

Taxi demand prediction in New York City

In [1]:

```
#Importing Libraries
# pip3 install graphviz
#pip3 install dask
#pip3 install toolz
#pip3 install cloudpickle
# https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ieW3G7ZzRZ0
# https://github.com/dask/dask-tutorial
# please do go through this python notebook: https://github.com/dask/dask-tutorial/blob/master/07_dataframe.ipynb
import dask.dataframe as dd#similar to pandas

import pandas as pd#pandas to create small dataframes

# pip3 install folium
# if this doesnt work refere install_folium.JPG in drive
import folium #open street map

# unix time: https://www.unixtimestamp.com/
import datetime #Convert to unix time

import time #Convert to unix time

# if numpy is not installed already : pip3 install numpy
import numpy as np#Do aritmetic operations on arrays

# matplotlib: used to plot graphs
import matplotlib
# matplotlib.use('nbagg') : matplotlib uses this protocall which makes
# plots more user intractive like zoom in and zoom out
matplotlib.use('nbagg')
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns#Plots
```

```

from matplotlib import rcParams#Size of plots

# this lib is used while we calculate the stight line distance between
# two (lat,lon) pairs in miles
import gpxpy.geo #Get the haversine distance

from sklearn.cluster import MiniBatchKMeans, KMeans#Clustering
import math
import pickle
import os

# download mingwin: https://mingw-w64.org/doku.php/download/mingw-builds
# install it in your system and keep the path, mingw_path ='installed pa-
# th'
os.environ["PATH"] += os.pathsep + r'C:\Users\krush\Anaconda3\Lib\site-
# packages\graphviz\bin'
mingw_path = 'C:\Program Files (x86)\mingw-w64\i686-8.1.0-posix-dwarf-f
# t_v6-rev0\mingw32\bin'
os.environ['PATH'] = mingw_path + ';' + os.environ['PATH']

# to install xgboost: pip3 install xgboost
# if it didnt happen check install_xgboost.JPG
import xgboost as xgb

# to install sklearn: pip install -U scikit-learn
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
from sklearn.metrics import mean_absolute_error
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")

```

Data Information

Get the data from : http://www.nyc.gov/html/tlc/html/about/trip_record_data.shtml (2016 data) The data used in the attached datasets were collected and provided to the NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission (TLC)

Information on taxis:

Yellow Taxi: Yellow Medallion Taxicabs

These are the famous NYC yellow taxis that provide transportation exclusively through street-hails. The number of taxicabs is limited by a finite number of medallions issued by the TLC. You access this mode of transportation by standing in the street and hailing an available taxi with your hand. The pickups are not pre-arranged.

For Hire Vehicles (FHV)s

FHV transportation is accessed by a pre-arrangement with a dispatcher or limo company. These FHV's are not permitted to pick up passengers via street hails, as those rides are not considered pre-arranged.

Green Taxi: Street Hail Livery (SHL)

The SHL program will allow livery vehicle owners to license and outfit their vehicles with green borough taxi branding, meters, credit card machines, and ultimately the right to accept street hails in addition to pre-arranged rides.

Credits: Quora

Footnote:

In the given notebook we are considering only the yellow taxis for the time period between Jan - Mar 2015 & Jan - Mar 2016

Data Collection

We Have collected all yellow taxi trips data from jan-2015 to dec-2016(Will be using only 2015 data)

| file name | file name size | number of records | number of features |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| yellow_tripdata_2016-01 | 1. 59G | 10906858 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2016-02 | 1. 66G | 11382049 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2016-03 | 1. 78G | 12210952 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2016-04 | 1. 74G | 11934338 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2016-05 | 1. 73G | 11836853 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2016-06 | 1. 62G | 11135470 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2016-07 | 884Mb | 10294080 | 17 |
| yellow_tripdata_2016-08 | 854Mb | 9942263 | 17 |
| yellow_tripdata_2016-09 | 870Mb | 10116018 | 17 |
| yellow_tripdata_2016-10 | 933Mb | 10854626 | 17 |
| yellow_tripdata_2016-11 | 868Mb | 10102128 | 17 |
| yellow_tripdata_2016-12 | 897Mb | 10449408 | 17 |
| yellow_tripdata_2015-01 | 1.84Gb | 12748986 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2015-02 | 1.81Gb | 12450521 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2015-03 | 1.94Gb | 13351609 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2015-04 | 1.90Gb | 13071789 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2015-05 | 1.91Gb | 13158262 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2015-06 | 1.79Gb | 12324935 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2015-07 | 1.68Gb | 11562783 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2015-08 | 1.62Gb | 11130304 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2015-09 | 1.63Gb | 11225063 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2015-10 | 1.79Gb | 12315488 | 19 |

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|----------|----|
| yellow_tripdata_2015-11 | 1.65Gb | 11312676 | 19 |
| yellow_tripdata_2015-12 | 1.67Gb | 11460573 | 19 |

```
In [2]: #Looking at the features
# dask dataframe : # https://github.com/dask/dask-tutorial/blob/master/07_dataframe.ipynb
month = dd.read_csv('yellow_tripdata_2015-01.csv')
print(month.columns)

Index(['VendorID', 'tpep_pickup_datetime', 'tpep_dropoff_datetime',
       'passenger_count', 'trip_distance', 'pickup_longitude',
       'pickup_latitude', 'RateCodeID', 'store_and_fwd_flag',
       'dropoff_longitude', 'dropoff_latitude', 'payment_type', 'fare_amount',
       'extra', 'mta_tax', 'tip_amount', 'tolls_amount',
       'improvement_surcharge', 'total_amount'],
      dtype='object')
```

```
In [187]: os.environ["PATH"] += os.pathsep + r'C:\Users\krush\Anaconda3\Library\bin\graphviz'
os.path.abspath('C:\Users\krush\Anaconda3\Lib\site-packages\graphviz')
# However unlike Pandas, operations on dask.dataframes don't trigger immediate computation,
# instead they add key-value pairs to an underlying Dask graph. Recall that in the diagram below,
# circles are operations and rectangles are results.


# to see the visualization you need to install graphviz
# pip3 install graphviz if this doesnt work please check the install_graphviz.jpg in the drive
month.visualize()
```

Out[187]:



```
In [168]: month.fare_amount.sum().visualize()
```

Out[168]:



Features in the dataset:

| Field Name | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| VendorID | A code indicating the TPEP provider that provided the record. 1. Creative Mobile Technologies 2. VeriFone Inc. |
| tpep_pickup_datetime | The date and time when the meter was engaged. |
| tpep_dropoff_datetime | The date and time when the meter was disengaged. |
| Passenger_count | The number of passengers in the vehicle. This is a driver-entered value. |
| Trip_distance | The elapsed trip distance in miles reported by the taximeter. |
| Pickup_longitude | Longitude where the meter was engaged. |
| Pickup_latitude | Latitude where the meter was engaged. |
| RateCodeID | The final rate code in effect at the end of the trip. 1. Standard rate 2. JFK 3. Newark 4. Nassau or Westchester 5. Negotiated fare 6. Group ride |

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Store_and_fwd_flag | This flag indicates whether the trip record was held in vehicle memory before sending to the vendor, aka “store and forward,” because the vehicle did not have a connection to the server. Y= store and forward trip N= not a store and forward trip |
| Dropoff_longitude | Longitude where the meter was disengaged. |
| Dropoff_latitude | Latitude where the meter was disengaged. |
| Payment_type | A numeric code signifying how the passenger paid for the trip. 1. Credit card 2. Cash 3. No charge 4. Dispute 5. Unknown 6. Voided trip |
| Fare_amount | The time-and-distance fare calculated by the meter. |
| Extra | Miscellaneous extras and surcharges. Currently, this only includes. the 0.50 and 1 rush hour and overnight charges. |
| MTA_tax | 0.50 MTA tax that is automatically triggered based on the metered rate in use. |
| Improvement_surcharge | 0.30 improvement surcharge assessed trips at the flag drop. the improvement surcharge began being levied in 2015. |
| Tip_amount | Tip amount – This field is automatically populated for credit card tips.Cash tips are not included. |
| Tolls_amount | Total amount of all tolls paid in trip. |
| Total_amount | The total amount charged to passengers. Does not include cash tips. |

ML Problem Formulation

Time-series forecasting and Regression

- To find number of pickups, given location coordinates(latitude and longitude) and time, in the query region and surrounding regions.

To solve the above we would be using data collected in Jan - Mar 2015 to predict the pickups in Jan - Mar 2016.

Performance metrics

1. Mean Absolute percentage error.
2. Mean Squared error.

Data Cleaning

In this section we will be doing univariate analysis and removing outlier/illegitimate values which may be caused due to some error

In [3]: *#table below shows few datapoints along with all our features
month.head(5)*

Out[3]:

| | VendorID | tpep_pickup_datetime | tpep_dropoff_datetime | passenger_count | trip_distan |
|---|----------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 0 | 2 | 2015-01-15 19:05:39 | 2015-01-15 19:23:42 | 1 | 1.59 |
| 1 | 1 | 2015-01-10 20:33:38 | 2015-01-10 20:53:28 | 1 | 3.30 |
| 2 | 1 | 2015-01-10 20:33:38 | 2015-01-10 20:43:41 | 1 | 1.80 |
| 3 | 1 | 2015-01-10 20:33:39 | 2015-01-10 20:35:31 | 1 | 0.50 |
| 4 | 1 | 2015-01-10 20:33:39 | 2015-01-10 20:52:58 | 1 | 3.00 |



1. Pickup Latitude and Pickup Longitude

It is inferred from the source <https://www.flickr.com/places/info/2459115> that New York is bounded by the location coordinates(lat,long) - (40.5774, -74.15) & (40.9176,-73.7004) so hence any coordinates not within these coordinates are not considered by us as we are only concerned with pickups which originate within New York.

```
In [5]: # Plotting pickup coordinates which are outside the bounding box of New-York
# we will collect all the points outside the bounding box of newyork city to outlier_locations
outlier_locations = month[((month.pickup_longitude <= -74.15) | (month.
pickup_latitude <= 40.5774) | \
(month.pickup_longitude >= -73.7004) | (month.pickup
_latitude >= 40.9176))]

# creating a map with the a base location
# read more about the folium here: http://folium.readthedocs.io/en/latest/quickstart.html


# note: you dont need to remember any of these, you dont need indepth
knowledge on these maps and plots

map_osm = folium.Map(location=[40.734695, -73.990372], tiles='Stamen Toner')

# we will spot only first 100 outliers on the map, plotting all the outliers will take more time
sample_locations = outlier_locations.head(10000)
for i,j in sample_locations.iterrows():
    if int(j['pickup_latitude']) != 0:
        folium.Marker(list((j['pickup_latitude'],j['pickup_longitude']))).add_to(map_osm)
map_osm
```

Out[5]:





Observation:- As you can see above that there are some points just outside the boundary but there are a few that are in either South America, Mexico or Canada

2. Dropoff Latitude & Dropoff Longitude

It is inferred from the source <https://www.flickr.com/places/info/2459115> that New York is bounded by the location coordinates(lat,long) - (40.5774, -74.15) & (40.9176,-73.7004) so hence any cordinates not within these cordinates are not considered by us as we are only concerned with dropoffs which are within New York.

```
In [25]: # Plotting dropoff cordinates which are outside the bounding box of New
- York
# we will collect all the points outside the bounding box of newyork ci
ty to outlier_locations
outlier_locations = month[((month.dropoff_longitude <= -74.15) | (month
.dropoff_latitude <= 40.5774) | \
(month.dropoff_longitude >= -73.7004) | (month.dropo
```

```

ff_latitude >= 40.9176))

# creating a map with the a base location
# read more about the folium here: http://folium.readthedocs.io/en/latest/quickstart.html


# note: you dont need to remember any of these, you dont need indepth
# knowledge on these maps and plots

map_osm = folium.Map(location=[40.734695, -73.990372], tiles='Stamen Toner')

# we will spot only first 100 outliers on the map, plotting all the outliers will take more time
sample_locations = outlier_locations.head(10000)
for i,j in sample_locations.iterrows():
    if int(j['pickup_latitude']) != 0:
        folium.Marker(list((j['dropoff_latitude'],j['dropoff_longitude']))).add_to(map_osm)
map_osm

```

Out[25]:





Observation:- The observations here are similar to those obtained while analysing pickup latitude and longitude

3. Trip Durations:

According to NYC Taxi & Limousine Commission Regulations **the maximum allowed trip duration in a 24 hour interval is 12 hours.**

```
In [48]: #The timestamps are converted to unix so as to get duration(trip-time)
& speed also pickup-times in unix are used while binning

# in out data we have time in the formate "YYYY-MM-DD HH:MM:SS" we convert
this sting to python time formate and then into unix time stamp
# https://stackoverflow.com/a/27914405
def convert_to_unix(s):
    return time.mktime(datetime.datetime.strptime(s, "%Y-%m-%d %H:%M:%S").timetuple())

# we return a data frame which contains the columns
# 1.'passenger_count' : self explanatory
# 2.'trip_distance' : self explanatory
# 3.'pickup_longitude' : self explanatory
# 4.'pickup_latitude' : self explanatory
# 5.'dropoff_longitude' : self explanatory
# 6.'dropoff_latitude' : self explanatory
# 7.'total_amount' : total fair that was paid
# 8.'trip_times' : duration of each trip
# 9.'pickup_times' : pickup time converted into unix time
# 10.'Speed' : velocity of each trip
def return_with_trip_times(month):
    duration = month[['tpep_pickup_datetime', 'tpep_dropoff_datetime']].
```

```

compute()
    #pickups and dropoffs to unix time
    duration_pickup = [convert_to_unix(x) for x in duration['tpep_pickup_datetime'].values]
    duration_drop = [convert_to_unix(x) for x in duration['tpep_dropoff_datetime'].values]
    #calculate duration of trips
    durations = (np.array(duration_drop) - np.array(duration_pickup))/float(60)

    #append durations of trips and speed in miles/hr to a new dataframe
    new_frame = month[['passenger_count','trip_distance','pickup_longitude','pickup_latitude','dropoff_longitude','dropoff_latitude','total_amount']].compute()

    new_frame['trip_times'] = durations
    new_frame['pickup_times'] = duration_pickup
    new_frame['Speed'] = 60*(new_frame['trip_distance']/new_frame['trip_times'])


    return new_frame


# print(frame_with_durations.head())
#   passenger_count      trip_distance     pickup_longitude      pickup_total_amount
#   latitude      dropoff_longitude      dropoff_latitude
# mount  trip_times      pickup_times      Speed
#   1           1.59          -73.993896
# 11      -73.974785        40.750618      17.05
# 1       18.050000  1.421329e+09      5.285319
# 43      -73.994415        3.30          -74.001648
# 43      19.833333  1.420902e+09      9.983193
# 1           1.80          40.759109      17.80
# 88      -73.951820        1.80          -73.963341
# 88      10.050000  1.420902e+09      10.746269
# 1           0.50          40.824413      10.80
# 18      -74.004326        0.50          -74.009087
# 18      1.866667  1.420902e+09
# 1           3.00          40.719986      4.80
# 1           3.00          16.071429
# 1           3.00          -73.971176
# 1           40.7624

