  $p(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in I$ , then  $p(x_{\phi_1}, x_{\phi_2}, \dots) \in I$  for any  $\phi \in \Phi$ .*

**DEFINITION 2.** *Let  $M_0$  be the free  $R$ -module with basis  $t_1, t_2, \dots$ . A submodule  $N_0$  of  $M_0$  is called a  $\Phi$ -submodule if whenever*

$$\sum r_i t_i \in N_0 \quad \text{then} \quad \sum r_i t_{\phi i} \in N_0 \quad \text{for any} \quad \phi \in \Phi.$$

**DEFINITION 3.** *Let  $M$  be the free  $S$ -module with basis  $t_1, t_2, \dots$ . A submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called a  $\Phi$ -submodule if whenever*

$$\sum p_i(x_1, x_2, \dots) t_i \in N \quad \text{then} \quad \sum p_i(x_{\phi_1}, x_{\phi_2}, \dots) t_{\phi i} \in N \quad \text{for any} \quad \phi \in \Phi.$$

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $R$  is a Noetherian domain then  $S$  has maximum condition on  $\Phi$ -ideals.*

*Proof.* We define the *weight* of a monomial  $ax_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$  to be the sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in V$ . The *leading term* of a polynomial is the term of maximal weight in  $(V, \leq)$  and the weight of a polynomial is the weight of its leading term. We can define a map  $\theta: S \rightarrow R \times V$  by  $\theta p = (b, wtp)$ , where  $b$  is the leading coefficient of  $p$ . The closure operation on  $R$  in which the closed sets are ideals has f.b.p. because  $R$  is Noetherian. By Proposition 1, the closure operation on  $R \times V$  induced from this operation on  $R$  and the ordering  $\leq$  on  $V$  has f.b.p. It is easy to see that if  $I$  is a  $\Phi$ -ideal of  $S$ , then  $\theta I$  is a closed subset of  $R \times V$ .

Let  $\theta I = \text{cl}\{\theta p_1, \dots, \theta p_r\}$ . It is easy to check that for any  $p \in I$ ,  $\exists q$  in the  $\Phi$ -ideal spanned by  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  such that  $\theta q = \theta p$ , and that this implies  $\text{wt}(p - q) < \text{wt} p$ . Since  $(V, \leq)$  is well-ordered it follows by induction that  $I$  is the  $\Phi$ -ideal spanned by  $p_1, \dots, p_r$ . So we have shown any  $\Phi$ -ideal is finitely spanned as  $\Phi$ -ideal, which is equivalent to the proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If  $R$  is a Noetherian domain then  $M$  has maximum condition on  $\Phi$ -submodules.*

*Proof.* We define a map  $\pi : M \rightarrow R \times V \times J$  by  $\pi 0 = 0 \times V \times J$ , and, if  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i$  where  $p_n \neq 0$  and  $p_n$  has leading coefficient  $a$ ,  $\pi u = (a, \text{wt} p_n, n)$ . We define two orderings on  $V \times J$ : 1.  $((i_1, \dots, i_m), r) \leq ((j_1, \dots, j_n), s)$  iff  $r < s$  or  $r = s$  and  $(i_1, \dots, i_m) \leq (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ ; 2.  $((i_1, \dots, i_m), r) \leq ((j_1, \dots, j_n), s)$  iff there is a one-one order-preserving map  $\varphi : J \rightarrow J$  with  $\varphi r = s$ ,  $\varphi m \leq n$ , and  $i_k \leq j_{\varphi k}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . It is easy to see that  $(V \times J, \leq)$  is well-ordered and that the identity is an order-homomorphism from  $(V \times J, \leq)$  to  $(V \times J, \leq)$ . If we can show that  $(V \times J, \leq)$  is partially well-ordered, we can complete the proof exactly as the proof of Proposition 2, with  $V \times J$  replacing  $V$ .

