

## POLICY INSIGHTS

### Policy Insight 1: Regional Demand Concentration

A small number of states (Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh) account for a disproportionately high share of biometric updates.

#### # Implication

- Current infrastructure is unevenly utilized.

#### # Recommendation

- Prioritize permanent enrollment centers in high-demand states.
- Deploy mobile units only for seasonal spikes.

---

### Policy Insight 2: District-Level Bottlenecks

Biometric update activity is clustered in specific districts such as Pune, Nashik, Thane, and Jaipur.

#### # Implication

- Service pressure is highly localized.

#### # Recommendation

- District-specific capacity planning.
- Incentivize private operators in hotspot districts.

---

### Policy Insight 3: Age-Driven Update Cycles

Nearly half of all biometric updates originate from the 5–17 age group.

#### # Implication

- Updates are largely **policy-driven**, not random.

#### # Recommendation

- Schedule annual biometric update drives aligned with school calendars.
  - Enable school-based enrollment camps.
-

#### **Policy Insight 4: Temporal Spikes**

Biometric updates exhibit periodic spikes, suggesting administrative or enrollment campaigns.

##### **# Implication**

- Reactive staffing leads to congestion.

##### **# Recommendation**

- Predictive staffing using historical data.
  - Pre-scale infrastructure before expected spikes.
- 

#### **Policy Insight 5: Digital Inequality**

Significant disparity exists between top and bottom performing states.

##### **# Implication**

- Access gap, not demand gap.

##### **# Recommendation**

- Subsidized enrollment centers in low-volume states.
  - Awareness campaigns + mobile enrollment vans.
-