

Chapter 4: Dynamic Programming

Objectives of this chapter:

- ❑ Overview of a collection of classical solution methods for MDPs known as dynamic programming (DP)
- ❑ Show how DP can be used to compute value functions, and hence, optimal policies
- ❑ Discuss efficiency and utility of DP

Policy Evaluation (Prediction)

Policy Evaluation: for a given policy π , compute the state-value function v_π

Recall: **State-value function for policy π**

$$v_\pi(s) \doteq \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t \mid S_t = s] = \mathbb{E}_\pi\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1} \mid S_t = s\right]$$


Recall: **Bellman equation for v_π**

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r|s, a) \left[r + \gamma v_\pi(s') \right]$$

—a system of $|S|$ simultaneous equations

Iterative Policy Evaluation (Prediction)

$$v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_k \rightarrow v_{k+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_\pi$$


a “sweep” 

A sweep consists of applying a **backup operation** to each state.

A full policy-evaluation backup:

$$v_{k+1}(s) = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r|s, a) \left[r + \gamma v_k(s') \right] \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$


A Small Gridworld Example



- ❑ An undiscounted episodic task
- ❑ Nonterminal states: 1, 2, . . . , 14;
- ❑ One terminal state (shown twice as shaded squares)
- ❑ Actions that would take agent off the grid leave state unchanged
- ❑ Reward is -1 until the terminal state is reached

Iterative Policy Eval for the Small Gridworld

$\pi =$ equiprobable random action choices



$R = -1$
on all transitions

$\gamma = 1$

- ❑ An undiscounted episodic task
- ❑ Nonterminal states: $1, 2, \dots, 14$;
- ❑ One terminal state (shown twice as shaded squares)
- ❑ Actions that would take agent off the grid leave state unchanged
- ❑ Reward is -1 until the terminal state is reached

$$v_{k+1}(s) = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r|s, a) \left[r + \gamma v_k(s') \right] \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

V_k for the
Random Policy

$k = 0$

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

$k = 1$

0.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	0.0

$k = 2$

0.0	-1.7	-2.0	-2.0
-1.7	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0
-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-1.7
-2.0	-2.0	-1.7	0.0

$k = 3$

0.0	-2.4	-2.9	-3.0
-2.4	-2.9	-3.0	-2.9
-2.9	-3.0	-2.9	-2.4
-3.0	-2.9	-2.4	0.0

$k = 10$


0.0	-6.1	-8.4	-9.0
-6.1	-7.7	-8.4	-8.4
-8.4	-8.4	-7.7	-6.1
-9.0	-8.4	-6.1	0.0

$k = \infty$

0.0	-14.	-20.	-22.
-14.	-18.	-20.	-20.
-20.	-20.	-18.	-14.
-22.	-20.	-14.	0.0

Iterative Policy Eval for the Small Gridworld

$\pi =$ equiprobable random action choices



	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	

$R = -1$
on all transitions

$\gamma = 1$

- ❑ An undiscounted episodic task
- ❑ Nonterminal states: 1, 2, ..., 14;
- ❑ One terminal state (shown twice as shaded squares)
- ❑ Actions that would take agent off the grid leave state unchanged
- ❑ Reward is -1 until the terminal state is reached

$$\pi'(s) \doteq \arg \max_a \sum_{s', r} p(s', r | s, a) \left[r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s') \right]$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$

V_k for the
Random Policy

Greedy Policy
w.r.t. V_k

$k = 0$

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	↕	↕	↕
↕	↕	↕	↕
↕	↕	↕	↕
↕	↕	↕	

random
policy

$k = 1$

0.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	0.0

	←	↕	↕
↑	↕	↕	↕
↕	↕	↕	↓
↕	↕	→	

$k = 2$

0.0	-1.7	-2.0	-2.0
-1.7	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0
-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-1.7
-2.0	-2.0	-1.7	0.0