```

```
28      -74.004181      40.742653      16.30
      19.316667      1.420902e+09      9.318378
frame_with_durations = return_with_trip_times(month)
```

In [49]: *# the skewed box plot shows us the presence of outliers*
sns.boxplot(y="trip_times", data =frame_with_durations)
plt.show()





```
In [50]: #calculating 0-100th percentile to find a the correct percentile value  
for removal of outliers  
for i in range(0,100,10):
```

```
var = frame_with_durations["trip_times"].values
var = np.sort(var, axis = None)
print("{} percentile value is {}".format(i,var[int(len(var)*(float(i)/100))])))
print ("100 percentile value is ",var[-1])
```

```
0 percentile value is -1211.0166666666667
10 percentile value is 3.833333333333335
20 percentile value is 5.383333333333334
30 percentile value is 6.816666666666666
40 percentile value is 8.3
50 percentile value is 9.95
60 percentile value is 11.866666666666667
70 percentile value is 14.28333333333333
80 percentile value is 17.63333333333333
90 percentile value is 23.45
100 percentile value is 548555.6333333333
```

In [51]:

```
#looking further from the 99th percecntile
for i in range(90,100):
    var = frame_with_durations["trip_times"].values
    var = np.sort(var, axis = None)
    print("{} percentile value is {}".format(i,var[int(len(var)*(float(i)/100))])))
    print ("100 percentile value is ",var[-1])
```


```
90 percentile value is 23.45
91 percentile value is 24.35
92 percentile value is 25.38333333333333
93 percentile value is 26.55
94 percentile value is 27.93333333333334
95 percentile value is 29.58333333333332
96 percentile value is 31.68333333333334
97 percentile value is 34.46666666666667
98 percentile value is 38.71666666666667
99 percentile value is 46.75
100 percentile value is 548555.6333333333
```

In [52]:

```
#removing data based on our analysis and TLC regulations
```


```
frame_with_durations_modified=frame_with_durations[(frame_with_duration  
s.trip_times>1) & (frame_with_durations.trip_times<720)]
```

In [53]: *#box-plot after removal of outliers*
sns.boxplot(y="trip_times", data =frame_with_durations_modified)
plt.show()




In [54]: *#pdf of trip-times after removing the outliers*
sns.FacetGrid(frame_with_durations_modified,size=6) \
.map(sns.kdeplot,"trip_times") \

```
.add_legend();  
plt.show();
```




```
In [55]: #converting the values to log-values to chec for log-normal  
import math  
frame_with_durations_modified['log_times']=[math.log(i) for i in frame_  
with_durations_modified['trip_times'].values]
```

```
In [56]: #pdf of log-values  
sns.FacetGrid(frame_with_durations_modified,size=6) \  
    .map(sns.kdeplot,"log_times") \  
    .add_legend();  
plt.show();
```



```
In [57]: import scipy  
#Q-Q plot for checking if trip-times is log-normal
```


```
scipy.stats.probplot(frame_with_durations_modified['log_times'].values,  
                     plot=plt)  
plt.show()
```



4. Speed

```
In [58]: # check for any outliers in the data after trip duration outliers removed  
# box-plot for speeds with outliers  
frame_with_durations_modified['Speed'] = 60*(frame_with_durations_modif
```

```
ied['trip_distance']/frame_with_durations_modified['trip_times'])  
sns.boxplot(y="Speed", data =frame_with_durations_modified)  
plt.show()
```



```
In [59]: #calculating speed values at each percentile 0,10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,9  
0,100  
for i in range(0,100,10):  
    var =frame_with_durations_modified["Speed"].values  
    var = np.sort(var, axis = None)  
    print("{} percentile value is {}".format(i,var[int(len(var))*(float(  
    0.0001)*i)])
```

```
i)/100))]))  
print("100 percentile value is ",var[-1])  
  
0 percentile value is 0.0  
10 percentile value is 6.409495548961425  
20 percentile value is 7.80952380952381  
30 percentile value is 8.929133858267717  
40 percentile value is 9.98019801980198  
50 percentile value is 11.06865671641791  
60 percentile value is 12.286689419795222  
70 percentile value is 13.796407185628745  
80 percentile value is 15.963224893917962  
90 percentile value is 20.186915887850468  
100 percentile value is 192857142.85714284
```

```
In [60]: #calculating speed values at each percntile 90,91,92,93,94,95,96,97,98,  
99,100  
for i in range(90,100):  
    var =frame_with_durations_modified["Speed"].values  
    var = np.sort(var, axis = None)  
    print("{} percentile value is {}".format(i,var[int(len(var)*(float(i)/100))]))  
print("100 percentile value is ",var[-1])
```

```
90 percentile value is 20.186915887850468  
91 percentile value is 20.91645569620253  
92 percentile value is 21.752988047808763  
93 percentile value is 22.721893491124263  
94 percentile value is 23.844155844155843  
95 percentile value is 25.182552504038775  
96 percentile value is 26.80851063829787  
97 percentile value is 28.84304932735426  
98 percentile value is 31.591128254580514  
99 percentile value is 35.7513566847558  
100 percentile value is 192857142.85714284
```

```
In [61]: #calculating speed values at each percntile 99.0,99.1,99.2,99.3,99.4,9  
9.5,99.6,99.7,99.8,99.9,100  
for i in np.arange(0.0, 1.0, 0.1):
```

```
var = frame_with_durations_modified["Speed"].values
var = np.sort(var, axis = None)
print("{} percentile value is {}".format(99+i,var[int(len(var)*(float(99+i)/100))]))
print("100 percentile value is ",var[-1])

99.0 percentile value is 35.7513566847558
99.1 percentile value is 36.31084727468969
99.2 percentile value is 36.91470054446461
99.3 percentile value is 37.588235294117645
99.4 percentile value is 38.33035714285714
99.5 percentile value is 39.17580340264651
99.6 percentile value is 40.15384615384615
99.7 percentile value is 41.338301043219076
99.8 percentile value is 42.86631016042781
99.9 percentile value is 45.3107822410148
100 percentile value is 192857142.85714284
```

In [62]: *#removing further outliers based on the 99.9th percentile value*
frame_with_durations_modified=frame_with_durations[(frame_with_durations.Speed>0) & (frame_with_durations.Speed<45.31)]

In [63]: *#avg.speed of cabs in New-York*
sum(frame_with_durations_modified["Speed"]) / float(len(frame_with_durations_modified["Speed"]))


Out[63]: 12.450173996027528

The avg speed in Newyork speed is 12.45miles/hr, so a cab driver can travel **2 miles per 10min on avg.**

4. Trip Distance

In [64]: *# up to now we have removed the outliers based on trip durations and cab speeds*
lets try if there are any outliers in trip distances

```
# box-plot showing outliers in trip-distance values
sns.boxplot(y="trip_distance", data =frame_with_durations_modified)
plt.show()
```



```
In [65]: #calculating trip distance values at each percentile 0,10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90,100
for i in range(0,100,10):
    var =frame_with_durations_modified["trip_distance"].values
    var = np.sort(var, axis = None)
    print("{} percentile value is {}".format(i,var[int(len(var))*(float(
```

```
i)/100))]))  
print("100 percentile value is ",var[-1])  
  
0 percentile value is 0.01  
10 percentile value is 0.66  
20 percentile value is 0.9  
30 percentile value is 1.1  
40 percentile value is 1.39  
50 percentile value is 1.69  
60 percentile value is 2.07  
70 percentile value is 2.6  
80 percentile value is 3.6  
90 percentile value is 5.97  
100 percentile value is 258.9
```

```
In [66]: #calculating trip distance values at each percntile 90,91,92,93,94,95,9  
6,97,98,99,100  
for i in range(90,100):  
    var =frame_with_durations_modified["trip_distance"].values  
    var = np.sort(var, axis = None)  
    print("{} percentile value is {}".format(i,var[int(len(var))*(float(i)/100))]))  
print("100 percentile value is ",var[-1])
```

```
90 percentile value is 5.97  
91 percentile value is 6.45  
92 percentile value is 7.07  
93 percentile value is 7.85  
94 percentile value is 8.72  
95 percentile value is 9.6  
96 percentile value is 10.6  
97 percentile value is 12.1  
98 percentile value is 16.03  
99 percentile value is 18.17  
100 percentile value is 258.9
```


```
In [67]: #calculating trip distance values at each percntile 99.0,99.1,99.2,99.  
3,99.4,99.5,99.6,99.7,99.8,99.9,100  
for i in np.arange(0.0, 1.0, 0.1):
```

```
var = frame_with_durations_modified["trip_distance"].values
var = np.sort(var, axis = None)
print("{} percentile value is {}".format(99+i,var[int(len(var)*(float(99+i)/100))]))
print("100 percentile value is ",var[-1])
```

```
99.0 percentile value is 18.17
99.1 percentile value is 18.37
99.2 percentile value is 18.6
99.3 percentile value is 18.83
99.4 percentile value is 19.13
99.5 percentile value is 19.5
99.6 percentile value is 19.96
99.7 percentile value is 20.5
99.8 percentile value is 21.22
99.9 percentile value is 22.57
100 percentile value is 258.9
```


In [68]: *#removing further outliers based on the 99.9th percentile value*
frame_with_durations_modified=frame_with_durations[(frame_with_durations.trip_distance>0) & (frame_with_durations.trip_distance<23)]

In [69]: *#box-plot after removal of outliers*
sns.boxplot(y="trip_distance", data = frame_with_durations_modified)
plt.show()



5. Total Fare

```
In [70]: # up to now we have removed the outliers based on trip durations, cab speeds, and trip distances  
# lets try if there are any outliers in based on the total_amount  
# box-plot showing outliers in fare  
sns.boxplot(y="total_amount", data =frame_with_durations_modified)  
plt.show()
```



```
In [71]: #calculating total fare amount values at each percentile 0,10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90,100
for i in range(0,100,10):
    var = frame_with_durations_modified["total_amount"].values
    var = np.sort(var, axis = None)
    print("{} percentile value is {}".format(i,var[int(len(var)*(float(i)/100))]))
print("100 percentile value is ",var[-1])
0 percentile value is -242.55
10 percentile value is 6.3
20 percentile value is 7.8
```

```
30 percentile value is 8.8
40 percentile value is 9.8
50 percentile value is 11.16
60 percentile value is 12.8
70 percentile value is 14.8
80 percentile value is 18.3
90 percentile value is 25.8
100 percentile value is 3950611.6
```

```
In [72]: #calculating total fare amount values at each percntile 90,91,92,93,94,
95,96,97,98,99,100
for i in range(90,100):
    var = frame_with_durations_modified["total_amount"].values
    var = np.sort(var, axis = None)
    print("{} percentile value is {}".format(i,var[int(len(var))*(float(i)/100))]))
print("100 percentile value is ",var[-1])
```


```
90 percentile value is 25.8
91 percentile value is 27.3
92 percentile value is 29.3
93 percentile value is 31.8
94 percentile value is 34.8
95 percentile value is 38.53
96 percentile value is 42.6
97 percentile value is 48.13
98 percentile value is 58.13
99 percentile value is 66.13
100 percentile value is 3950611.6
```

```
In [73]: #calculating total fare amount values at each percntile 99.0,99.1,99.2,
99.3,99.4,99.5,99.6,99.7,99.8,99.9,100
for i in np.arange(0.0, 1.0, 0.1):
    var = frame_with_durations_modified["total_amount"].values
    var = np.sort(var, axis = None)
    print("{} percentile value is {}".format(99+i,var[int(len(var))*(float(99+i)/100))]))
print("100 percentile value is ",var[-1])
```


```
99.0 percentile value is 66.13
99.1 percentile value is 68.13
99.2 percentile value is 69.6
99.3 percentile value is 69.6
99.4 percentile value is 69.73
99.5 percentile value is 69.75
99.6 percentile value is 69.76
99.7 percentile value is 72.58
99.8 percentile value is 75.35
99.9 percentile value is 88.28
100 percentile value is 3950611.6
```

Observation:- As even the 99.9th percentile value doesn't look like an outlier, as there is not much difference between the 99.8th percentile and 99.9th percentile, we move on to do graphical analysis


```
In [74]: #below plot shows us the fare values(sorted) to find a sharp increase to remove those values as outliers
# plot the fare amount excluding last two values in sorted data
plt.plot(var[:-2])
plt.show()
```



```
In [75]: # a very sharp increase in fare values can be seen  
# plotting last three total fare values, and we can observe there is sh  
are increase in the values  
plt.plot(var[-3:])  
plt.show()
```



```
In [76]: #now looking at values not including the last two points we again find  
# a drastic increase at around 1000 fare value  
# we plot last 50 values excluding last two values  
plt.plot(var[-50:-2])  
plt.show()
```



Remove all outliers/errorous points.

