

## POLICY INSIGHTS



### Policy Insight 1: Regional Demand Concentration

A small number of states (Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh) account for a disproportionately high share of biometric updates.

#### # Implication

- Current infrastructure is unevenly utilized.

#### # Recommendation

- Prioritize permanent enrollment centers in high-demand states.
- Deploy mobile units only for seasonal spikes.



### Policy Insight 2: District-Level Bottlenecks

Biometric update activity is clustered in specific districts such as Pune, Nashik, Thane, and Jaipur.

#### # Implication

- Service pressure is highly localized.

#### # Recommendation

- District-specific capacity planning.
- Incentivize private operators in hotspot districts.



### Policy Insight 3: Age-Driven Update Cycles

Nearly half of all biometric updates originate from the 5–17 age group.

#### # Implication

- Updates are largely **policy-driven**, not random.

#### # Recommendation

- Schedule annual biometric update drives aligned with school calendars.
- Enable school-based enrollment camps.

#### Policy Insight 4: Temporal Spikes

Biometric updates exhibit periodic spikes, suggesting administrative or enrollment campaigns.

##### # Implication

- Reactive staffing leads to congestion.

##### # Recommendation

- Predictive staffing using historical data.
- Pre-scale infrastructure before expected spikes.

#### Policy Insight 5: Digital Inequality

Significant disparity exists between top and bottom performing states.

##### # Implication

- Access gap, not demand gap.

##### # Recommendation

- Subsidized enrollment centers in low-volume states.
- Awareness campaigns + mobile enrollment vans.