SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY Department: Mathematics
Term: Spring 2019
Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Hartshaw, Garrett Evan

Class: MAT284 Section M207 (Business Calculus)

Responses: 4 Enrollment: 14 Response Rate: 28.6%

Rate the textbook.	1 Excellent N % 1 25.0%		2 Good N % 0 0.0%		3 Adequate N % 2 50.0%		4 Poor N % 0 0.0%		5 Terrible N % 1 25.0%		This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD 4 3.00 1.63		Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD 231 2.80 1.09			
	1 Always (N % N		2 Often %	3 Sometimes N %		4 Rarely N %		5 Never N %		This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD			
Has the instructor been available for help outside the class?	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4	2.00	1.41	234	2.06	1.01
Did the instructor present the material in a clear and logical manner?	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	2.00	0.82	235	1.83	0.97
4. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral communication. 5. Rate effectiveness of the instructor's with the effectiveness of the instructor's	N 1	1 cellent % 25.0%	N 1		N 1	3 quate % 25.0%	N 1		0		Sun N 4	This Class nmary St Mean 2.50	StD 1.29	284 LARG Sum N 236	mary Sta Mean 1.94	ATIONS ats StD 1.04
written communication.	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	2.25	0.96	236	1.75	0.90
	I	1 2 ways Often % N %		3 Sometimes N %		4 Rarely N %		5 Never N %		This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD		Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD				
	A N	lways		Often	1 1	etimes		arely	1	ever	Sun	nmary St	tats	284 LARG Sum	E RECIT	ATIONS ats
6. Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?	1	lways		Often %	N	etimes		arely %	1	ever %	Sun	nmary St	tats	284 LARG Sum	E RECIT	ATIONS ats
Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material? Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?	N 1	25.0%	N 1	Often % 25.0%	N 1	etimes % 25.0%	N 1	arely % 25.0%	0	ever % 0.0%	Sun N	nmary St Mean 2.50	StD 1.29	284 LARG Sum N 236	E RECIT mary Sta Mean 1.75	ATIONS ats StD 0.87
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?	N	lways %	N	25.0% 25.0%	N 1 1	etimes %	N	arely % 25.0%	0 0	ever %	Sun N 4	nmary St Mean	tats StD	284 LARG Sum N	E RECIT mary Sta Mean	ATIONS ats StD
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?	N 1 1	25.0%	1 1	25.0% 25.0% 25.0%	N 1 1 1 1 1	etimes % 25.0%	1 1	arely % 25.0% 25.0%	0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Sun N 4	nmary Sf Mean 2.50	1.29	284 LARG Sum N 236	E RECIT mary Sta Mean 1.75	ATIONS ats StD 0.87
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class? 9. Did the instructor convey interest and	1 1 2	25.0% 25.0% 25.0%	1 1 1	25.0% 25.0% 25.0%	N 1 1 1 1 2	25.0% 25.0% 25.0%	1 1 0	25.0% 25.0% 20.0%	0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Sun N 4 4	2.50 2.50	1.29 1.29 0.96	284 LARG Sum N 236 235 236	E RECITIMARY Standary	ATIONS ats StD 0.87 0.90 0.76
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class? 9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject? 10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	1 1 2 2 1 1 Ex	25.0% 25.0% 50.0% 50.0% 25.0%	1 1 1 0 1 1 N	25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 0.0% 25.0%	N 1 1 1 2 1 1 Ade N	25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 50.0% 3 equate %	1 0 0 1	25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 0.0% 0.0% 25.0%	0 0 0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Sun N 4 4 4 4 4 5 Sun N	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 4.75 2.00 2.50 4.85 Class	1.29 1.29 0.96 1.15 1.29	284 LARG Sum N 236 235 236 236 236 Classes 284 LARG Sum N	E RECIT Mean 1.75 1.76 1.60 1.61 in This GE RECIT Mean Sta Mean	ATIONS ats StD 0.87 0.90 0.76 0.92 0.81 Group ATIONS ats StD
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class? 9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	1 1 2 2 1 Ex	25.0% 25.0% 50.0% 50.0% 25.0%	1 1 1 0 1 1 N	25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 0.0% 25.0%	1 1 1 2 1 Add	etimes % 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 50.0% 25.0% 3 equate %	1 0 0 1	25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 0.0% 0.0% 25.0%	0 0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Surn N 4 4 4 4 4 Sun	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.60 2.50 2.50 2.50	1.29 1.29 0.96 1.15 1.29	284 LARG Sum N 236 235 236 236 236 Classes 284 LARG Sum	E RECIT mary Sta Mean 1.75 1.76 1.60 1.80 1.61 in This Gereal Recit mary Sta	ATIONS ets StD 0.87 0.90 0.76 0.92 0.81 Group ATIONS ets
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class? 9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject? 10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	1 1 2 2 1 1 Ex	25.0% 25.0% 50.0% 50.0% 25.0%	1 1 1 0 1 1 N N 1 1	25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 0.0% 25.0% 0.0% 25.0% 25.0%	N 1 1 1 2 1 1 Ade N	25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 50.0% 3 equate % 50.0%	1 0 0 1	25.0% 25.0% 25.0% 0.0% 0.0% 25.0%	0 0 0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Sun N 4 4 4 4 4 5 Sun N	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 4.75 2.00 2.50 4.85 Class	1.29 1.29 0.96 1.15 1.29	284 LARG Sum N 236 235 236 236 236 Classes 284 LARG Sum N	E RECIT Mean 1.75 1.76 1.60 1.61 in This GE RECIT Mean Sta Mean	ATIONS ats StD 0.87 0.90 0.76 0.92 0.81 Group ATIONS ats StD

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY
Department: Mathematics

Term: Spring 2019

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Hartshaw, Garrett Evan

Class: MAT284 Section M207 (Business Calculus) Responses: 4 Enrollment: 14 Response Rate: 28.6%

Written Comments

- Recall harsh grading on a specific problem (for number 10)
- The professor was outstanding. Very clear with the way he went about conducting classes throughout the semester.