In [77]: *#removing all outliers based on our univariate analysis above*
def remove_outliers(new_frame):

```
a = new_frame.shape[0]
print ("Number of pickup records = ",a)
temp_frame = new_frame[((new_frame.dropoff_longitude >= -74.15) & (
new_frame.dropoff_longitude <= -73.7004) &\
```

```

        (new_frame.dropoff_latitude >= 40.5774) & (new_f
rame.dropoff_latitude <= 40.9176)) & \
            ((new_frame.pickup_longitude >= -74.15) & (new_f
rame.pickup_latitude >= 40.5774)& \
                (new_frame.pickup_longitude <= -73.7004) & (new_
frame.pickup_latitude <= 40.9176)))
        b = temp_frame.shape[0]
        print ("Number of outlier coordinates lying outside NY boundaries:"
,(a-b))

        temp_frame = new_frame[(new_frame.trip_times > 0) & (new_frame.trip
_times < 720)]
        c = temp_frame.shape[0]
        print ("Number of outliers from trip times analysis:",(a-c))

        temp_frame = new_frame[(new_frame.trip_distance > 0) & (new_frame.t
rip_distance < 23)]
        d = temp_frame.shape[0]
        print ("Number of outliers from trip distance analysis:",(a-d))

        temp_frame = new_frame[(new_frame.Speed <= 65) & (new_frame.Speed >
= 0)]
        e = temp_frame.shape[0]
        print ("Number of outliers from speed analysis:",(a-e))

        temp_frame = new_frame[(new_frame.total_amount <1000) & (new_frame.
total_amount >0)]
        f = temp_frame.shape[0]
        print ("Number of outliers from fare analysis:",(a-f))

        new_frame = new_frame[((new_frame.dropoff_longitude >= -74.15) & (n
ew_frame.dropoff_longitude <= -73.7004) & \
            (new_frame.dropoff_latitude >= 40.5774) & (new_f
rame.dropoff_latitude <= 40.9176)) & \
                ((new_frame.pickup_longitude >= -74.15) & (new_f
rame.pickup_latitude >= 40.5774)& \

```

```

        (new_frame.pickup_longitude <= -73.7004) & (new_
frame.pickup_latitude <= 40.9176))

    new_frame = new_frame[(new_frame.trip_times > 0) & (new_frame.trip_
times < 720)]
    new_frame = new_frame[(new_frame.trip_distance > 0) & (new_frame.tr
ip_distance < 23)]
    new_frame = new_frame[(new_frame.Speed < 45.31) & (new_frame.Speed
> 0)]
    new_frame = new_frame[(new_frame.total_amount < 1000) & (new_frame.t
otal_amount > 0)]

    print ("Total outliers removed", a - new_frame.shape[0])
    print ("---")
    return new_frame

```

In [26]:

```

print ("Removing outliers in the month of Jan-2015")
print ("---")
frame_with_durations_outliers_removed = remove_outliers(frame_with_dura
tions)
print("fraction of data points that remain after removing outliers", fl
oat(len(frame_with_durations_outliers_removed))/len(frame_with_dura
tions))

```

Removing outliers in the month of Jan-2015

Number of pickup records = 12748986
Number of outlier coordinates lying outside NY boundaries: 293919
Number of outliers from trip times analysis: 23889
Number of outliers from trip distance analysis: 92597
Number of outliers from speed analysis: 24473
Number of outliers from fare analysis: 5275
Total outliers removed 377910

fraction of data points that remain after removing outliers 0.970357642
5607495

Exploratory Data Analysis

```
In [27]: frame_with_durations_outliers_removed.head()
```


Out[27]:

| | passenger_count | trip_distance | pickup_longitude | pickup_latitude | dropoff_longitude |
|---|-----------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 0 | 1 | 1.59 | -73.993896 | 40.750111 | -73.974785 |
| 1 | 1 | 3.30 | -74.001648 | 40.724243 | -73.994415 |
| 2 | 1 | 1.80 | -73.963341 | 40.802788 | -73.951820 |
| 3 | 1 | 0.50 | -74.009087 | 40.713818 | -74.004326 |
| 4 | 1 | 3.00 | -73.971176 | 40.762428 | -74.004181 |

◀ ▶

```
In [32]: df_trip_indexed = frame_with_durations_outliers_removed.reset_index()
print(pd.DataFrame(df_trip_indexed.groupby(['passenger_count']).count()['index']))
pd.DataFrame(df_trip_indexed.groupby(['passenger_count']).count()['index']).plot(kind='bar',color='cyan')
plt.ylabel('Number')
plt.show()
```

| | index |
|-----------------|---------|
| passenger_count | |
| 0 | 5836 |
| 1 | 8703909 |
| 2 | 1764123 |
| 3 | 515199 |
| 4 | 246597 |
| 5 | 687392 |
| 6 | 448008 |
| 7 | 6 |
| 8 | 2 |
| 9 | 4 |



Data-preperation

Clustering/Segmentation

```
In [36]: #trying different cluster sizes to choose the right K in K-means  
coords = frame_with_durations_outliers_removed[['pickup_latitude', 'pickup_longitude']].values  
neighbours=[]
```

```

def find_min_distance(cluster_centers, cluster_len):
    nice_points = 0
    wrong_points = 0
    less2 = []
    more2 = []
    min_dist=1000
    for i in range(0, cluster_len):
        nice_points = 0
        wrong_points = 0
        for j in range(0, cluster_len):
            if j!=i:
                distance = gpappy.geo.haversine_distance(cluster_centers[i][0], cluster_centers[i][1],cluster_centers[j][0], cluster_centers[j][1])
                min_dist = min(min_dist,distance/(1.60934*1000))
                if (distance/(1.60934*1000)) <= 2:
                    nice_points +=1
                else:
                    wrong_points += 1
        less2.append(nice_points)
        more2.append(wrong_points)
    neighbours.append(less2)
    print ("On choosing a cluster size of ",cluster_len,"\\nAvg. Number of Clusters within the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distance < 2):", np.ceil(sum(less2)/len(less2)), "\\nAvg. Number of Clusters outside the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distance > 2):", np.ceil(sum(more2)/len(more2)),"\\nMin inter-cluster distance = ",min_dist,"\\n---")

def find_clusters(increment):
    kmeans = MiniBatchKMeans(n_clusters=increment, batch_size=10000, random_state=42).fit(coords)
    frame_with_durations_outliers_removed['pickup_cluster'] = kmeans.predict(frame_with_durations_outliers_removed[['pickup_latitude', 'pickup_longitude']])
    cluster_centers = kmeans.cluster_centers_
    cluster_len = len(cluster_centers)
    return cluster_centers, cluster_len

# we need to choose number of clusters so that, there are more number o

```

```
f cluster regions
#that are close to any cluster center
# and make sure that the minimum inter cluster should not be very less
for increment in range(10, 100, 10):
    cluster_centers, cluster_len = find_clusters(increment)
    find_min_distance(cluster_centers, cluster_len)
```

```
On choosing a cluster size of 10
Avg. Number of Clusters within the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distance
< 2): 2.0
Avg. Number of Clusters outside the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distanc
e > 2): 8.0
Min inter-cluster distance = 1.0945442325142543
---
On choosing a cluster size of 20
Avg. Number of Clusters within the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distance
< 2): 4.0
Avg. Number of Clusters outside the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distanc
e > 2): 16.0
Min inter-cluster distance = 0.7131298007387813
---
On choosing a cluster size of 30
Avg. Number of Clusters within the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distance
< 2): 8.0
Avg. Number of Clusters outside the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distanc
e > 2): 22.0
Min inter-cluster distance = 0.5185088176172206
---
On choosing a cluster size of 40
Avg. Number of Clusters within the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distance
< 2): 8.0
Avg. Number of Clusters outside the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distanc
e > 2): 32.0
Min inter-cluster distance = 0.5069768450363973
---
On choosing a cluster size of 50
Avg. Number of Clusters within the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distance
< 2): 12.0
Avg. Number of Clusters outside the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distanc
e > 2): 38.0
```

```
Min inter-cluster distance =  0.365363025983595
---
On choosing a cluster size of  60
Avg. Number of Clusters within the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distance
< 2): 14.0
Avg. Number of Clusters outside the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distanc
e > 2): 46.0
Min inter-cluster distance =  0.34704283494187155
---
On choosing a cluster size of  70
Avg. Number of Clusters within the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distance
< 2): 16.0
Avg. Number of Clusters outside the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distanc
e > 2): 54.0
Min inter-cluster distance =  0.30502203163244707
---
On choosing a cluster size of  80
Avg. Number of Clusters within the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distance
< 2): 18.0
Avg. Number of Clusters outside the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distanc
e > 2): 62.0
Min inter-cluster distance =  0.29220324531738534
---
On choosing a cluster size of  90
Avg. Number of Clusters within the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distance
< 2): 21.0
Avg. Number of Clusters outside the vicinity (i.e. intercluster-distanc
e > 2): 69.0
Min inter-cluster distance =  0.18257992857034985
---
```

Inference:

- The main objective was to find a optimal min. distance(Which roughly estimates to the radius of a cluster) between the clusters which we got was 40

```
In [38]: # if check for the 50 clusters you can observe that there are two clust
```

```

ers with only 0.3 miles apart from each other
# so we choose 30 clusters for solve the further problem

# Getting 30 clusters using the kmeans
kmeans = MiniBatchKMeans(n_clusters=30, batch_size=10000, random_state=0)
).fit(coords)
frame_with_durations_outliers_removed['pickup_cluster'] = kmeans.predict(frame_with_durations_outliers_removed[['pickup_latitude', 'pickup_longitude']])

```

Plotting the cluster centers:

In [39]:

```

# Plotting the cluster centers on OSM
cluster_centers = kmeans.cluster_centers_
cluster_len = len(cluster_centers)
map_osm = folium.Map(location=[40.734695, -73.990372], tiles='Stamen Toner')
for i in range(cluster_len):
    folium.Marker(list((cluster_centers[i][0],cluster_centers[i][1])), popup=(str(cluster_centers[i][0])+str(cluster_centers[i][1]))).add_to(map_osm)
map_osm

```

Out[39]:





Plotting the clusters:

```
In [41]: #Visualising the clusters on a map
def plot_clusters(frame):
    city_long_border = (-74.03, -73.75)
    city_lat_border = (40.63, 40.85)
    fig, ax = plt.subplots(ncols=1, nrows=1)
    ax.scatter(frame.pickup_longitude.values[:100000], frame.pickup_latitude.values[:100000], s=10, lw=0,
               c=frame.pickup_cluster.values[:100000], cmap='tab20', alpha=0.2)
    ax.set_xlim(city_long_border)
    ax.set_ylim(city_lat_border)
    ax.set_xlabel('Longitude')
    ax.set_ylabel('Latitude')
    plt.show()

plot_clusters(frame_with_durations_outliers_removed)
```

Time-binning

In [42]:

```
#Refer:https://www.unixtimestamp.com/
# 1420070400 : 2015-01-01 00:00:00
# 1422748800 : 2015-02-01 00:00:00
# 1425168000 : 2015-03-01 00:00:00
# 1427846400 : 2015-04-01 00:00:00
# 1430438400 : 2015-05-01 00:00:00
# 1433116800 : 2015-06-01 00:00:00
```

```

# 1451606400 : 2016-01-01 00:00:00
# 1454284800 : 2016-02-01 00:00:00
# 1456790400 : 2016-03-01 00:00:00
# 1459468800 : 2016-04-01 00:00:00
# 1462060800 : 2016-05-01 00:00:00
# 1464739200 : 2016-06-01 00:00:00

def add_pickup_bins(frame,month,year):
    unix_pickup_times=[i for i in frame['pickup_times'].values]
    unix_times = [[1420070400,1422748800,1425168000,1427846400,14304384
00,1433116800],\
                    [1451606400,1454284800,1456790400,1459468800,146206
0800,1464739200]]

    start_pickup_unix=unix_times[year-2015][month-1]
    # https://www.timeanddate.com/time/zones/est
    # (int((i-start_pickup_unix)/600)+33) : our unix time is in gmt to
    we are converting it to est
    tenminutewise_binned_unix_pickup_times=[(int((i-start_pickup_unix)/
600)+33) for i in unix_pickup_times]
    frame['pickup_bins'] = np.array(tenminutewise_binned_unix_pickup_ti
mes)
    return frame

```

In [43]:

```

# clustering, making pickup bins and grouping by pickup cluster and pic
kup bins
frame_with_durations_outliers_removed['pickup_cluster'] = kmeans.predit
(frame_with_durations_outliers_removed[['pickup_latitude', 'pickup_lo
ngitude']])
jan_2015_frame = add_pickup_bins(frame_with_durations_outliers_removed,
1,2015)
jan_2015_groupby = jan_2015_frame[['pickup_cluster','pickup_bins','trip
_distance']].groupby(['pickup_cluster','pickup_bins']).count()

```

In [44]:

```

# we add two more columns 'pickup_cluster'(to which cluster it belongs
to)
# and 'pickup_bins' (to which 10min intravel the trip belongs to)
jan_2015_frame.head()

```

...

