SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY Department: Mathematics
Term: Spring 2019
Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Hartshaw, Garrett Evan

Class: MAT284 Section M208 (Business Calculus)

Responses: 3 Enrollment: 14 Response Rate: 21.4%

	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor	To	5 rrible	This Class Summary Stats		Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats			
	N	%	ΝĬ	%	N I	%	N   %	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
Rate the textbook.	0			33.3%		66.7%	0 0.0%	0		3	2.67	0.58	231	2.80	1.09
1		,	 		 I			1						in This (	
	1 Always N %		2 Often N   %		3 Sometimes N %		4 Rarely N / %	1	5 Never N %		This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD		284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD		
Has the instructor been available for help outside the class?	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0 0.0%			3		0.58	234	2.06	1.01
Did the instructor present the material in a clear and logical manner?	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0 0.0%	0	0.0%	3	2.00	1.00	235	1.83	0.97
	Exc N	1 rellent %	N	2 600d %	Ade N	3 equate %	4 Poor N %	Te N	5 errible %		This Class nmary SI Mean		284 LARG	in This ( GE RECIT Imary Sta Mean	ATIONS
Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral communication.	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0 0.0%	0	0.0%	3	2.33	0.58	236	1.94	1.04
<ol><li>Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's written communication.</li></ol>	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0 0.0%	0	0.0%	3	2.00	0.00	236	1.75	0.90
	1   Always   N   %		2 Often   N   %		3   Sometimes     N   %			5   Never   N   %		This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD		Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD			
		ways		ften	1	netimes	4 Rarely N / %	1	lever	Sur	nmary St	ats	284 LARG Sum	E RECIT	ATIONS ats
Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?		ways			1	netimes	Rarely	N	ever %	Sur	nmary St Mean	ats	284 LARG Sum	E RECIT	ATIONS ats
6. Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?  7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?	N	ways % 0.0%	N 2	ften %	N	netimes %	Rarely N %	0	0.0%	Sur N	nmary St Mean 2.33	ats StD	284 LARG Sum N	E RECIT mary Sta Mean	ATIONS ats StD
learning the course material?  7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?  8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?	N 0	0.0%	N 2	often <u>%</u> 66.7%	N 1	metimes % 33.3%	Rarely N % 0 0.0%	0 0	ever % 0.0%	Sur N 3	nmary St Mean 2.33	StD 0.58	284 LARG Sum N 236	GE RECIT Imary Sta Mean 1.75	ATIONS ats StD 0.87
learning the course material?  7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?	0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	N 2 3 2 3 3	66.7% 100.0% 66.7%	1 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Rarely N % 0 0.0% 0 0.0%	0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Sur N 3 3 3 3 3	2.33 2.00 2.33	2.54S StD 0.58	284 LARG Sum N 236 235 236 233	SE RECITION STATE OF THE STATE	ATIONS ats StD 0.87
learning the course material?  7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?  8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?  9. Did the instructor convey interest and	0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	N 2 3 2	often % 66.7% 100.0% 66.7%	0 1	0.0% 33.3% 0.0%	Rarely N % 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%	0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Sur N 3 3	2.33 2.00 2.33	0.58 0.58	284 LARG Sum N 236 235 236	Mean 1.75 1.76 1.60	ATIONS ats StD 0.87 0.90 0.76
learning the course material?  7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?  8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?  9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?  10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	0 0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	3 2 3 2 N	66.7%  100.0% 66.7%  100.0% 66.7%  2 Good %	0 1 0 1 Add	0.0% 33.3% 0.0% 33.3% 0.0% 33.3%	Rarely N % 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 4 Poor N %	0 0 0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	3 3 3 3 3 3 Sur N	2.33  2.00 2.33  2.00 2.33  Chis Class	0.58 0.00 0.58 0.00 0.58 0.00 0.58	284 LARG Sum N 236 235 236 233 236 Classes 284 LARG Sum N	1.75  1.76  1.60  1.80  1.61  in This GE RECITION SERECITION SEREC	ATIONS ats StD  0.87  0.90  0.76  0.92  0.81  Group ATIONS ats StD
learning the course material?  7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?  8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?  9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	0 0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	3 2 3 2 N	100.0% 66.7% 100.0% 66.7% 100.0% 66.7%	0 1 0 1	0.0% 33.3% 0.0% 33.3% 0.0% 33.3%	Rarely N % 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 4 Poor	0 0 0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	3 3 3 3 3 Sur	2.33  2.00 2.33  2.00 2.33  Chis Class	0.58 0.00 0.58 0.00 0.58 0.00 0.58	284 LARG Sum N 236 235 236 233 236 Classes 284 LARG Sum	1.75  1.76  1.60  1.80  1.61  in This GE RECIT	0.87  0.90  0.76  0.92  0.81  Group  ATIONS  ats
learning the course material?  7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?  8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?  9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?  10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	N 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 A A N   1	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1 cellent % 33.3%	N 2 3 2 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 E E N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100.0% 66.7% 100.0% 66.7% 100.0% 66.7% 2 Good % 33.3%	N 1 1 0 0 1 1 Add N 1 1 3 C C N   C	0.0% 33.3% 0.0% 33.3% 33.3% 34 44 33.3%	Rarely N % 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 4 Poor N % 0 0.0% 4 D	0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	3 3 3 3 3 3 Sur N	2.33  2.00 2.33  2.00 2.33  Chis Class	0.58 0.00 0.58 0.00 0.58 0.00 0.58	284 LARG Sum N 236 235 236 233 236 Classes 284 LARG Sum N	1.75  1.76  1.60  1.80  1.61  in This GE RECITION SERECITION SEREC	ATIONS ats StD  0.87  0.90  0.76  0.92  0.81  Group ATIONS ats StD

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY Department: Mathematics

Term: Spring 2019

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating Instructor: Hartshaw, Garrett Evan

Class: MAT284 Section M208 (Business Calculus) Responses: 3 Enrollment: 14 Response Rate: 21.4%

## **Written Comments**

- · no comments
- Nothing