Assignment 1: Sample Solution

Krushnakant

December 12, 2020

Claim. For
$$a, b > 0$$
: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{an+1}{bn+2} = \frac{a}{b}$

What do we have to do?

We have to show that, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all naturals n > N, the following holds:

$$\left| \frac{an+1}{bn+2} - \frac{a}{b} \right| < \epsilon$$

In more technical language, we have to prove that

$$n \in \mathbb{N}, n > N \implies \left| \frac{an+1}{bn+2} - \frac{a}{b} \right| < \epsilon$$

(See Next Page)

Proof.

To show this, we consider an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and give an appropriate $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for it.

Consider any $\epsilon > 0$ and define $N = \left| \frac{|b - 2a|}{b^2 \epsilon} \right| + 1$

Then, for all n > N, the following holds:

$$\left| \frac{an+1}{bn+2} - \frac{a}{b} \right| = \left| \frac{b(an+1) - a(bn+2)}{b \cdot (bn+2)} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{b-2a}{b \cdot (bn+2)} \right|$$

$$< \left| \frac{b-2a}{b^2n} \right|$$

$$< \left| \frac{b-2a}{b^2N} \right|$$

$$< \epsilon$$

And thus, we have proved that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$, for which:

$$n \in \mathbb{N}, n > N \implies \left| \frac{an+1}{bn+2} - \frac{a}{b} \right| < \epsilon$$

Thus our claim is proved and we have it that-

For
$$a, b > 0$$
: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{an+1}{bn+2} = \frac{a}{b}$

(See Next Page)

Common Mistakes:

- 1. You have to prove that **for every** ϵ , there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, after which that inequality holds. You *cannot* "choose" epsilon.
- 2. Most people derived "N", i.e. basically proved

$$\left|\frac{an+1}{bn+2} - \frac{a}{b}\right| < \epsilon \implies n > \frac{|b-2a|}{b^2 \epsilon}$$

You needed to show the other direction.

(i.e.
$$n \in \mathbb{N}, n > N \implies \left| \frac{an+1}{bn+2} - \frac{a}{b} \right| < \epsilon$$
)

3. Almost everyone ignored the fact that N was supposed to be a Natural Number.

To assert its existence, you should have written,

"Choose some natural number
$$N > \frac{|b-2a|}{b^2\epsilon}$$
" OR

used the ceiling function or integer part or something like that.

Some people mentioned "Archimedian Property" or " \mathbb{N} is not bounded above" to prove existence of N, but if you used the ceiling function or integer part, this was not necessary.

4. Many people confused between n and N (or as some have used n_0). The difference is that, for a given ϵ , N is a fixed natural number for which we have to prove that inequality for all n > N. So basically, N is fixed, and n can take any value greater than N, say N+1 or N+14.