Out[44]:

| | passenger_count | trip_distance | pickup_longitude | pickup_latitude | dropoff_longitude |
|---|-----------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 0 | 1 | 1.59 | -73.993896 | 40.750111 | -73.974785 |
| 1 | 1 | 3.30 | -74.001648 | 40.724243 | -73.994415 |
| 2 | 1 | 1.80 | -73.963341 | 40.802788 | -73.951820 |
| 3 | 1 | 0.50 | -74.009087 | 40.713818 | -74.004326 |
| 4 | 1 | 3.00 | -73.971176 | 40.762428 | -74.004181 |

◀ ▶

In [45]:

```
# hear the trip_distance represents the number of pickups that are happened in that particular 10min intravel
# this data frame has two indices
# primary index: pickup_cluster (cluster number)
# secondary index : pickup_bins (we devide whole months time into 10min intravels 24*31*60/10 =4464bins)
jan_2015_groupby.head()
```

Out[45]:

| | | trip_distance |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|
| pickup_cluster | pickup_bins | |
| 0 | 1 | 138 |
| | 2 | 262 |
| | 3 | 311 |
| | 4 | 326 |
| | 5 | 381 |

In [46]:

```
# upto now we cleaned data and prepared data for the month 2015,
# now do the same operations for months Jan, Feb, March of 2016
# 1. get the dataframe which includes only required columns
```

```

# 2. adding trip times, speed, unix time stamp of pickup_time
# 4. remove the outliers based on trip_times, speed, trip_duration, total_amount
# 5. add pickup_cluster to each data point
# 6. add pickup_bin (index of 10min intravel to which that trip belongs to)
# 7. group by data, based on 'pickup_cluster' and 'pickup_bin'

# Data Preparation for the months of Jan, Feb and March 2016
def datapreparation(month,kmeans,month_no,year_no):

    print ("Return with trip times..")

    frame_with_durations = return_with_trip_times(month)

    print ("Remove outliers..")
    frame_with_durations_outliers_removed = remove_outliers(frame_with_durations)

    print ("Estimating clusters..")
    frame_with_durations_outliers_removed['pickup_cluster'] = kmeans.predict(frame_with_durations_outliers_removed[['pickup_latitude', 'pickup_longitude']])
    #frame_with_durations_outliers_removed_2016['pickup_cluster'] = kmeans.predict(frame_with_durations_outliers_removed_2016[['pickup_latitude', 'pickup_longitude']])

    print ("Final groupbying..")
    final_updated_frame = add_pickup_bins(frame_with_durations_outliers_removed,month_no,year_no)
    final_groupby_frame = final_updated_frame[['pickup_cluster','pickup_bins','trip_distance']].groupby(['pickup_cluster','pickup_bins']).count()

    return final_updated_frame,final_groupby_frame

month_jan_2016 = dd.read_csv('yellow_tripdata_2016-01.csv')
month_feb_2016 = dd.read_csv('yellow_tripdata_2016-02.csv')
month_mar_2016 = dd.read_csv('yellow_tripdata_2016-03.csv')

```

```
jan_2016_frame,jan_2016_groupby = datapreparation(month_jan_2016,kmeans
,1,2016)
feb_2016_frame,feb_2016_groupby = datapreparation(month_feb_2016,kmeans
,2,2016)
mar_2016_frame,mar_2016_groupby = datapreparation(month_mar_2016,kmeans
,3,2016)
```

```
Return with trip times..
Remove outliers..
Number of pickup records = 10906858
Number of outlier coordinates lying outside NY boundaries: 214677
Number of outliers from trip times analysis: 27190
Number of outliers from trip distance analysis: 79742
Number of outliers from speed analysis: 21047
Number of outliers from fare analysis: 4991
Total outliers removed 297784
---
Estimating clusters..
Final groupbying..
Return with trip times..
Remove outliers..
Number of pickup records = 11382049
Number of outlier coordinates lying outside NY boundaries: 223161
Number of outliers from trip times analysis: 27670
Number of outliers from trip distance analysis: 81902
Number of outliers from speed analysis: 22437
Number of outliers from fare analysis: 5476
Total outliers removed 308177
---
Estimating clusters..
Final groupbying..
Return with trip times..
Remove outliers..
Number of pickup records = 12210952
Number of outlier coordinates lying outside NY boundaries: 232444
Number of outliers from trip times analysis: 30868
Number of outliers from trip distance analysis: 87318
Number of outliers from speed analysis: 23889
Number of outliers from fare analysis: 5859
```

```
Total outliers removed 324635
---
Estimating clusters..
Final groupbying..
```

Smoothing

```
In [49]: # Gets the unique bins where pickup values are present for each each region

# for each cluster region we will collect all the indices of 10min intravels in which the pickups are happened
# we got an observation that there are some pickpbins that doesnt have any pickups
def return_unq_pickup_bins(frame):
    values = []
    for i in range(0,30):
        new = frame[frame['pickup_cluster'] == i]
        list_unq = list(set(new['pickup_bins']))
        list_unq.sort()
        values.append(list_unq)
    return values
```

```
In [50]: # for every month we get all indices of 10min intravels in which atleast one pickup got happened

#jan
jan_2015_unique = return_unq_pickup_bins(jan_2015_frame)
jan_2016_unique = return_unq_pickup_bins(jan_2016_frame)

#feb
feb_2016_unique = return_unq_pickup_bins(feb_2016_frame)

#march
mar_2016_unique = return_unq_pickup_bins(mar_2016_frame)
```

```
In [51]: # for each cluster number of 10min intavels with 0 pickups
for i in range(30):
    print("for the ",i,"th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero p
ickups: ",4464 - len(set(jan_2015_unique[i])))
    print('-'*60)
```

```
for the 0 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 26
-----
for the 1 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 30
-----
for the 2 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 150
-----
for the 3 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 35
-----
for the 4 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 170
-----
for the 5 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 40
-----
for the 6 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 320
-----
for the 7 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 35
-----
for the 8 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 39
-----
for the 9 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 46
-----
for the 10 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 98
-----
for the 11 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 32
-----
for the 12 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 37
-----
for the 13 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 326
-----
for the 14 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 35
-----
for the 15 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 29
-----
for the 16 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 25
-----
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
```

```
for the 17 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 40
-----
for the 18 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 30
-----
for the 19 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 35
-----
for the 20 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 40
-----
for the 21 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 38
-----
for the 22 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 34
-----
for the 23 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 49
-----
for the 24 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 49
-----
for the 25 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 27
-----
for the 26 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 26
-----
for the 27 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 720
-----
for the 28 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 34
-----
for the 29 th cluster number of 10min intavels with zero pickups: 29
-----
```

there are two ways to fill up these values

- Fill the missing value with 0's
- Fill the missing values with the avg values
 - Case 1:(values missing at the start)
Ex1: __x =>ceil(x/4), ceil(x/4), ceil(x/4), ceil(x/4)
Ex2: __x => ceil(x/3), ceil(x/3), ceil(x/3)
 - Case 2:(values missing in middle)
Ex1: x __y => ceil((x+y)/4), ceil((x+y)/4), ceil((x+y)/4), ceil((x+y)/4)
Ex2: x __y => ceil((x+y)/5), ceil((x+y)/5), ceil((x+y)/5), ceil((x+y)/5),
ceil((x+y)/5)

- Case 3:(values missing at the end)
Ex1: x __ __ => ceil(x/4), ceil(x/4), ceil(x/4), ceil(x/4)
Ex2: x _ => ceil(x/2), ceil(x/2)

```
In [116]: # Fills a value of zero for every bin where no pickup data is present
# the count_values: number pickps that are happened in each region for
# each 10min intravel
# there wont be any value if there are no pickups.
# values: number of unique bins

# for every 10min intravel(pickup_bin) we will check it is there in our
# unique bin,
# if it is there we will add the count_values[index] to smoothed data
# if not we add 0 to the smoothed data
# we finally return smoothed data
def fill_missing(count_values,values):
    smoothed_regions=[]
    ind=0
    for r in range(0,30):
        smoothed_bins=[]
        for i in range(4464):
            if i in values[r]:
                smoothed_bins.append(count_values[ind])
                ind+=1
            else:
                smoothed_bins.append(0)
        smoothed_regions.extend(smoothed_bins)
    return smoothed_regions
```

```
In [117]: # Fills a value of zero for every bin where no pickup data is present
# the count_values: number pickps that are happened in each region for
# each 10min intravel
# there wont be any value if there are no pickups.
# values: number of unique bins

# for every 10min intravel(pickup_bin) we will check it is there in our
# unique bin,
# if it is there we will add the count_values[index] to smoothed data
```

```

# if not we add smoothed data (which is calculated based on the methods
# that are discussed in the above markdown cell)
# we finally return smoothed data
def smoothing(count_values,values):
    smoothed_regions=[] # stores list of final smoothed values of each
    region
    ind=0
    repeat=0
    smoothed_value=0
    for r in range(0,30):
        smoothed_bins=[] #stores the final smoothed values
        repeat=0
        for i in range(4464):
            if repeat!=0: # prevents iteration for a value which is already visited/resolved
                repeat-=1
                continue
            if i in values[r]: #checks if the pickup-bin exists
                smoothed_bins.append(count_values[ind]) # appends the value of the pickup bin if it exists
            else:
                if i!=0:
                    right_hand_limit=0
                    for j in range(i,4464):
                        if j not in values[r]: #searches for the left-limit or the pickup-bin value which has a pickup value
                            continue
                        else:
                            right_hand_limit=j
                            break
                    if right_hand_limit==0:
                        #Case 1: When we have the last/last few values are found to be missing,hence we have no right-limit here
                        smoothed_value=count_values[ind-1]*1.0/((4463-i)+2)*1.0
                        for j in range(i,4464):
                            smoothed_bins.append(math.ceil(smoothed_val
ue)))

```

```

smoothed_bins[i-1] = math.ceil(smoothed_value)
repeat=(4463-i)
ind-=1
else:
    #Case 2: When we have the missing values between two known values
    smoothed_value=(count_values[ind-1]+count_values[ind])*1.0/((right_hand_limit-i)+2)*1.0
    for j in range(i,right_hand_limit+1):
        smoothed_bins.append(math.ceil(smoothed_value))
    smoothed_bins[i-1] = math.ceil(smoothed_value)
    repeat=(right_hand_limit-i)
else:
    #Case 3: When we have the first/first few values are found to be missing,hence we have no left-limit here
    right_hand_limit=0
    for j in range(i,4464):
        if j not in values[r]:
            continue
        else:
            right_hand_limit=j
            break
    smoothed_value=count_values[ind]*1.0/((right_hand_limit-i)+1)*1.0
    for j in range(i,right_hand_limit+1):
        smoothed_bins.append(math.ceil(smoothed_value))
    repeat=(right_hand_limit-i)
    ind+=1
smoothed_regions.extend(smoothed_bins)
return smoothed_regions

```


In [118]: #Filling Missing values of Jan-2015 with 0
here in jan_2015_groupby datafram the trip_distance represents the number of pickups that are happened
jan_2015_fill = fill_missing(jan_2015_groupby['trip_distance'].values,jan_2015_unique)

```
#Smoothing Missing values of Jan-2015
jan_2015_smooth = smoothing(jan_2015_groupby['trip_distance'].values,ja
n_2015_unique)
```

```
In [119]: # number of 10min indices for jan 2015= 24*31*60/10 = 4464
# number of 10min indices for jan 2016 = 24*31*60/10 = 4464
# number of 10min indices for feb 2016 = 24*29*60/10 = 4176
# number of 10min indices for march 2016 = 24*30*60/10 = 4320
# for each cluster we will have 4464 values, therefore 30*4464 = 133920
# (length of the jan_2015_fill)
print("number of 10min intravels among all the clusters ",len(jan_2015_
fill))
```

```
number of 10min intravels among all the clusters 133920
```

```
In [120]: # Smoothing vs Filling
# sample plot that shows two variations of filling missing values
# we have taken the number of pickups for cluster region 2
plt.figure(figsize=(10,5))
plt.plot(jan_2015_fill[4464:8920], label="zero filled values")
plt.plot(jan_2015_smooth[4464:8920], label="filled with avg values")
plt.legend()
plt.show()
```



In [121]: # why we choose, these methods and which method is used for which data?

```
# Ans: consider we have data of some month in 2015 jan 1st, 10 _ _ _ 2
# i.e there are 10 pickups that are happened in 1st
# 10st 10min intravel, 0 pickups happened in 2nd 10mins intravel, 0 pic
kups happened in 3rd 10min intravel
# and 20 pickups happened in 4th 10min intravel.
# in fill_missing method we replace these values like 10, 0, 0, 20
# where as in smoothing method we replace these values as 6,6,6,6,6, if
# you can check the number of pickups
# that are happened in the first 40min are same in both cases, but if y
ou can observe that we looking at the future values
# wheen you are using smoothing we are looking at the future number of
# pickups which might cause a data leakage.

# so we use smoothing for jan 2015th data since it acts as our training
# data
# and we use simple fill_misssing method for 2016th data.
```

In [122]: # Jan-2015 data is smoothed, Jan,Feb & March 2016 data missing values a

```

    re filled with zero
jan_2015_smooth = smoothing(jan_2015_groupby['trip_distance'].values,ja
n_2015_unique)
jan_2016_smooth = fill_missing(jan_2016_groupby['trip_distance'].values
,jan_2016_unique)
feb_2016_smooth = fill_missing(feb_2016_groupby['trip_distance'].values
,feb_2016_unique)
mar_2016_smooth = fill_missing(mar_2016_groupby['trip_distance'].values
,mar_2016_unique)

# Making list of all the values of pickup data in every bin for a perio
d of 3 months and storing them region-wise
regions_cum = []

# a =[1,2,3]
# b = [2,3,4]
# a+b = [1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 4]

# number of 10min indices for jan 2015= 24*31*60/10 = 4464
# number of 10min indices for jan 2016 = 24*31*60/10 = 4464
# number of 10min indices for feb 2016 = 24*29*60/10 = 4176
# number of 10min indices for march 2016 = 24*31*60/10 = 4464
# regions_cum: it will contain 30 lists, each list will contain 4464+41
76+4464 values which represents the number of pickups
# that are happened for three months in 2016 data


for i in range(0,30):
    regions_cum.append(jan_2016_smooth[4464*i:4464*(i+1)]+feb_2016_smoo
th[4176*i:4176*(i+1)]+mar_2016_smooth[4464*i:4464*(i+1)])



# print(len(regions_cum))
# 40
# print(len(regions_cum[0]))
# 13104




```




Time series and Fourier Transforms




```
In [123]: def uniqueish_color():
    """There're better ways to generate unique colors, but this isn't a
wful."""
    return plt.cm.gist_ncar(np.random.random())
first_x = list(range(0,4464))
second_x = list(range(4464,8640))
third_x = list(range(8640,13104))
for i in range(30):
    plt.figure(figsize=(10,4))
    plt.plot(first_x,regions_cum[i][:4464], color=uniqueish_color(), la
bel='2016 Jan month data')
    plt.plot(second_x,regions_cum[i][4464:8640], color=uniqueish_color()
(), label='2016 feb month data')
    plt.plot(third_x,regions_cum[i][8640:], color=uniqueish_color(), la
bel='2016 march month data')
    plt.legend()
    plt.show()
```






















































```
In [124]: # getting peaks: https://blog.ytotech.com/2015/11/01/findpeaks-in-python/
# read more about fft function : https://docs.scipy.org/doc/numpy/reference/generated/numpy.fft.fft.html
Y      = np.fft.fft(np.array(jan_2016_smooth)[0:4460])
# read more about the fftfreq: https://docs.scipy.org/doc/numpy/reference/generated/numpy.fft.fftfreq.html
freq = np.fft.fftfreq(4460, 1)
n = len(freq)
plt.figure()
plt.plot( freq[:int(n/2)], np.abs(Y)[:int(n/2)] )
plt.xlabel("Frequency")
plt.ylabel("Amplitude")
plt.show()
```



```
In [125]: freq.shape
```

```
Out[125]: (4460,)
```

```
In [126]: #Preparing the Dataframe only with x(i) values as jan-2015 data and y(i) values as jan-2016
ratios_jan = pd.DataFrame()
ratios_jan['Given']=jan_2015_smooth
ratios_jan['Prediction']=jan_2016_smooth
ratios_jan['Ratios']=ratios_jan['Prediction']*1.0/ratios_jan['Given']*1.0
```

