

Capstone Project - 4 Team 2: Product Recommendation Engine






Content

- Problem Statement
- Data Summary
- Recommendation System
- Product Recommendation
- Approaches of Recommendation Systems
- Exploratory Data Analysis
- Machine Learning Algorithm
- Challenges
- Conclusion



Problem Statement

- ➤ Many online businesses rely on customer reviews and ratings. Explicit feedback is especially important in the ecommerce industry where all customer engagements are impacted by these ratings. Amazon relies on such rating data to power its recommendation engine to provide the best beauty product recommendations that are personalized and most relevant to the user.
- Build a recommender engine that reviews the customer ratings and purchase history to recommend items and improve sales for beauty products.






Data Summary

- reviewerID UserId
- > asin ProductId
- > reviewer Name User Name
- > helpful
- review Text
- overall Rating
- > summary
- ➤ unixReviewTime
- review Time


Total Row - 198502 Total columns - 9





Recommended Systems

- Sharp system that provides idea about item to users that might interest them.
- Recommendation system is subclass of information filtering to predict preferences to the items used by or for users. It personalize recommendation and deals with information overload. These demands throws some challenges so different approaches like memory based, model based are used.





Product Recommendation



Products Recommendations - How?






Need of recommendation systems:

Why there is a need?

"Getting Information off the internet is like taking a drink from a fire hydrant" - Mitchell Kapor

- Information Overload
- User Experience
- Revenues






Approaches of Recommendation System

Recommendation system is usually classified on rating estimation:

- Collaborative Filtering system
- Content based system
- Hybrid based system

ative Filtering system. Content based sy






Exploratory Data Analysis

Feature Name - ProductId


- Plotted graph has only top 10 products.
- The graph is showing how many times a particular product has been sold.





Feature Name - UserId


- Plotted graph has only top 10 Users.
- The graph is showing how many times a particular user has purchased a products.





From chart it's clear that -

- Most of the product has given as highest rating.
- > Very less number of product has low rating.






Total pool: 12,101 Products, 22,363 Users, 198,502 Ratings given








Before Log Transformation




After Log Transformation





SVD - Singular Value Decomposition

The Singular-Value **Decomposition, is a matrix** decomposition method for reducing a matrix to its constituent parts in order to make certain subsequent matrix calculations simpler. It provides another way to factorize a matrix, into singular vectors and singular values.





Machine Learning Algorithm

Collaborative Recommendation System

No of Components in SVD = 35

Pivot Matrix: Shape (22363,12101)

	A00414041RD0BXM6WK0GX	A00473363TJ8YSZ3YAGG9	A00700212KB3K0MVESPIY	A0078719IR14X3NNUG0F
ProductId				
7806397051	0.000056	0.000018	0.000076	-0.000218
9759091062	0.000055	0.000092	-0.000034	-0.000336
9788072216	0.000008	0.000121	0.000187	0.000046
9790790961	0.000222	0.000351	-0.000056	0.012612
9790794231	0.000005	0.000701	0.000289	0.000169



Evaluation for Collaborative Filtering

Random 10 User Id Details



Overall Accuracy

recall@5: 0.3847 recall@10: 0.4759 recall@15: 0.5358

	hits@5_count	hits@10_count	hits@15_count	recall@5	recall@10	recall@15	interacted_count	UserId
1263	7	10	14	0.170732	0.243902	0.341463	41	A2V5R832QCSOMX
1094	34	36	36	0.871795	0.923077	0.923077	39	ALNFHVS3SC4FV
210	15	21	21	0.405405	0.567568	0.567568	37	AKMEY1BSHSDG7
1989	5	9	11	0.161290	0.290323	0.354839	31	A3KEZLJ59C1JVH
1711	17	18	19	0.566667	0.600000	0.633333	30	A34BZM6S9L7QI4
197	1	5	8	0.033333	0.166667	0.266667	30	ALQGOMOY1F5X9
992	27	28	30	0.900000	0.933333	1.000000	30	A1UQBFCERIP7VJ
137	23	23	24	0.884615	0.884615	0.923077	26	A3NHUQ33CFH3VM
545	22	22	22	0.880000	0.880000	0.880000	25	AEL6CQNQXONBX
1761	4	6	8	0.166667	0.250000	0.333333	24	A281NPSIMI1C2R