So let us take an infinite sequence  $(\alpha_i, r_i)$  in  $V \times J$ . Taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots$  and that either  $\alpha_k$  contains fewer than  $r_k$  elements for all  $k$  or that  $\alpha_k$  contains at least  $r_k$  elements for all  $k$ . In the former case, a subsequence  $(\alpha_{k_n}, r_{k_n})$  such that  $\alpha_{k_n}$  is an increasing subsequence of  $(V, \leq)$  [which can be found, since  $(V, \leq)$  is partially well-ordered] will be an increasing subsequence of  $V \times J$ . For the latter case, when we have an element  $(\alpha, r)$  where  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$  with  $m \geq r$ , we shall call  $(i_1, \dots, i_{r-1})$  the *initial part* of  $(\alpha, r)$ ,  $(i_{r+1}, \dots, i_m)$  the *final part* (the initial and final parts may be empty), and  $i_r$  the *pivotal element*. Then, given a sequence  $(\alpha_k, r_k)$  of the second kind, we can take a subsequence such that the initial parts form an increasing sequence in  $(V, \leq)$ ; then a subsequence of this such that the pivotal elements increase; then a subsequence of this latter such that the final parts form an increasing sequence in  $(V, \leq)$ . The resulting subsequence of our original sequence is an increasing sequence in  $(V \times J, \leq)$ , as required.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $R$  is a Noetherian domain,  $M_0$  has maximum condition on  $\Phi$ -submodules.*

Let  $N_0$  be a  $\Phi$ -submodule of  $M_0$ . Then  $SN_0$  is a  $\Phi$ -submodule of  $M$ , and  $M_0 \cap SN_0 = N_0$  (retracting  $S$  onto  $R$  and  $M$  onto  $M_0$  by mapping  $x_i$  to 1, all  $i$ ), whence the result. The corollary can also be proved directly, using a map  $M_0 \rightarrow R \times J$ .

Proposition 1 can also be used to give a variant of the standard proof that  $R[x]$  is Noetherian if  $R$  is, or to prove various extensions of Proposition 2.

## 3. GROUP THEORY

Let  $F$  be a free group,  $A = F/F'$ , and  $B = F/F''$  the corresponding free abelian and free metabelian groups. We will use  $X$  to denote a basis of  $F$ ,  $A$ , or  $B$ .

We proceed to the proof of Theorem C. When  $B$  has finite rank, the theorem is an immediate consequence of Hall's theorem so we need only consider the case when  $X$  is infinite. Suppose  $X$  is not countable and let  $X_0$  be a countable subset of  $X$ . Let  $K$  be a characteristic subgroup of  $B$ , and let  $B_0$  be the subgroup of  $B$  generated by  $X_0$ . Any  $k \in K$  is of form  $k = \theta k_0$  with  $k_0 \in K \cap B_0$  and  $\theta$  an automorphism of  $B$  induced by a permutation of  $X$ , i.e.,  $K$  is determined by  $K \cap B_0$ . Now  $K \cap B_0$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $B_0$ , since any automorphism of  $B_0$  can be extended to an automorphism of  $B$  mapping  $X - X_0$  identically. Hence the theorem is true for  $B$  if it is true for  $B_0$ , and we shall assume for the remainder of the proof that  $X$  is countable.

Magnus [5] shows that if  $R$  is a normal subgroup of  $F$ , and  $G = F/R$ ,  $\Gamma = ZG$  the integral group ring of  $G$ , and  $T$  the free  $\Gamma$ -module with basis  $t_1, t_2, \dots$ , then  $F/R'$  is isomorphic to a group of matrices of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} g & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  with  $g \in G$ ,  $t \in T$ , namely to that subgroup of the group of all such matrices which is generated by the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_i & t_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\bar{x}_i$  is the image in  $G$  of  $x_i$  in  $F$ . Under this isomorphism an element is in  $R/R'$  if and only if its image is of form  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $t \in T$ . The matrix representation is just a convenient way of expressing  $F/R'$  as an extension of  $R/R'$  by  $F/R$ . Magnus states the result in full generality, but only proves a special case. However, in the language of the free differential calculus [1], a homomorphism of  $F$  into the matrix groups is defined by

$$f \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} f & \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} t_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $f \in G$  is the image of  $f \in F$ , and  $R'$  is the kernel of this homomorphism ([1], Proposition 4.9).

We apply this with  $R = F'$ . Let  $S^* = Z[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots]$  be the group ring of  $A$ ,  $M^*$  the free  $S^*$ -module with basis  $t_1, t_2, \dots$ ,  $S = Z[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ , and  $M$  the  $S$ -submodule of  $M^*$  spanned by  $t_1, t_2, \dots$ . Then we will regard  $B$  as a group of matrices of form  $\begin{bmatrix} a & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  with  $a \in A = B/B'$ ,  $u \in M^*$ , and the matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} x_i & t_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  form a basis of  $B$ .