Modelling: Baseline Models

Now we get into modelling in order to forecast the pickup densities for the months of Jan, Feb and March of 2016 for which we are using multiple models with two variations

1. Using Ratios of the 2016 data to the 2015 data i.e $R_t = P_t^{2016}/P_t^{2015}$
2. Using Previous known values of the 2016 data itself to predict the future values

Simple Moving Averages

The First Model used is the Moving Averages Model which uses the previous n values in order to predict the next value

Using Ratio Values - $R_t = (R_{t-1} + R_{t-2} + R_{t-3} \dots R_{t-n})/n$

```
In [127]: def MA_R_Predictions(ratios,month):
    predicted_ratio=(ratios['Ratios'].values)[0]
    error=[]
    predicted_values=[]
    window_size=3
    predicted_ratio_values=[]
    for i in range(0,4464*30):
        if i%4464==0:
            predicted_ratio_values.append(0)
            predicted_values.append(0)
            error.append(0)
            continue
        predicted_ratio_values.append(predicted_ratio)
        predicted_values.append(int(((ratios['Given'].values)[i])*predicted_ratio))
        error.append(abs((math.pow(int(((ratios['Given'].values)[i])*predicted_ratio)-(ratios['Prediction'].values)[i],1)))))
        if i+1>=window_size:
            predicted_ratio=sum((ratios['Ratios'].values)[(i+1)-window_
```

```

size:(i+1])/window_size
    else:
        predicted_ratio=sum((ratios['Ratios'].values)[0:(i+1)])/(i+1)

ratios['MA_R_Predicted'] = predicted_values
ratios['MA_R_Error'] = error
mape_err = (sum(error)/len(error))/(sum(ratios['Prediction'].values)/len(ratios['Prediction'].values))
mse_err = sum([e**2 for e in error])/len(error)
return ratios,mape_err,mse_err

```

For the above the Hyperparameter is the window-size (n) which is tuned manually and it is found that the window-size of 3 is optimal for getting the best results using Moving Averages using previous Ratio values therefore we get $R_t = (R_{t-1} + R_{t-2} + R_{t-3})/3$

Next we use the Moving averages of the 2016 values itself to predict the future value using $P_t = (P_{t-1} + P_{t-2} + P_{t-3} \dots P_{t-n})/n$

```

In [128]: def MA_P_Predictions(ratios,month):
    predicted_value=(ratios['Prediction'].values)[0]
    error=[]
    predicted_values=[]
    window_size=1
    predicted_ratio_values=[]
    for i in range(0,4464*30):
        predicted_values.append(predicted_value)
        error.append(abs((math.pow(predicted_value-(ratios['Prediction'].values)[i],1))))
        if i+1>=window_size:
            predicted_value=int(sum((ratios['Prediction'].values)[(i+1)-window_size:(i+1)])/window_size)
        else:
            predicted_value=int(sum((ratios['Prediction'].values)[0:(i+1)])/(i+1))

```

```

        ratios['MA_P_Predicted'] = predicted_values
        ratios['MA_P_Error'] = error
        mape_err = (sum(error)/len(error))/(sum(ratios['Prediction'].values)
        /len(ratios['Prediction'].values))
        mse_err = sum([e**2 for e in error])/len(error)
    return ratios,mape_err,mse_err

```

For the above the Hyperparameter is the window-size (n) which is tuned manually and it is found that the window-size of 1 is optimal for getting the best results using Moving Averages using previous 2016 values therefore we get $P_t = P_{t-1}$

Weighted Moving Averages

The Moving Avergaes Model used gave equal importance to all the values in the window used, but we know intuitively that the future is more likely to be similar to the latest values and less similar to the older values. Weighted Averages converts this analogy into a mathematical relationship giving the highest weight while computing the averages to the latest previous value and decreasing weights to the subsequent older ones

Weighted Moving Averages using Ratio Values -

$$R_t = (N * R_{t-1} + (N-1) * R_{t-2} + (N-2) * R_{t-3} \dots 1 * R_{t-n}) / (N * (N+1)/2)$$

In [129]:

```

def WA_R_Predictions(ratios,month):
    predicted_ratio=(ratios['Ratios'].values)[0]
    alpha=0.5
    error=[]
    predicted_values=[]
    window_size=5
    predicted_ratio_values=[]
    for i in range(0,4464*30):
        if i%4464==0:
            predicted_ratio_values.append(0)
            predicted_values.append(0)
            error.append(0)
            continue
        else:
            predicted_ratio_values.append(predicted_ratio)
            predicted_values.append(predicted_ratio)
            error.append((predicted_ratio - ratios['Ratio'][i]) ** 2)
            predicted_ratio = alpha * predicted_ratio + (1 - alpha) * ratios['Ratio'][i]

```

```

        predicted_ratio_values.append(predicted_ratio)
        predicted_values.append(int(((ratios['Given'].values)[i])*predicted_ratio))
        error.append(abs((math.pow(int(((ratios['Given'].values)[i])*predicted_ratio)-(ratios['Prediction'].values)[i],1))))
        if i+1>=window_size:
            sum_values=0
            sum_of_coeff=0
            for j in range(window_size,0,-1):
                sum_values += j*(ratios['Ratios'].values)[i-window_size+j]
                sum_of_coeff+=j
            predicted_ratio=sum_values/sum_of_coeff
        else:
            sum_values=0
            sum_of_coeff=0
            for j in range(i+1,0,-1):
                sum_values += j*(ratios['Ratios'].values)[j-1]
                sum_of_coeff+=j
            predicted_ratio=sum_values/sum_of_coeff

        ratios['WA_R_Predicted'] = predicted_values
        ratios['WA_R_Error'] = error
        mape_err = (sum(error)/len(error))/(sum(ratios['Prediction'].values)/len(ratios['Prediction'].values))
        mse_err = sum([e**2 for e in error])/len(error)
    return ratios,mape_err,mse_err

```

For the above the Hyperparameter is the window-size (n) which is tuned manually and it is found that the window-size of 5 is optimal for getting the best results using Weighted Moving Averages using previous Ratio values therefore we get

$$R_t = (5 * R_{t-1} + 4 * R_{t-2} + 3 * R_{t-3} + 2 * R_{t-4} + R_{t-5})/15$$

Weighted Moving Averages using Previous 2016 Values -

$$P_t = (N * P_{t-1} + (N-1) * P_{t-2} + (N-2) * P_{t-3} \dots 1 * P_{t-n})/(N * (N+1)/2)$$

In [130]: `def WA_P_Predictions(ratios,month):`

```

predicted_value=(ratios['Prediction'].values)[0]
error=[]
predicted_values=[]
window_size=2
for i in range(0,4464*30):
    predicted_values.append(predicted_value)
    error.append(abs((math.pow(predicted_value-(ratios['Prediction']
].values)[i],1))))
    if i+1>=window_size:
        sum_values=0
        sum_of_coeff=0
        for j in range(window_size,0,-1):
            sum_values += j*(ratios['Prediction'].values)[i-window_
size+j]
            sum_of_coeff+=j
        predicted_value=int(sum_values/sum_of_coeff)

    else:
        sum_values=0
        sum_of_coeff=0
        for j in range(i+1,0,-1):
            sum_values += j*(ratios['Prediction'].values)[j-1]
            sum_of_coeff+=j
        predicted_value=int(sum_values/sum_of_coeff)

ratios['WA_P_Predicted'] = predicted_values
ratios['WA_P_Error'] = error
mape_err = (sum(error)/len(error))/(sum(ratios['Prediction'].values
)/len(ratios['Prediction'].values))
mse_err = sum([e**2 for e in error])/len(error)
return ratios,mape_err,mse_err

```

For the above the Hyperparameter is the window-size (n) which is tuned manually and it is found that the window-size of 2 is optimal for getting the best results using Weighted Moving Averages using previous 2016 values therefore we get $P_t = (2 * P_{t-1} + P_{t-2})/3$

Exponential Weighted Moving Averages

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moving_average#Exponential_moving_average Through weighted averaged we have satisfied the analogy of giving higher weights to the latest value and decreasing weights to the subsequent ones but we still do not know which is the correct weighting scheme as there are infinitely many possibilities in which we can assign weights in a non-increasing order and tune the the hyperparameter window-size. To simplify this process we use Exponential Moving Averages which is a more logical way towards assigning weights and at the same time also using an optimal window-size.

In exponential moving averages we use a single hyperparameter alpha (α) which is a value between 0 & 1 and based on the value of the hyperparameter alpha the weights and the window sizes are configured.

For eg. If $\alpha = 0.9$ then the number of days on which the value of the current iteration is based is~ $1/(1 - \alpha) = 10$ i.e. we consider values 10 days prior before we predict the value for the current iteration. Also the weights are assigned using $2/(N + 1) = 0.18$, where N = number of prior values being considered, hence from this it is implied that the first or latest value is assigned a weight of 0.18 which keeps exponentially decreasing for the subsequent values.

$$R_t' = \alpha * R_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha) * R_{t-1}'$$

```
In [131]: def EA_R1_Predictions(ratios,month):
    predicted_ratio=(ratios['Ratios'].values)[0]
    alpha=0.6
    error=[]
    predicted_values=[]
    predicted_ratio_values=[]
    for i in range(0,4464*30):
        if i%4464==0:
            predicted_ratio_values.append(0)
            predicted_values.append(0)
            error.append(0)
            continue
        predicted_ratio_values.append(predicted_ratio)
        predicted_values.append(int(((ratios['Given'].values)[i])*predicted_ratio))
        error.append(abs((math.pow(int(((ratios['Given'].values)[i])*predi
```

```

        predicted_ratio = (alpha*predicted_ratio) + (1-alpha)*((ratios['Ratios'].values)[i]))
    ratios['EA_R1_Predicted'] = predicted_values
    ratios['EA_R1_Error'] = error
    mape_err = (sum(error)/len(error))/(sum(ratios['Prediction'].values)/len(ratios['Prediction'].values))
    mse_err = sum([e**2 for e in error])/len(error)
    return ratios,mape_err,mse_err

```

$$P_t' = \alpha * P_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha) * P_{t-1}'$$

In [132]:

```

def EA_P1_Predictions(ratios,month):
    predicted_value= (ratios['Prediction'].values)[0]
    alpha=0.3
    error=[]
    predicted_values=[]
    for i in range(0,4464*30):
        if i%4464==0:
            predicted_values.append(0)
            error.append(0)
            continue
        predicted_values.append(predicted_value)
        error.append(abs((math.pow(predicted_value-(ratios['Prediction'].values)[i],1))))
        predicted_value =int((alpha*predicted_value) + (1-alpha)*((ratios['Prediction'].values)[i]))

    ratios['EA_P1_Predicted'] = predicted_values
    ratios['EA_P1_Error'] = error
    mape_err = (sum(error)/len(error))/(sum(ratios['Prediction'].values)/len(ratios['Prediction'].values))
    mse_err = sum([e**2 for e in error])/len(error)
    return ratios,mape_err,mse_err

```

In [133]:

```
mean_err=[0]*10
```

```

median_err=[0]*10
ratios_jan,mean_err[0],median_err[0]=MA_R_Predictions(ratios_jan,'jan')
ratios_jan,mean_err[1],median_err[1]=MA_P_Predictions(ratios_jan,'jan')
ratios_jan,mean_err[2],median_err[2]=WA_R_Predictions(ratios_jan,'jan')
ratios_jan,mean_err[3],median_err[3]=WA_P_Predictions(ratios_jan,'jan')
ratios_jan,mean_err[4],median_err[4]=EA_R1_Predictions(ratios_jan,'jan')
)
ratios_jan,mean_err[5],median_err[5]=EA_P1_Predictions(ratios_jan,'jan'
)

```

Comparison between baseline models

We have chosen our error metric for comparison between models as **MAPE (Mean Absolute Percentage Error)** so that we can know that on an average how good is our model with predictions and **MSE (Mean Squared Error)** is also used so that we have a clearer understanding as to how well our forecasting model performs with outliers so that we make sure that there is not much of a error margin between our prediction and the actual value

```

In [134]: print ("Error Metric Matrix (Forecasting Methods) - MAPE & MSE")
print ("-----")
print ("Moving Averages (Ratios) - " , mean_err[0], "MAPE: ", median_err[0])
print ("Moving Averages (2016 Values) - " , mean_err[1], "MAPE: ", median_err[1])
print ("-----")
print ("Weighted Moving Averages (Ratios) - " , mean_err[2], "MAPE: ", median_err[2])
print ("Weighted Moving Averages (2016 Values) - " , mean_err[3], "MAPE: ", median_err[3])
print ("-----")
print ("Exponential Moving Averages (Ratios) - " , mean_err[4], "MAPE: ", median_err[4])
print ("Exponential Moving Averages (2016 Values) - " , mean_err[5], "MAPE: ", median_err[5])

```


| Error Metric Matrix (Forecasting Methods) - MAPE & MSE | | |
|--|--|--|
| Moving Averages (Ratios) - 972027342 | | MAPE: 0.1628685 MSE: 561.0487977897252 |
| Moving Averages (2016 Values) - 5674574424 | | MAPE: 0.1265173 MSE: 241.14901433691756 |
| Weighted Moving Averages (Ratios) - 761243253 | | MAPE: 0.1599661 MSE: 548.5285170250896 |
| Weighted Moving Averages (2016 Values) - 6157001072 | | MAPE: 0.1212108 MSE: 229.33734318996414 |
| Exponential Moving Averages (Ratios) - 652334 | | MAPE: 0.1593403910 MSE: 546.5861260454002 |
| Exponential Moving Averages (2016 Values) - 233378 | | MAPE: 0.1209639974 MSE: 226.0377688172043 |

```
In [44]: x= ['Moving Averages','Weighted Moving Averages','Exponential Moving Averages']
Y= [mean_err[0],mean_err[2],mean_err[4]]
Z=[mean_err[1],mean_err[3],mean_err[5]]
df1 = pd.DataFrame(dict(graph=x, n=Y, m=Z))

ind = np.arange(len(df1))
width = 0.4

fig, ax = plt.subplots()
ax.barh(ind, df1.n, width, label='Train MAPE')
ax.barh(ind + width, df1.m, width, label='Test MAPE')
fig.set_figwidth(8)
plt.gcf().subplots_adjust(left = 0.40)
plt.title("Mean Absolute Percentage Error for Different forecasting models")
ax.set(yticks=ind + width, yticklabels=df1.graph, ylim=[2*width - 1, len(df1)])
```

```
ax.legend()  
plt.show()
```



