# Homework 5:

## Q1:

Maximum mixed-up-ness score occurs when the array is sorted in reverse order. Hence, we can calculate mixed-up-ness score by using the linear series formula: (n\*(n-1))/2

If 
$$n = 16$$
  
(16\*(15))/2 = 120

Hence, maximum mixed-up-ness score of an array of size 16 will be 120.

#### O2:

The worst-case runtime of brute-force algorithm will be  $O(n^2)$ . This is because 2 loops are used. We use these 2 loops to iterate every element in the array and check if they can be swapped. Here is the convincing argument for worst case scenario.

- 1. In the brute force algorithm, we use 2 loops to iterate through the array. The outer loop iterates through each element of array. And the inner loop compares the rest of elements with the current item of arrays.
- 2. The worst-case scenario is that the array is in reverse order. In this case, we will have a maximum number of inversions. Due to which, maximum number of comparisons occur, and they have been calculated before.
- 3. The nested loop results in (n\*(n-1))/2 comparisons, in worst case. It grows quadratically with the size of array.
- 4. Asymptotically, the quadratic term dominates the runtime, resulting in a worst-case time complexity of  $O(n^2)$ .

## O3:

The recurrence for the merge-sort in part 3 will be:

$$T(n) = 2 * T(n/2) + O(n)$$

This is because this algorithm is a recursive algorithm, and at each call the array is divided into 2 parts. Whereas the O(n) indicates that it takes O(n) complexity to combine the array or merge it.

#### O4:

We need to establish the base case for n = 1. For n = 1;

$$T(1) = 2 * T(1/2) + O(1).$$
  
Here,  $T(1/2) = T(1) = 1.$   
So:  $T(1) = 2 * 1 + O(1) = 2 + O(1) = O(1)$   
Now Proving:

$$T(n) = 2 * [c * (n/2) * log(n/2)] + O(n) T(n)$$
  
= c \* n \* log(n/2) + O(n)

$$T(n) = c * n * (log(n) - log(2)) + O(n) T(n)$$

$$= c * n * (log(n) - 1) + O(n) T(n)$$

$$= c * n * log(n) - c * n + O(n)$$

$$= c * n * log(n) + O(n) - c * n T(n)$$

$$= c * n * log(n) + O(n * (1 - c))$$

$$T(n) = c * n * log(n) + O(n * (1 - c))$$

In practice, we typically ignore constant factors and lower-order terms when analyzing algorithmic time complexity. Therefore, we can approximate the recurrence as:

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= O(n * log(n)) \\ Q5: \\ As &\, \textbf{T(n)} = \textbf{2} * \textbf{T(n/2)} + \textbf{O(n)} \\ a &= 2 \; , \, b = 2 \; , \, k = 1 \; , \, p = 0 \\ Here: \, a &= b^k \; ( \; case \; 2 \; ) \\ ii) \, p &> -1 \\ &\quad T(n) &= O(n^{logba} \; log^{p+1} n) \\ &\quad T(n) &= O(n^{log} 2^2 \; log^{0+1} \; n) \qquad \ldots \; log 2^2 = 1 \\ &\quad T(n) &= O(n \; log \; n \; ) \end{split}$$

Hence, it's proved that recurrence in part 4 is same with master theorem.