Let  $K$  be a characteristic subgroup of  $B$ . Then  $K \cap B'$  consists of matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Let  $N^* = \{u \in M^*; \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in K \cap B'\}$ . Then  $N^*$  is an  $S^*$ -submodule of  $M^*$  because  $K \cap B'$  is normal in  $B$ . Let  $N$  consist of those elements of  $N^*$  containing only positive powers of the  $x_i$ . Plainly  $N$  is an  $S$ -submodule of  $M$  with  $N^* = S^*N$ . Now any permutation of the basis elements of  $B$  defines an automorphism of  $B$ . Since  $K \cap B'$  is characteristic in  $B$ , and any  $\varphi \in \Phi$  has the same effect on any finite set of natural numbers as some permutation of the natural numbers, we see easily that  $N$  is a  $\Phi$ -submodule of  $M$ .

Suppose  $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$  is an increasing sequence of characteristic subgroups of  $B$ . Then  $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots$  is an increasing sequence of  $\Phi$ -submodules of  $M$ . By Proposition 3, this sequence is ultimately constant, i.e., the sequence  $K_1 \cap B' \subseteq K_2 \cap B' \subseteq \dots$  is ultimately constant. Also  $B/B'$  is a free  $Z$ -module with basis  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , and  $K_1 B'/B' \subseteq K_2 B'/B' \subseteq \dots$  is an increasing sequence of  $\Phi$ -submodules of  $B/B'$ , so is ultimately constant by the corollary to Proposition 3. Hence the sequence  $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$  is ultimately constant, and Theorem C is proved.

*Remark 1.* When  $B$  has finite rank, a simplification of the above argument shows that  $B$  (and hence any finitely generated metabelian group) has maximal condition for normal subgroups. This proof is not significantly different from that of Hall.

*Remark 2.* It is plainly possible to define  $\Phi$ -subgroups of  $B$  with respect to a basis  $X$ , and show that  $B$  has maximum condition on normal  $\Phi$ -subgroups. However, characteristic subgroups are  $\Phi$ -subgroups with respect to any basis, whereas, in general, a  $\Phi$ -subgroup for one basis will not be a  $\Phi$ -subgroup for a different basis.

*Remark 3.* I do not know if Theorems B and/or C apply to free-Abelian-by-nilpotent groups. The methods of this paper do not extend directly, since the free-Abelian-by-class-two group does not have maximum condition on  $\Phi$ -subgroups.

*Remark 4.* The laws of a nilpotent variety may be chosen from a particularly simple infinite set. I do not know if such a set can be found for metabelian varieties. There is a metabelian variety which cannot be defined by a finite number of the laws  $n$ :

$$x_1^n = 1, [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]] = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad [x_1^{n_1}, x_2^{n_2}, x_{i_1}^{n_3}, x_{i_2}^{n_4}, \dots]^r = 1$$

for arbitrary  $i_1, i_2, \dots, n_1, n_2, \dots, r$ .

## REFERENCES

1. FOX, R. H. Free differential calculus I. *Ann. Math.* **57** (1953), 547-560.
2. HALL, P. Finiteness conditions for soluble groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **4** (1954), 419-436.
3. HIGMAN, G. Ordering by divisibility in abstract algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **2** (1952), 326-336.
4. LYNDON, R. C. Two notes on nilpotent groups. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **3** (1952), 579-583.
5. MAGNUS, W. On a theorem of Marshall Hall. *Ann. Math.* **40** (1939), 764-876.
6. NEUMANN, B. H. Identical relations in groups. *Math. Ann.* **114** (1937), 506-525.
7. NEUMANN, H. On varieties of groups and their associated near-rings. *Math. Zeit.* **65** (1956), 36-69.
8. NEUMANN, H. Varieties of groups. (Duplicated lecture notes). Manchester College of Science and Technology, Manchester, England, 1963.
9. OATES, S. AND POWELL, M. B. Identical relations in finite groups. *J. Algebra* **1** (1964), 11-59.