Please Note:- The above comparisons are made using Jan 2015 and Jan 2016 only

From the above matrix it is inferred that the best forecasting model for our prediction would be:-

$P_t' = \alpha * P_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha) * P_{t-1}'$ i.e Exponential Moving Averages using 2016 Values

Regression Models

Train-Test Split

Before we start predictions using the tree based regression models we take 3 months of 2016 pickup data and split it such that for every region we have 70% data in train and 30% in test, ordered date-wise for every region

```
In [135]: # Preparing data to be split into train and test, The below prepares data in cumulative form which will be later split into test and train  
# number of 10min indices for jan 2015= 24*31*60/10 = 4464  
# number of 10min indices for jan 2016 = 24*31*60/10 = 4464  
# number of 10min indices for feb 2016 = 24*29*60/10 = 4176  
# number of 10min indices for march 2016 = 24*31*60/10 = 4464  
# regions_cum: it will contain 30 lists, each list will contain 4464+4176+4464 values which represents the number of pickups  
# that are happened for three months in 2016 data  
  
# print(len(regions_cum))  
# 30  
# print(len(regions_cum[0]))  
# 12960  
  
# we take number of pickups that are happened in last 5 10min intravels  
number_of_time_stamps = 5  
  
# output varable  
# it is list of lists  
# it will contain number of pickups 13099 for each cluster  
output = []  
  
# tsne_lat will contain 13104-5=13099 times lattitude of cluster center  
# for every cluster  
# Ex: [[cent_lat 13099times],[cent_lat 13099times], [cent_lat 13099times].... 30 lists]  
# it is list of lists  
tsne_lat = []  
  
# tsne_lon will contain 13104-5=13099 times logitude of cluster center  
# for every cluster
```

```

# Ex: [[cent_long 13099times], [cent_long 13099times], [cent_long 13099times].... 30 lists]
# it is list of lists
tsne_lon = []

# we will code each day
# sunday = 0, monday=1, tue = 2, wed=3, thur=4, fri=5,sat=6
# for every cluster we will be adding 13099 values, each value represent to which day of the week that pickup bin belongs to
# it is list of lists
tsne_weekday = []

# its an numpy array, of shape (523960, 5)
# each row corresponds to an entry in out data
# for the first row we will have [f0,f1,f2,f3,f4] fi=number of pickups happened in i+1th 10min intravel(bin)
# the second row will have [f1,f2,f3,f4,f5]
# the third row will have [f2,f3,f4,f5,f6]
# and so on...
tsne_feature = []

tsne_feature = [0]*number_of_time_stamps
for i in range(0,30):
    tsne_lat.append([kmeans.cluster_centers_[i][0]]*13099)
    tsne_lon.append([kmeans.cluster_centers_[i][1]]*13099)
    # jan 1st 2016 is thursday, so we start our day from 4: "(int(k/144))%7+4"
    # our prediction start from 5th 10min intravel since we need to have number of pickups that are happened in last 5 pickup bins
    tsne_weekday.append([int(((int(k/144))%7+4)%7) for k in range(5,4464+4176+4464)])
    # regions_cum is a list of lists [[x1,x2,x3..x13104], [x1,x2,x3..x13104], [x1,x2,x3..x13104], [x1,x2,x3..x13104], [x1,x2,x3..x13104], .. 30 lsits]
    tsne_feature = np.vstack((tsne_feature, [regions_cum[i][r:r+number_of_time_stamps] for r in range(0,len(regions_cum[i])-number_of_time_stamps)]))

```

```
        output.append(regions_cum[i][5:])
tsne_feature = tsne_feature[1:]
```

```
In [136]: len(tsne_lat[0])*len(tsne_lat) == tsne_feature.shape[0] == len(tsne_wee
kday)*len(tsne_weekday[0]) == 30*13099 == len(output)*len(output[0])
```

```
Out[136]: True
```

```
In [137]: # Getting the predictions of exponential moving averages to be used as
          a feature in cumulative form
```

```
# upto now we computed 8 features for every data point that starts from
# 50th min of the day
# 1. cluster center latitude
# 2. cluster center longitude
# 3. day of the week
# 4. f_t_1: number of pickups that are happened previous t-1th 10min in
# travel
# 5. f_t_2: number of pickups that are happened previous t-2th 10min in
# travel
# 6. f_t_3: number of pickups that are happened previous t-3th 10min in
# travel
# 7. f_t_4: number of pickups that are happened previous t-4th 10min in
# travel
# 8. f_t_5: number of pickups that are happened previous t-5th 10min in
# travel

# from the baseline models we said the exponential weighted moving avarage
# gives us the best error
# we will try to add the same exponential weighted moving avarage at t
# as a feature to our data
# exponential weighted moving avarage => p'(t) = alpha*p'(t-1) + (1-alpha)*P(t-1)
alpha=0.3

# it is a temporary array that store exponential weighted moving avarage
# for each 10min intravel,
# for each cluster it will get reset
# for every cluster it contains 13104 values
```

```
predicted_values=[]

# it is similar like tsne_lat
# it is list of lists
# predict_list is a list of lists [[x5,x6,x7..x13104], [x5,x6,x7..x13104], [x5,x6,x7..x13104], [x5,x6,x7..x13104], .. 40 lists]
predict_list = []
tsne_flat_exp_avg = []
for r in range(0,30):
    for i in range(0,13104):
        if i==0:
            predicted_value= regions_cum[r][0]
            predicted_values.append(0)
            continue
        predicted_values.append(predicted_value)
        predicted_value =int((alpha*predicted_value) + (1-alpha)*(regions_cum[r][i]))
        predict_list.append(predicted_values[5:])
        predicted_values=[]
```

In [138]:

```
# train, test split : 70% 30% split
# Before we start predictions using the tree based regression models we
# take 3 months of 2016 pickup data
# and split it such that for every region we have 70% data in train and
# 30% in test,
# ordered date-wise for every region
print("size of train data :", int(13099*0.7))
print("size of test data :", int(13099*0.3))
```

```
size of train data : 9169
size of test data : 3929
```

In [139]:

```
# extracting first 9169 timestamp values i.e 70% of 13099 (total timest
amps) for our training data
train_features = [tsne_feature[i*13099:(13099*i+9169)] for i in range(
0,30)]
# temp = [0]*(12955 - 9068)
```

```
test_features = [tsne_feature[(13099*(i))+9169:13099*(i+1)] for i in range(0,30)]
```

```
In [140]: print("Number of data clusters",len(train_features), "Number of data points in trian data", len(train_features[0]), "Each data point contains", len(train_features[0][0]),"features")
print("Number of data clusters",len(train_features), "Number of data points in test data", len(test_features[0]), "Each data point contains", len(test_features[0][0]),"features")
```

```
Number of data clusters 30 Number of data points in trian data 9169 Each data point contains 5 features
Number of data clusters 30 Number of data points in test data 3930 Each data point contains 5 features
```

```
In [141]: # extracting first 9169 timestamp values i.e 70% of 13099 (total timestamps) for our training data
tsne_train_flat_lat = [i[:9169] for i in tsne_lat]
tsne_train_flat_lon = [i[:9169] for i in tsne_lon]
tsne_train_flat_weekday = [i[:9169] for i in tsne_weekday]
tsne_train_flat_output = [i[:9169] for i in output]
tsne_train_flat_exp_avg = [i[:9169] for i in predict_list]
```

```
In [142]: # extracting the rest of the timestamp values i.e 30% of 12956 (total timestamps) for our test data
tsne_test_flat_lat = [i[9169:] for i in tsne_lat]
tsne_test_flat_lon = [i[9169:] for i in tsne_lon]
tsne_test_flat_weekday = [i[9169:] for i in tsne_weekday]
tsne_test_flat_output = [i[9169:] for i in output]
tsne_test_flat_exp_avg = [i[9169:] for i in predict_list]
```

```
In [143]: # the above contains values in the form of list of lists (i.e. list of values of each region), here we make all of them in one list
train_new_features = []
for i in range(0,30):
    train_new_features.extend(train_features[i])
test_new_features = []
```

```
for i in range(0,30):
    test_new_features.extend(test_features[i])
```

```
In [144]: # converting lists of lists into sinle list i.e flatten
# a = [[1,2,3,4],[4,6,7,8]]
# print(sum(a,[]))
# [1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 6, 7, 8]

tsne_train_lat = sum(tsne_train_flat_lat, [])
tsne_train_lon = sum(tsne_train_flat_lon, [])
tsne_train_weekday = sum(tsne_train_flat_weekday, [])
tsne_train_output = sum(tsne_train_flat_output, [])
tsne_train_exp_avg = sum(tsne_train_flat_exp_avg,[])
```

```
In [145]: # converting lists of lists into sinle list i.e flatten
# a = [[1,2,3,4],[4,6,7,8]]
# print(sum(a,[]))
# [1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 6, 7, 8]

tsne_test_lat = sum(tsne_test_flat_lat, [])
tsne_test_lon = sum(tsne_test_flat_lon, [])
tsne_test_weekday = sum(tsne_test_flat_weekday, [])
tsne_test_output = sum(tsne_test_flat_output, [])
tsne_test_exp_avg = sum(tsne_test_flat_exp_avg,[])
```

```
In [146]: # Preparing the data frame for our train data
columns = ['ft_5','ft_4','ft_3','ft_2','ft_1']
df_train = pd.DataFrame(data=train_new_features, columns=columns)
df_train['lat'] = tsne_train_lat
df_train['lon'] = tsne_train_lon
df_train['weekday'] = tsne_train_weekday
df_train['exp_avg'] = tsne_train_exp_avg

print(df_train.shape)

(275070, 9)
```

```
In [147]: # Preparing the data frame for our train data
```

```
df_test = pd.DataFrame(data=test_new_features, columns=columns)
df_test['lat'] = tsne_test_lat
df_test['lon'] = tsne_test_lon
df_test['weekday'] = tsne_test_weekday
df_test['exp_avg'] = tsne_test_exp_avg
print(df_test.shape)
```

(117900, 9)

In [148]: df_test.head()

Out[148]:

| | ft_5 | ft_4 | ft_3 | ft_2 | ft_1 | lat | lon | weekday | exp_avg |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|------------|---------|---------|
| 0 | 240 | 213 | 243 | 222 | 234 | 40.777809 | -73.954054 | 4 | 231 |
| 1 | 213 | 243 | 222 | 234 | 291 | 40.777809 | -73.954054 | 4 | 273 |
| 2 | 243 | 222 | 234 | 291 | 256 | 40.777809 | -73.954054 | 4 | 261 |
| 3 | 222 | 234 | 291 | 256 | 266 | 40.777809 | -73.954054 | 4 | 264 |
| 4 | 234 | 291 | 256 | 266 | 268 | 40.777809 | -73.954054 | 4 | 266 |

Using Linear Regression

In [149]:

```
# find more about LinearRegression function here http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.linear_model.LinearRegression.html
# -----
# default parameters
# sklearn.linear_model.LinearRegression(fit_intercept=True, normalize=False, copy_X=True, n_jobs=1)

# some of methods of LinearRegression()
# fit(X, y[, sample_weight]) Fit linear model.
# get_params([deep]) Get parameters for this estimator.
# predict(X) Predict using the linear model
# score(X, y[, sample_weight]) Returns the coefficient of determination R^2 of the prediction.
```

```
# set_params(**params) Set the parameters of this estimator.  
# -----  
# video link: https://www.appliedaicourse.com/course/applied-ai-course-  
online/lessons/geometric-intuition-1-2-copy-8/  
# -----  
  
from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV  
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression  
lr_model = LinearRegression(n_jobs=-1)  
parameters = {'fit_intercept':[True,False], 'normalize':[True,False],  
'copy_X':[True, False]}  
grid = GridSearchCV(lr_model, parameters, cv=None)  
grid.fit(df_train, tsne_train_output)  
grid.best_estimator_
```

Out[149]: LinearRegression(copy_X=True, fit_intercept=True, n_jobs=-1, normalize=True)

In [150]: model=LinearRegression(copy_X=True, fit_intercept=True, n_jobs=-1, normalize=True)
model.fit(df_train, tsne_train_output)
y_pred = model.predict(df_test)
lr_test_predictions = [round(value) for value in y_pred]
y_pred = model.predict(df_train)
lr_train_predictions = [round(value) for value in y_pred]

Using Random Forest Regressor

In [151]: # Training a hyper-parameter tuned random forest regressor on our train data
find more about LinearRegression function here http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.ensemble.RandomForestRegressor.html

default paramters
sklearn.ensemble.RandomForestRegressor(n_estimators=10, criterion='mse', max_depth=None, min_samples_split=2,
min_samples_leaf=1, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, max_features='auto')

```
'o', max_leaf_nodes=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0,
# min_impurity_split=None, bootstrap=True, oob_score=False, n_jobs=1, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=False)

# some of methods of RandomForestRegressor()
# apply(X)      Apply trees in the forest to X, return leaf indices.
# decision_path(X)    Return the decision path in the forest
# fit(X, y[, sample_weight])   Build a forest of trees from the training set (X, y).
# get_params([deep])   Get parameters for this estimator.
# predict(X)      Predict regression target for X.
# score(X, y[, sample_weight]) Returns the coefficient of determination R^2 of the prediction.
#
# -----
# video link1: https://www.appliedaicourse.com/course/applied-ai-course-online/lessons/regression-using-decision-trees-2/
# video link2: https://www.appliedaicourse.com/course/applied-ai-course-online/lessons/what-are-ensembles/
#
from sklearn.model_selection import RandomizedSearchCV
param_dist = {"n_estimators": list(range(1,201,20)),
              "min_samples_split": [2,3,4],
              "min_samples_leaf": [1,3,4]}
regr1 = RandomForestRegressor(max_features='sqrt', n_jobs=-1)
rand_regr1 = RandomizedSearchCV(regr1, param_dist)
rand_regr1.fit(df_train, tsne_train_output)
rand_regr1.best_estimator_
```

