# Coursework Example 1

This example will illustrate clocked and combinational VHDL behavioural code, with use of flow control and arithmetic.

Complete the given skeleton file **draw\_octant.vhd** to make the entity draw\_octant as specified below. To test in simulation, make a Modelsim project with given files **draw\_octant\_tb.vhd**, **data\_pak.vhd**, **draw\_octant.vhd**. Running this project will test functionality. See notes with files for more information. To check VHDL synthesis run synthesis to **draw\_octant.vhd** using Synplify Pro. Check all warnings - there should be none. Submit your file **draw\_octant.vhd** (don't change name) as specified on the web pages or discussion group.

**draw\_octant - see zip file ENTITY for inputs and outputs**
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**Combinational process**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Inputs | | | | | | | | Combinational outputs (bits) | | |
|  | | | | | | | | **(13)** | **(13)** | **(1)** |
| **error** | **xincr** | **yincr** | **x** | **y** | **xnew** | **ynew** | **disable** | **err1** | **err2** | **done** |
| X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | |error + yincr| | |error+yincr - xincr| | x = xnew and y = ynew and reset = 0 and draw = 0 |

X = don’t care input. |exp| = if exp < 0 then -exp else exp

**Registered process**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Inputs | | | | | | Registered outputs (bits) | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | **(13)** | **(12)** | **(12)** | **(12)** | **(12)** | **(12)** | **(12)** |
| **disable** | **err1,err2** | **xbias** | **reset** | **draw** | **done** | **error** | **x** | **y** | **xincr** | **yincr** | **xnew** | **ynew** |
| 0 | n/a | X | 1 | X | X | 0 | xin | yin | 0 | 0 | xin | yin |
| 0 | n/a | X | 0 | 1 | X | 0 | x | y | xin-x | yin-y | xin | yin |
| 0 | err1 > err2 | X | 0 | 0 | 0 | error+yincr-xincr | x+1 | y+1 | nc | nc | nc | nc |
| 0 | err1 < err2 | X | 0 | 0 | 0 | error+yincr | x+1 | y | nc | nc | nc | nc |
| 0 | err1 = err2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | error+yincr | x+1 | y | nc | nc | nc | nc |
| 0 | err1 = err2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | error+yincr-xincr | x+1 | y+1 | nc | nc | nc | nc |
| 0 | n/a | X | 0 | 0 | 1 | nc | nc | nc | nc | nc | nc | nc |
| 1 | X | X | X | X | X | nc | nc | nc | nc | nc | nc | nc |

NB - this will correctly draw a line in octant xincr ≥ 0, yincr ≥ 0, xincr ≥ yincr

nc = no change in output. X = don’t care input

## **Notes on hardware design**

This hardware requires at least two processes, for combinational & registered outputs.

You may split a multiple output process into separate processes each handling different sets of outputs, however in this case that will not make the code easier to understand, and is not recommended.

You may code multiple outputs inside a single PROCESS in two ways. They may be coded together inside a single (possibly nested) set of IF or CASE statements. Or they may be coded separately, with independent IF/CASE statements controlling each output. It is usually clearer to code outputs together when they are controlled by similar conditions, since this allows the IF/CASE logic to be written only once and so simplifies the hardware. However if outputs have different controlling conditions it may be clearer to implement them independently.

This is a design choice, and different good solutions are possible. It is good practice to choose the most compact implementation which is easy to understand. It makes no difference to the synthesised hardware whatever code is used, so the choice here is about how easy is the design to read and verify.

## **For Interest Only: drawing algorithm theory**

