SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY Department: Mathematics
Term: Spring 2019
Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating
Instructor: Harshaw, Garrett Evan

Class: MAT284 Section M209 (Business Calculus)

Responses: 13 Enrollment: 22 Response Rate: 59.1%

Rate the textbook.	Ex N	1 ccellent % 16.7%	N 3	2 Good % 25.0%	Ad N 5	3 equate	N 1	4 Poor % 8.3%	Te N	5 rrible % 8.3%		his Class mary St Mean 2.67		284 LARG	in This (E RECIT, mary Sta Mean 2.80	ATIONS
			2 3 Often Sometimes N %		netimes	4 Rarely N %		5 Never N %		This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD			
Has the instructor been available for help outside the class?	2	15.4%	5	38.5%	2	15.4%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	13	2.69	1.25	234	2.06	1.01
3. Did the instructor present the material in a clear and logical manner?	4	30.8%	4	30.8%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	13	2.31	1.25	235	1.83	0.97
Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral communication. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's written communication.	Ex N 4	1 cellent % 30.8%	(N 4	2 Good % 30.8%	N 2	3 equate % 15.4%	P N 1	4 oor % 7.7%	N 2	5 rrible % 15.4%		his Class nmary St Mean 2.46		284 LARG	in This (E RECIT mary Sta Mean 1.94	ATIONS
	1 Always N %		2 Often N %		3 Sometimes N %		4 Rarely N %		5 Never N %		This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD		Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD			
	1	lways		Often		netimes		arely	1		Sun	nmary St		Sum	mary Sta	ats
6. Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?	1	lways		Often		netimes %		arely	1	%	Sun	nmary St		Sum	mary Sta	ats
	N	lways %	N	Often %	N	netimes % 23.1%	N	arely %	N	7.7%	Sun N	nmary St Mean	StD	Sum N	mary Sta Mean	sts StD
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?	N 5	38.5%	N 3	23.1% 7.7%	N 3	23.1% 30.8%	N 1	7.7%	N 1	7.7% 7.7%	Sun N 13	nmary St Mean 2.23	1.30	Sum N 236	mary Sta Mean 1.75	StD 0.87
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class? 9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	5 6 6 5	% 38.5% 46.2% 46.2% 38.5%	3 1 3	7.7% 23.1% 23.1%	N 3 4 3 3	23.1% 30.8% 23.1% 23.1%	1 1 1 2	7.7% 7.7% 7.7% 15.4%	1 1 0	7.7% 7.7% 0.0%	Sun N 13 13 13	2.23 2.23 1.92 2.15	1.30 1.36 1.04 1.14	Sum N 236 235 236 233	1.75 1.76 1.60	0.87 0.90 0.76
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class? 9. Did the instructor convey interest and	5 6 6 5 8	ways % 38.5% 46.2% 46.2% 38.5% 61.5%	N 3 1 3 2	7.7% 23.1% 23.1% 23.1% 23.1% 25.00d %	N 3 4 3 3 3	30.8% 23.1% 30.8% 23.1% 23.1% 23.1%	1 1 1 2 0	7.7% 7.7% 7.7% 15.4% 0.0%	1 1 0 0 0 0 Te	7.7% 7.7% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Sun N 13 13 13 13 13 T Sun	2.23 2.23 1.92	1.30 1.36 1.04 1.14 0.87	236 235 236 233 236 Classes 284 LARG Sum	1.75 1.76 1.60 1.80 1.61	0.87 0.87 0.90 0.76 0.92 0.81
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class? 9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject? 10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	N 5 6 6 6 5 8 8 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	ways % 38.5% 46.2% 46.2% 38.5% 61.5%	N 3 3 3 2 (N 2 2	23.1% 7.7% 23.1% 23.1% 23.1% 15.4%	N 3 4 3 3 3 3	30.8% 23.1% 30.8% 23.1% 23.1% 23.1% 3 equate % 30.8%	N 1 1 1 2 0 0 F N 2 2 4 D	7.7% 7.7% 7.7% 15.4% 0.0%	1 1 0 0 0 0 Tee	7.7% 7.7% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 5 errible %	Sun N 13 13 13 13 13 Sun N	2.23 2.23 1.92 2.15 1.62 his Class	1.36 1.04 1.14 0.87	236 235 236 233 236 Classes 284 LARG Sum N	mary Sta Mean 1.75 1.76 1.60 1.80 1.61 in This GE RECIT mary Sta Mean	0.87 0.90 0.76 0.92 0.81 Group ATIONS ats StD

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY
Department: Mathematics

Term: Spring 2019

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Hartshaw, Garrett Evan

Class: MAT284 Section M209 (Business Calculus)

Responses: 13 Enrollment: 22 Response Rate: 59.1%

Written Comments

- Didn¿t explain material well. Wasn¿t engaging. Nice guy but I expected more for business CALCULUS!!
- He was fine. Came in taught us and that was it.
- I found this TA a bit rude and disrespectful. When handing back papers, often times he couldn't pronounce some students' names which was understandable. However, when this happened he would say things along the lines of "Noor Qa-, oh whatever it is". I found this a bit respectful and so did some of my peers.