Out[151]: RandomForestRegressor(bootstrap=True, criterion='mse', max_depth=None,
max_features='sqrt', max_leaf_nodes=None,
min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
min_samples_leaf=4, min_samples_split=4,
min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, n_estimators=181, n_jobs=-1,
oob_score=False, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=False)

In [152]: # Predicting on test data using our trained random forest model
the models regr1 is already hyper parameter tuned

```
# the parameters that we got above are found using grid search
rand_regr=RandomForestRegressor(bootstrap=True, criterion='mse', max_depth=None,
                                max_features='sqrt', max_leaf_nodes=None,
                                min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                                min_samples_leaf=4, min_samples_split=4,
                                min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, n_estimators=181, n_jobs=-1,
                                oob_score=False, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=False)
rand_regr.fit(df_train, tsne_train_output)
y_pred=rand_regr.predict(df_test)
rndf_test_predictions = [round(value) for value in y_pred]
y_pred = rand_regr.predict(df_train)
rndf_train_predictions = [round(value) for value in y_pred]
```

```
In [153]: #feature importances based on analysis using random forest
print (df_train.columns)
print (rand_regr.feature_importances_)

Index(['ft_5', 'ft_4', 'ft_3', 'ft_2', 'ft_1', 'lat', 'lon', 'weekday',
       'exp_avg'],
      dtype='object')
[0.03117777  0.039793   0.13196118  0.18896854  0.26995205  0.00179997
  0.00311864  0.00125659  0.33197227]
```

Using XgBoost Regressor

```
In [154]: x_model = xgb.XGBRegressor(n_jobs=-1)
parameters = {'n_estimators': np.random.randint(low=100, high=1000, size=5), 'max_depth':[3,5]}
rand_search = RandomizedSearchCV(estimator=x_model, param_distributions=parameters, n_jobs=-1)
rand_search.fit(df_train, tsne_train_output)
rand_search.best_estimator_

Out[154]: XGBRegressor(base_score=0.5, booster='gbtree', colsample_bylevel=1,
                      colsample_bytree=1, gamma=0, learning_rate=0.1, max_delta_step=0,
```

```
max_depth=3, min_child_weight=1, missing=None, n_estimators=241,
n_jobs=-1, nthread=None, objective='reg:linear', random_state=0,
reg_alpha=0, reg_lambda=1, scale_pos_weight=1, seed=None,
silent=True, subsample=1)
```

```
In [155]: # Training a hyper-parameter tuned Xg-Boost regressor on our train data

# find more about XGBRegressor function here http://xgboost.readthedocs.io/en/latest/python/python\_api.html?#module-xgboost.sklearn
# -----
# default paramters
# xgboost.XGBRegressor(max_depth=3, learning_rate=0.1, n_estimators=10
# 0, silent=True, objective='reg:linear',
# booster='gbtree', n_jobs=1, nthread=None, gamma=0, min_child_weight=
# 1, max_delta_step=0, subsample=1, colsample_bytree=1,
# colsample_bylevel=1, reg_alpha=0, reg_lambda=1, scale_pos_weight=1, b
# ase_score=0.5, random_state=0, seed=None,
# missing=None, **kwargs)

# some of methods of RandomForestRegressor()
# fit(X, y, sample_weight=None, eval_set=None, eval_metric=None, early_
# stopping_rounds=None, verbose=True, xgb_model=None)
# get_params([deep])    Get parameters for this estimator.
# predict(data, output_margin=False, ntree_limit=0) : Predict with dat
a. NOTE: This function is not thread safe.
# get_score(importance_type='weight') -> get the feature importance
# -----
# video link1: https://www.appliedaicourse.com/course/applied-ai-course-online/lessons/regression-using-decision-trees-2/
# video link2: https://www.appliedaicourse.com/course/applied-ai-course-online/lessons/what-are-ensembles/
# -----
```



```
x_model = xgb.XGBRegressor(base_score=0.5, booster='gbtree', colsample_
bylevel=1,
                           colsample_bytree=1, gamma=0, learning_rate=0.1, max_delta_step=0
,
                           max_depth=3, min_child_weight=1, missing=None, n_estimators=241,
n_jobs=-1, nthread=None, objective='reg:linear', random_state=0,
```

```
    reg_alpha=0, reg_lambda=1, scale_pos_weight=1, seed=None,
    silent=True, subsample=1)
x_model.fit(df_train, tsne_train_output)
```

```
Out[155]: XGBRegressor(base_score=0.5, booster='gbtree', colsample_bylevel=1,
                      colsample_bytree=1, gamma=0, learning_rate=0.1, max_delta_step=
                      0,
                      max_depth=3, min_child_weight=1, missing=None, n_estimators=241,
                      n_jobs=-1, nthread=None, objective='reg:linear', random_state=0,
                      reg_alpha=0, reg_lambda=1, scale_pos_weight=1, seed=None,
                      silent=True, subsample=1)
```

```
In [156]: #predicting with our trained Xg-Boost regressor
# the models x_model is already hyper parameter tuned
# the parameters that we got above are found using grid search

y_pred = x_model.predict(df_test)
xgb_test_predictions = [round(value) for value in y_pred]
y_pred = x_model.predict(df_train)
xgb_train_predictions = [round(value) for value in y_pred]
```

Calculating the error metric values for various models

```
In [157]: train_mape=[]
test_mape=[]

train_mape.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_train_output,df_train['ft_
1'].values))/(sum(tsne_train_output)/len(tsne_train_output)))
train_mape.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_train_output,df_train['exp_
avg'].values))/(sum(tsne_train_output)/len(tsne_train_output)))
train_mape.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_train_output,rndf_train_pre_
dictions))/(sum(tsne_train_output)/len(tsne_train_output)))
train_mape.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_train_output, xgb_train_pre_
dictions))/(sum(tsne_train_output)/len(tsne_train_output)))
train_mape.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_train_output, lr_train_pred_
ictions))/(sum(tsne_train_output)/len(tsne_train_output)))
```

```
test_mape.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_test_output, df_test['ft_1'].values))/(sum(tsne_test_output)/len(tsne_test_output)))
test_mape.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_test_output, df_test['exp_avg'].values))/(sum(tsne_test_output)/len(tsne_test_output)))
test_mape.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_test_output, rndf_test_predictions))/(sum(tsne_test_output)/len(tsne_test_output)))
test_mape.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_test_output, xgb_test_predictions))/(sum(tsne_test_output)/len(tsne_test_output)))
test_mape.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_test_output, lr_test_predictions))/(sum(tsne_test_output)/len(tsne_test_output)))
```

```
In [158]: print ("Error Metric Matrix (Tree Based Regression Methods) - MAPE")
print ("-----")
print ("Baseline Model - Train: ",train_map[e[0]]," Test: ",test_mape[0])
print ("Exponential Averages Forecasting - Train: ",train_map[e[1]]," Test: ",test_mape[1])
print ("Linear Regression - Train: ",train_map[e[3]]," Test: ",test_mape[3])
print ("Random Forest Regression - Train: ",train_map[e[2]]," Test: ",test_mape[2])
```


```
Error Metric Matrix (Tree Based Regression Methods) - MAPE
-----
-----
Baseline Model - Train:  0.1247788209194076
6      Test:  0.12137217161272074
Exponential Averages Forecasting - Train:  0.1197690426633334
4      Test:  0.11613179453264473
Linear Regression - Train:  0.11733025622953883
          Test:  0.11434005252514443
Random Forest Regression - Train:  0.0813532025252520
6      Test:  0.11300092893814342
```

Fourier features


```
In [159]: for i in range(30):
    Y = np.abs(np.fft.fft(np.array(jan_2016_smooth)[0:4460]))
    # read more about the fftfreq: https://docs.scipy.org/doc/numpy/reference/generated/numpy.fft.fftfreq.html
    freq = np.abs(np.fft.fftfreq(4460, 1))
    n = len(freq)

    plt.plot( freq[:int(n/2)], np.abs(Y)[:int(n/2)])
    plt.xlabel("Frequency")
    plt.ylabel("Amplitude")
    plt.title("Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster
Region "+str(i+1)+", for Jan 2016.")
    plt.show()
```


Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 1, for Jan 20




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 2, for Jan 20




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 3, for Jan 20




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 4, for Jan 20




DCT Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 5, for Jan 2019




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 6, for Jan 20





Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 7, for Jan 20






Spectrogram Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 8, for Jan 20






Courier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 9, for Jan 20







Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 10, for Jan 2018



Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 11, for Jan 2019




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 12, for Jan 2010




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 13, for Jan 2010






Spectrogram showing Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 14, for Jan 2014







urier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 15, for Jan 2018




urier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 16, for Jan 2018




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 17, for Jan 2010






Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 18, for Jan 2010




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 19, for Jan 2010




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 20, for Jan 2010






Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 21, for Jan 2010




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 22, for Jan 2010







Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 23, for Jan 2018




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 24, for Jan 2019




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 25, for Jan 2019







Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 26, for Jan 2010






Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 27, for Jan 2010







Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 28, for Jan 2010




Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 29, for Jan 2010





Fourier Transformed Frequency and Amplitudes of Cluster Region 30, for Jan 2010





```
In [160]: amplitude_lists = []
frequency_lists = []
for i in range(30):
    ampli = np.abs(np.fft.fft(np.array(jan_2016_smooth)[0:4060]))
    freq = np.abs(np.fft.fftfreq(4060, 1))
    ampli_indices = np.argsort(-ampli)[1:]           #it will return an array of indices for which corresponding amplitude values are sorted in reverse order.
    amplitude_values = []
    frequency_values = []
    for j in range(0, 9, 2):    #taking top five amplitudes and frequencies
        amplitude_values.append(ampli[ampli_indices[j]])
        frequency_values.append(freq[ampli_indices[j]])
    for k in range(13094):      #those top 5 frequencies and amplitudes are same for all the points in one cluster
        amplitude_lists.append(amplitude_values)
        frequency_lists.append(frequency_values)
```

```
In [161]: print("size of train data :", int(13099*0.7))
print("size of test data :", int(13099*0.3))
```

```
size of train data : 9169
size of test data : 3929
```

```
In [162]: train_fourier_frequencies = [frequency_lists[i*13099:(13099*i+9169)] for i in range(30)]
```

```
test_fourier_frequencies = [frequency_lists[(i*13099)+9169:(13099*(i+1)))] for i in range(30)]
```

```
In [163]: train_fourier_amplitudes = [amplitude_lists[i*13099:(13099*i+9169)] for i in range(30)]
test_fourier_amplitudes = [amplitude_lists[(i*13099)+9169:(13099*(i+1)))] for i in range(30)]
```

```
In [164]: # convert from lists of lists of list to lists of list
train_pickups = []
test_pickups = []
train_freq = []
test_freq = []
train_amp = []
test_amp = []
for i in range(30):
    train_pickups.extend(train_features[i])
    test_pickups.extend(test_features[i])
    train_freq.extend(train_fourier_frequencies[i])
    test_freq.extend(test_fourier_frequencies[i])
    train_amp.extend(train_fourier_amplitudes[i])
    test_amp.extend(test_fourier_amplitudes[i])
```

```
In [165]: train_prevPickups_freq_amp = np.hstack((train_pickups, train_freq, train_amp))
test_prevPickups_freq_amp = np.hstack((test_pickups, test_freq, test_amp))
```

```
In [166]: print("Number of data points in train data = {}".format(len(train_prevPickups_freq_amp)))
print("Number of data points in test data = {}".format(len(test_prevPickups_freq_amp)))
```

Number of data points in train data = 275070. Number of columns till no
w = 15

```
Number of data points in test data = 117900. Number of columns till now  
= 15
```

```
In [167]: columns = ['ft_5', 'ft_4', 'ft_3', 'ft_2', 'ft_1', 'freq1', 'freq2', 'freq3'  
, 'freq4', 'freq5', 'Amp1', 'Amp2', 'Amp3', 'Amp4', 'Amp5']  
df_train1 = pd.DataFrame(data=train_prevPickups_freq_amp, columns=columns)  
df_train1['lat'] = tsne_train_lat  
df_train1['lon'] = tsne_train_lon  
df_train1['weekday'] = tsne_train_weekday  
df_train1['exp_avg'] = tsne_train_exp_avg  
print(df_train1.shape)
```

```
(275070, 19)
```

```
In [168]: df_test1 = pd.DataFrame(data=test_prevPickups_freq_amp, columns=columns)  
df_test1['lat'] = tsne_test_lat  
df_test1['lon'] = tsne_test_lon  
df_test1['weekday'] = tsne_test_weekday  
df_test1['exp_avg'] = tsne_test_exp_avg  
print(df_test1.shape)
```

```
(117900, 19)
```

```
In [169]: df_train1.head()
```

```
Out[169]:
```

| | ft_5 | ft_4 | ft_3 | ft_2 | ft_1 | freq1 | freq2 | freq3 | freq4 | freq5 | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|
| 0 | 0.0 | 106.0 | 243.0 | 299.0 | 328.0 | 0.006897 | 0.007143 | 0.013793 | 0.007882 | 0.000985 | 211 |
| 1 | 106.0 | 243.0 | 299.0 | 328.0 | 340.0 | 0.006897 | 0.007143 | 0.013793 | 0.007882 | 0.000985 | 211 |
| 2 | 243.0 | 299.0 | 328.0 | 340.0 | 316.0 | 0.006897 | 0.007143 | 0.013793 | 0.007882 | 0.000985 | 211 |
| 3 | 299.0 | 328.0 | 340.0 | 316.0 | 327.0 | 0.006897 | 0.007143 | 0.013793 | 0.007882 | 0.000985 | 211 |
| 4 | 328.0 | 340.0 | 316.0 | 327.0 | 323.0 | 0.006897 | 0.007143 | 0.013793 | 0.007882 | 0.000985 | 211 |

```
◀ ▶
```

```
In [170]: df_test1.head()
```

Out[170]:

| | ft_5 | ft_4 | ft_3 | ft_2 | ft_1 | freq1 | freq2 | freq3 | freq4 | freq5 | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|
| 0 | 240.0 | 213.0 | 243.0 | 222.0 | 234.0 | 0.006897 | 0.007143 | 0.013793 | 0.007882 | 0.000985 | 211 |
| 1 | 213.0 | 243.0 | 222.0 | 234.0 | 291.0 | 0.006897 | 0.007143 | 0.013793 | 0.007882 | 0.000985 | 211 |
| 2 | 243.0 | 222.0 | 234.0 | 291.0 | 256.0 | 0.006897 | 0.007143 | 0.013793 | 0.007882 | 0.000985 | 211 |
| 3 | 222.0 | 234.0 | 291.0 | 256.0 | 266.0 | 0.006897 | 0.007143 | 0.013793 | 0.007882 | 0.000985 | 211 |
| 4 | 234.0 | 291.0 | 256.0 | 266.0 | 268.0 | 0.006897 | 0.007143 | 0.013793 | 0.007882 | 0.000985 | 211 |