Content-Based Recommendation System

Dataset

	UserId	ProductId	reviewerName	helpful	reviewText	overall	summary	unixReviewTime	reviewTime	Rating	Timestamp
0	A1YJEY40YUW4SE	7806397051	Andrea	[3, 4]	Very oily and creamy. Not at all what I expect	1	Don't waste your money	1391040000	01 30, 2014	1.0	1391040000
1	A60XNB876KYML	7806397051	Jessica H.	[1, 1]	This palette was a decent price and I was look	3	OK Palette!	1397779200	04 18, 2014	3.0	1397779200
2	A3G6XNM240RMWA	7806397051	Karen	[0, 1]	The texture of this concealer pallet is fantas	4	great quality	1378425600	09 6, 2013	4.0	1378425600
3	A1PQFP6SAJ6D80	7806397051	Norah	[2, 2]	I really can't tell what exactly this thing is	2	Do not work on my face	1386460800	12 8, 2013	2.0	1386460800

TF-IDF Vectorizer Technique





Contt...

```
waste dangerous nothing summaryClean product skin bad satisfed sunny work money don object Name location
```

```
shea summaryClean lead organic dab nice Namelove
```

Low Score Words


High Score Words



Cosine Similarity

- Cosine similarity measures the similarity between two vectors of an inner product space.
- > It is measured by the cosine of the angle between two vectors and determines whether two vectors are pointing in roughly the same direction.
- It is often used to measure document similarity in text analysis.

similarity =
$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{B}\|} = \frac{\frac{2}{i\pi}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i}}$$



$$=rac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}B_{i}}{\sqrt{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}^{2}}\sqrt{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}B_{i}^{2}}},$$



Token Relevance to a Particular User

UserId: A00414041RD0BXM6WK0GX

3		token	relevance	
	0	wig	0.715549	
	1	head	0.286604	
	2	fit	0.170562	
	3	average	0.140115	
	4	сар	0.139048	
	5	entire	0.123835	
	6	come	0.121345	
	7	hair	0.114995	
	8	fabulous	0.114200	
	9	mention	0.113886	
	10	paid	0.111911	

11	super	0.110223
12	quality	0.106566
13	forever	0.105580
14	well	0.105234
15	totally	0.099761
16	size	0.098901
17	believe	0.093585
18	small	0.091248
19	blonde	0.087882



Evaluation for Content - Based Recomm...

		hits@	5_count	hits@10_count	hits@15_cou	int	recall@5	recall@10	recall@15	interacted_count	UserId
recall	@5:0.83814	1263	7	10		10	0.170732	0.243902	0.243902	41	A2V5R832QCSOMX
	C	1094	12	14		15	0.307692	0.358974	0.384615	39	ALNFHVS3SC4FV
recall@10:0.863		210	5	6		7	0.135135	0.162162	0.189189	37	AKMEY1BSHSDG7
recall@15:0.8680		1989	4	6		7	0.129032	0.193548	0.225806	31	A3KEZLJ59C1JVH
recam	@13.0.0000	1711	7	9		9	0.233333	0.300000	0.300000	30	A34BZM6S9L7QI4
	hits@5_count	hits@10_co	unt hit	s@15_count	recall@5	re	call@10	recall@1	5 intera	cted_count	UserId
10717	1		1	1	1.0		1.0	1.	0	1 /	A2CMHND1J2REXO
10718	1		1	1	1.0		1.0	1.	0	1	AT9IIRZG9EA
10719	1		1	1	1.0		1.0	1.	0	1	A9V313DO1PZTF
10720	1		1	1	1.0		1.0	1.	0	1	A18I3C6E5VKADI
22362	1		1	1	1.0		1.0	1.	0	1	A3U46FFN9OP7BL



Challenges

- High Volume of Data.
- Elevating evaluation score for the models.
- Crashing of session due to large pivot matrix.
- Choosing optimal number of Factors in SVD.
- Implementing of Hybrid recommendation Model.



Conclusion

- ➤ We got recall@5: 0.3847 and recall@10: 0.4759 for collaborative Model.
- We got recall@5: 0.83814 and recall@10: 0.8630 for content-based Model.
- As we can see We are getting better recall value for content-based model than collaborative model.
- So we can conclude that content-based model is optimal model for product recommendation.



Thank You



Q & A