SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY Department: Mathematics
Term: Spring 2019
Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating
Instructor: Hartshaw, Garrett Evan

Class: MAT284 Section M406 (Business Calculus)

Responses: 15 Enrollment: 24 Response Rate: 62.5%

1. Rate the textbook.	N 1	1	N 4	2 bod % 28.6%	Ade N 4	3 quate % 28.6%	Po N 1	7.1%	Ter N 4	5 rible % 28.6%	Sum N 14	his Class mary St Mean 3.21	sts StD 1.37	284 LARG Sum N 231 _ Classes 284 LARG	mary Sta Mean 2.80 in This (ATIONS ats StD 1.09 Group ATIONS
	AI N	ways %	N	ften %	Som	etimes %	N R	arely %	N N	ever %		nmary St Mean	tats StD	Sum N	mary Sta Mean	ats StD
Has the instructor been available for help outside the class?	0	0.0%	7	46.7%	6	40.0%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	15	2.67	0.72	234	2.06	1.01
Did the instructor present the material in a clear and logical manner?	2	13.3%	7	46.7%	6	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	2.27	0.70	235	1.83	0.97
4. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral	1 Excellent N %		2 Good N %		3 Adequate N %		4 Poor N %		5 Terrible N %		This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD		
communication.	3		7	46.7%	4	26.7%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	15	2.20	0.86	236	1.94	1.04
Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's written communication.	3	20.0%	8	53.3%	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	15	2.13	0.83	236	1.75	0.90
		1 2 Always Often N % N 9		2	3 Sometimes N %		4 Rarely N %		5 Never N %		This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD		Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD			
C. We allow the control of the life of the			1	ften %				•	1			•		1	mary Sta	ats
Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?	N		N	1	N			•	N			•		1	mary Sta	ats
5	3	%	N 8	%	N 2 3	% 13.3% 20.0%	N	%	N 0	%	N	Mean	StD	N	mary Sta Mean	ets StD
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?	3 2 4	20.0% 13.3% 26.7%	8 10 8	% 53.3% 66.7% 53.3%	N 2 3	% 13.3% 20.0% 20.0%	0 0	% 13.3% 0.0% 0.0%	0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	N 15 15	2.20 2.07 1.93	0.94 0.59 0.70	236 235 236	mary Sta Mean 1.75 1.76 1.60	0.87 0.90 0.76
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class? 9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	3 2 4 4	20.0% 13.3% 26.7% 28.6%	N 8 10 8 5	% 53.3% 66.7% 53.3% 35.7%	N 2 3 3 3 3	% 13.3% 20.0% 20.0% 21.4%	0 0 2	% 13.3% 0.0% 0.0% 14.3%	0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	N 15 15 15 14	2.20 2.07 1.93 2.21	0.94 0.59 0.70 1.05	236 235 236 233	1.75 1.76 1.60 1.80	0.87 0.90 0.76 0.92
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class? 9. Did the instructor convey interest and	3 2 4	20.0% 13.3% 26.7%	N 8 10 8 5	% 53.3% 66.7% 53.3%	N 2 3 3 3 3	% 13.3% 20.0% 20.0%	0 0	% 13.3% 0.0% 0.0%	0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	N 15 15	2.20 2.07 1.93	0.94 0.59 0.70	236 235 236	mary Sta Mean 1.75 1.76 1.60	0.87 0.90 0.76
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class? 9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	N 3 2 4 4 6	20.0% 13.3% 26.7% 28.6%	8 10 8 5 5	% 53.3% 66.7% 53.3% 35.7%	3 3 3 4 Adde N	% 13.3% 20.0% 20.0% 21.4%	0 0 2 0	% 13.3% 0.0% 0.0% 14.3%	0 0 0 0 0 Tern N	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	N 15 15 15 14 15	2.20 2.07 1.93 2.21	0.94 0.59 0.70 1.05 0.83	236 235 236 233 236 Classes 284 LARG Sum	1.75 1.76 1.60 1.80 1.61	0.87 0.90 0.76 0.92 0.81
learning the course material? 7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems? 8. Was the instructor well prepared for class? 9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject? 10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	3 2 4 4 6	% 20.0% 13.3% 26.7% 28.6% 40.0%	8 10 8 5 5 5 G N	% 53.3% 66.7% 53.3% 35.7% 33.3%	3 3 3 4 Adde N	% 13.3% 20.0% 20.0% 21.4% 26.7% 3 quate % 20.0%	0 0 2 0 P N 1	% 13.3% 0.0% 0.0% 14.3% 0.0%	N 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	N 15 15 15 14 15 Sum N	2.20 2.07 1.93 2.21 1.87 his Classmary St Mean	0.94 0.59 0.70 1.05 0.83	236 235 236 233 236 Classes 284 LARG Sum N	mary Sta Mean 1.75 1.76 1.60 1.80 1.61 in This GE RECITA mary Sta Mean	0.87 0.90 0.76 0.92 0.81 Group ATIONS ats StD

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY
Department: Mathematics

Term: Spring 2019

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Hartshaw, Garrett Evan

Class: MAT284 Section M406 (Business Calculus)

Responses: 15 Enrollment: 24 Response Rate: 62.5%

Written Comments

- material easy to understand in lectures sometimes
- Went over the quizzes very often and taught the class very well. Answered any question.