	←	←	↕
↑	↖	↕	↓
↑	↕	↘	↓
↕	→	→	

$k = 3$

0.0	-2.4	-2.9	-3.0
-2.4	-2.9	-3.0	-2.9
-2.9	-3.0	-2.9	-2.4
-3.0	-2.9	-2.4	0.0

	←	←	↖
↑	↖	↖	↓
↑	↗	↘	↓
↖	→	→	

$k = 10$

0.0	-6.1	-8.4	-9.0
-6.1	-7.7	-8.4	-8.4
-8.4	-8.4	-7.7	-6.1
-9.0	-8.4	-6.1	0.0

	←	←	↖
↑	↖	↖	↓
↑	↗	↘	↓
↖	→	→	

optimal
policy

$k = \infty$

0.0	-14.	-20.	-22.
-14.	-18.	-20.	-20.
-20.	-20.	-18.	-14.
-22.	-20.	-14.	0.0

	←	←	↖
↑	↖	↖	↓
↑	↗	↘	↓
↖	→	→	

Iterative Policy Evaluation – One array version

Input π , the policy to be evaluated

Initialize an array $V(s) = 0$, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}^+$

Repeat

$\Delta \leftarrow 0$

 For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:

$v \leftarrow V(s)$

$V(s) \leftarrow \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s', r|s, a) [r + \gamma V(s')]$

$\Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$

until $\Delta < \theta$ (a small positive number)

Output $V \approx v_\pi$

Enough Prediction,
let's start towards Control!

Policy improvement theorem

- Given the value function for *any policy* π :

$$q_{\pi}(s, a) \quad \text{for all } s, a$$

- It can always be **greedified** to obtain a *better policy*:


$$\pi'(s) = \arg \max_a q_{\pi}(s, a) \quad (\pi' \text{ is not unique})$$

- where better means:

$$q_{\pi'}(s, a) \geq q_{\pi}(s, a) \quad \text{for all } s, a$$

- with equality only if both policies are optimal

The dance of policy and value (Policy Iteration)



Any policy evaluates to a unique value function (soon we will see how to learn it)

which can be greedified to produce a better policy

That in turn evaluates to a value function

which can in turn be greedified...

Each policy is *strictly better* than the previous, until *eventually both are optimal*

There are *no local optima*

The dance converges in a *finite number of steps*, usually very few

Policy Improvement

Suppose we have computed v_π for a deterministic policy π .

For a given state s ,
would it be better to do an action $a \neq \pi(s)$?

It is better to switch to action a for state s if and only if

$$q_\pi(s, a) > v_\pi(s)$$

And, we can compute $q_\pi(s, a)$ from v_π by:

$$\begin{aligned} q_\pi(s, a) &= \mathbb{E}_\pi[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_\pi(S_{t+1}) \mid S_t = s, A_t = a] \\ &= \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a) \left[r + \gamma v_\pi(s') \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Policy Improvement Cont.


Do this for all states to get a new policy $\pi' \geq \pi$ that is **greedy** with respect to v_π :

$$\begin{aligned}\pi'(s) &= \arg \max_a q_\pi(s, a) \\ &= \arg \max_a \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_\pi(S_{t+1}) \mid S_t = s, A_t = a] \\ &= \arg \max_a \sum_{s', r} p(s', r \mid s, a) [r + \gamma v_\pi(s')],\end{aligned}$$

What if the policy is unchanged by this?

Then the policy must be optimal!

Policy Iteration



policy evaluation

policy improvement
“greedification”

Policy Iteration – One array version (+ policy)

1. Initialization

$V(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi(s) \in \mathcal{A}(s)$ arbitrarily for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$

2. Policy Evaluation

Repeat

$\Delta \leftarrow 0$

For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:

$v \leftarrow V(s)$

$V(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s',r} p(s', r|s, \pi(s)) [r + \gamma V(s')]$

$\Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$

until $\Delta < \theta$ (a small positive number)

3. Policy Improvement

policy-stable \leftarrow *true*

For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:

$a \leftarrow \pi(s)$

$\pi(s) \leftarrow \arg \max_a \sum_{s',r} p(s', r|s, a) [r + \gamma V(s')]$

If $a \neq \pi(s)$, then *policy-stable* \leftarrow *false*

If *policy-stable*, then stop and return V and π ; else go to 2