◀ ▶

Linear Regression

```
In [171]: from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
lr_model = LinearRegression(n_jobs=-1)
parameters = {'fit_intercept':[True,False], 'normalize':[True,False],
              'copy_X':[True, False]}
grid = GridSearchCV(lr_model, parameters, cv=None)
grid.fit(df_train1, tsne_train_output)
```

```
Out[171]: GridSearchCV(cv=None, error_score='raise-deprecating',
                        estimator=LinearRegression(copy_X=True, fit_intercept=True, n_jo
bs=-1, normalize=False),
                        fit_params=None, iid='warn', n_jobs=None,
                        param_grid={'fit_intercept': [True, False], 'normalize': [True,
False], 'copy_X': [True, False]},
                        pre_dispatch='2*n_jobs', refit=True, return_train_score='warn',
                        scoring=None, verbose=0)
```

```
In [172]: grid.best_estimator_
```

```
Out[172]: LinearRegression(copy_X=True, fit_intercept=False, n_jobs=-1, normalize
```

```
=True)
```

```
In [173]: model =LinearRegression(copy_X=True, fit_intercept=False, n_jobs=-1, no  
rmlize=True)  
model.fit(df_train1, tsne_train_output)  
y_pred = model.predict(df_test1)  
lr_test_predictions1 = [round(value) for value in y_pred]  
y_pred = model.predict(df_train1)  
lr_train_predictions1 = [round(value) for value in y_pred]
```

Random forest Regressor

```
In [174]: from sklearn.model_selection import RandomizedSearchCV  
param_dist = {"n_estimators": list(range(1,201,20)),  
              "min_samples_split": [2,3,4],  
              "min_samples_leaf": [1,3,4]}  
regr1 = RandomForestRegressor(max_features='sqrt', n_jobs=-1)  
rand_regr1 = RandomizedSearchCV(regr1, param_dist)  
rand_regr1.fit(df_train1, tsne_train_output)  
rand_regr1.best_estimator_
```

```
Out[174]: RandomForestRegressor(bootstrap=True, criterion='mse', max_depth=None,  
                                 max_features='sqrt', max_leaf_nodes=None,  
                                 min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,  
                                 min_samples_leaf=4, min_samples_split=4,  
                                 min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, n_estimators=161, n_jobs=-1,  
                                 oob_score=False, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=Fa  
lse)
```

```
In [175]: rand_regr=RandomForestRegressor(bootstrap=True, criterion='mse', max_de  
pth=None,  
                                         max_features='sqrt', max_leaf_nodes=None,  
                                         min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,  
                                         min_samples_leaf=4, min_samples_split=4,  
                                         min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, n_estimators=161, n_jobs=-1,  
                                         oob_score=False, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=Fa  
lse)
```

```
rand_regr.fit(df_train1, tsne_train_output)
y_pred=rand_regr.predict(df_test1)
rndf_test_predictions1 = [round(value) for value in y_pred]
y_pred = rand_regr.predict(df_train1)
rndf_train_predictions1 = [round(value) for value in y_pred]
```

XGBOOST Regressor

In [177]:

```
from sklearn.model_selection import RandomizedSearchCV
x_model = xgb.XGBRegressor(n_jobs=-1)
parameters = {'n_estimators': np.random.randint(low=100, high=1000, size=5), 'max_depth':[3,4,5]}
rand_search = RandomizedSearchCV(estimator=x_model, param_distributions=parameters, n_jobs=-1)
rand_search.fit(df_train1, tsne_train_output)
rand_search.best_estimator_
```

Out[177]:

```
XGBRegressor(base_score=0.5, booster='gbtree', colsample_bylevel=1,
             colsample_bytree=1, gamma=0, learning_rate=0.1, max_delta_step=0,
             max_depth=3, min_child_weight=1, missing=None, n_estimators=451,
             n_jobs=-1, nthread=None, objective='reg:linear', random_state=0,
             reg_alpha=0, reg_lambda=1, scale_pos_weight=1, seed=None,
             silent=True, subsample=1)
```

In [178]:

```
x_model = xgb.XGBRegressor(base_score=0.5, booster='gbtree', colsample_bylevel=1,
                           colsample_bytree=1, gamma=0, learning_rate=0.1, max_delta_step=0,
                           max_depth=3, min_child_weight=1, missing=None, n_estimators=451,
                           n_jobs=-1, nthread=None, objective='reg:linear', random_state=0,
                           reg_alpha=0, reg_lambda=1, scale_pos_weight=1, seed=None,
                           silent=True, subsample=1)
x_model.fit(df_train1, tsne_train_output)
```

Out[178]:

```
XGBRegressor(base_score=0.5, booster='gbtree', colsample_bylevel=1,
             colsample_bytree=1, gamma=0, learning_rate=0.1, max_delta_step=
```

```
0,  
    max_depth=3, min_child_weight=1, missing=None, n_estimators=451,  
    n_jobs=-1, nthread=None, objective='reg:linear', random_state=0,  
    reg_alpha=0, reg_lambda=1, scale_pos_weight=1, seed=None,  
    silent=True, subsample=1)
```

```
In [179]: y_pred = x_model.predict(df_test1)  
xgb_test_predictions1 = [round(value) for value in y_pred]  
y_pred = x_model.predict(df_train1)  
xgb_train_predictions1 = [round(value) for value in y_pred]
```

```
In [180]: train_mape1=[]  
test_mape1=[]  
  
train_mape1.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_train_output,df_train1['ft_1'].values))/(sum(tsne_train_output)/len(tsne_train_output)))  
train_mape1.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_train_output,df_train1['exp_avg'].values))/(sum(tsne_train_output)/len(tsne_train_output)))  
train_mape1.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_train_output,rndf_train_predictions1))/(sum(tsne_train_output)/len(tsne_train_output)))  
train_mape1.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_train_output, xgb_train_predictions1))/(sum(tsne_train_output)/len(tsne_train_output)))  
train_mape1.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_train_output, lr_train_predictions1))/(sum(tsne_train_output)/len(tsne_train_output)))  
  
test_mape1.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_test_output, df_test1['ft_1'].values))/(sum(tsne_test_output)/len(tsne_test_output)))  
test_mape1.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_test_output, df_test1['exp_avg'].values))/(sum(tsne_test_output)/len(tsne_test_output)))  
test_mape1.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_test_output, rndf_test_predictions1))/(sum(tsne_test_output)/len(tsne_test_output)))  
test_mape1.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_test_output, xgb_test_predictions1))/(sum(tsne_test_output)/len(tsne_test_output)))  
test_mape1.append((mean_absolute_error(tsne_test_output, lr_test_predictions1))/(sum(tsne_test_output)/len(tsne_test_output)))
```

Without Fourier Transforms features...

```
In [181]: print ("Error Metric Matrix (Tree Based Regression Methods) - MAPE")
print ("-----")
print ("Baseline Model - Train: ",train_mape
      e[0]," Test: ",test_mape[0])
print ("Exponential Averages Forecasting - Train: ",train_mape
      e[1]," Test: ",test_mape[1])
print ("Linear Regression - Train: ",train_mape
      [4]," Test: ",test_mape[4])
print ("Random Forest Regression - Train: ",train_mape
      e[2]," Test: ",test_mape[2])
print ("XgBoost Regression - Train: ",train_mape
      e[3]," Test: ",test_mape[3])
print ("-----")
```

```
Error Metric Matrix (Tree Based Regression Methods) - MAPE
-----
-----
Baseline Model - Train: 0.1247788209194076
6      Test: 0.12137217161272074
Exponential Averages Forecasting - Train: 0.1197690426633334
4      Test: 0.11613179453264473
Linear Regression - Train: 0.11979633868998932
      Test: 0.1157482730542951
Random Forest Regression - Train: 0.0813532025252520
6      Test: 0.11300092893814342
XgBoost Regression - Train: 0.1173302562295388
3      Test: 0.11434005252514443
-----
-----
```

```
In [195]: df = pd.DataFrame(dict(graph=['Baseline Model', 'Exponential Averages F
orecasting', 'Random Forest Regression','XgBoost Regression','Linear Re
gression'],
                           n=train_mape, m=test_mape))

ind = np.arange(len(df))
```

```

width = 0.4

fig, ax = plt.subplots()
ax.barh(ind, df.n, width, label='Train MAPE')
ax.barh(ind + width, df.m, width, label='Test MAPE')
fig.set_figwidth(8)
plt.gcf().subplots_adjust(left = 0.40)
plt.title("Mean Absolute Percentage Error for models")
ax.set(yticks=ind + width, yticklabels=df.graph, ylim=[2*width - 1, len(df)])
ax.legend()

plt.show()

```



With Fourier Transforms Features

```
In [182]: print ("Error Metric Matrix (Tree Based Regression Methods) - MAPE")
print ("-----")
print ("Baseline Model - Train: ",train_map)
e1[0]," Test: ",test_mape1[0])
print ("Exponential Averages Forecasting - Train: ",train_map)
e1[1]," Test: ",test_mape1[1])
print ("Linear Regression - Train: ",train_mape)
1[4]," Test: ",test_mape1[4])
print ("Random Forest Regression - Train: ",train_map)
e1[2]," Test: ",test_mape1[2])
print ("XgBoost Regression - Train: ",train_map)
e1[3]," Test: ",test_mape1[3])
print ("-----")
```

```
Error Metric Matrix (Tree Based Regression Methods) - MAPE
-----
-----
Baseline Model - Train: 0.1247788209194076
6      Test: 0.12137217161272074
Exponential Averages Forecasting - Train: 0.1197690426633334
4      Test: 0.11613179453264473
Linear Regression - Train: 0.11976418904684814
      Test: 0.11571195654251518
Random Forest Regression - Train: 0.0859991821878865
2      Test: 0.11244337491255367
XgBoost Regression - Train: 0.1163031152069103
3      Test: 0.11393416772111979
-----
-----
```

```
In [40]: df1 = pd.DataFrame(dict(graph=['Baseline Model', 'Exponential Averages
Forecasting', 'Random Forest Regression','XgBoost Regression','Linear
Regression'],
n=train_mape1, m=test_mape1))

ind = np.arange(len(df1))
```


```

width = 0.4

fig, ax = plt.subplots()
ax.barh(ind, df1.n, width, label='Train MAPE')
ax.barh(ind + width, df1.m, width, label='Test MAPE')
fig.set_figwidth(8)
plt.gcf().subplots_adjust(left = 0.40)
plt.title("Mean Absolute Percentage Error for models of Fourier feature s")
ax.set(yticks=ind + width, yticklabels=df1.graph, ylim=[2*width - 1, len(df1)])
ax.legend()

plt.show()

```



Conclusion

1)import all the packages , which are required and load the january data of 2015 in to month... 2)data cleaning... here we will remove the data which is an outlier like a)wrong latitude and longitude values... b)Wrong trip durations c)Trip distance, d)fare e)speed f)Remove all the outlier and erroneous points 3)We will find the best number of cluster... here we select it as 30 as it has best number of clusters within the vicinity as 8/30 and best inter cluster distance as .51 4)With the help of kmeans , we will cluster the locations with the help of longitude and latitude 5)We will do Time binning with the help of unix timing and the 10 minute time bins 6)we add two more columns 'pickup_cluster'(to which cluster it belongs to) and 'pickup_bins' (to which 10min intravel the trip belongs to). 7)Then we will do smoothing and we will get from each cluster with 10 min intervals and zero pickups and we will fill them with necessary.. as below there are two ways to fill up these values Fill the missing value with 0's Fill the missing values with the avg values Case 1:(values missing at the start) Ex1: $_ _ _ x \Rightarrow \text{ceil}(x/4), \text{ceil}(x/4), \text{ceil}(x/4), \text{ceil}(x/4)$ Ex2: $_ _ x \Rightarrow \text{ceil}(x/3), \text{ceil}(x/3), \text{ceil}(x/3)$ Case 2:(values missing in middle) Ex1: $x _ _ y \Rightarrow \text{ceil}((x+y)/4), \text{ceil}((x+y)/4), \text{ceil}((x+y)/4), \text{ceil}((x+y)/4)$ Ex2: $x _ _ _ y \Rightarrow \text{ceil}((x+y)/5), \text{ceil}((x+y)/5), \text{ceil}((x+y)/5), \text{ceil}((x+y)/5)$ Case 3:(values missing at the end) Ex1: $x _ _ _ \Rightarrow \text{ceil}(x/4), \text{ceil}(x/4), \text{ceil}(x/4), \text{ceil}(x/4)$ Ex2: $x _ _ _ \Rightarrow \text{ceil}(x/2), \text{ceil}(x/2)$ 8)Then we will do all the 3 a)Moving averages b)weighted moving averages c)Exponential moving avg and find the best one as Exponential moving avg 9)For regression models we will add new features we take number of pickups that are happened in last 5 10min intravels,cluster center latitude , Cluster center longitude, day of the week 10)apply the regression models Linear regression , Xgboost, random forest and find the best one .. 11) Find the Fourier features and add the top 5 frequencies and amplitudes as features... 12)Apply all the regressors for the dataset with new features... In the above we have both the results , with fourier transforms and without forier transform features... We can see that there is only small change in the MAPE for both train and test... for linear regression, adding forier transform features doesnt help,but for random forest we can see train error is increased , but the test MAPE Value is decreasing....Adding forier features is really very important for Xgboost because as we can see that both MAPE of test and train is decreasing...

The best MAPE , we found is of Random forest regression , but as it seems to be overfitting.. we can say that xgboost is the best one with the Test MAPE as .113

Some other features which we can add for time

series data to reduce MAPE.

We can add the mean of all the previous features... We can add the minimum and maximum of the features... I saw something called as tfsearch which creates its own features for timeseries data .. instead of we creating them... but i was unable to use them as my laptop is getting stuck while using them... <https://github.com/blue-yonder/tsfresh/tree/master/tsfresh>