Instruction Level Parallelism

Outline

- ILP
- Compiler techniques to increase ILP
- Loop Unrolling
- Overcoming Data Hazards with Dynamic Scheduling
- Tomasulo Algorithm

Recall from Pipelining Review

- Pipeline CPI = Ideal pipeline CPI + Structural Stalls + Data Hazard Stalls + Control Stalls
  - Ideal pipeline CPI: measure of the maximum performance attainable by the implementation
  - Structural hazards: HW cannot support this combination of instructions
  - Data hazards: Instruction depends on result of prior instruction still in the pipeline
  - Control hazards: Caused by delay between the fetching of instructions and decisions about changes in control flow (branches and jumps)

Instruction Level Parallelism

- Instruction-Level Parallelism (ILP): overlap the execution of instructions to improve performance
- 2 approaches to exploit ILP:
  1) Rely on hardware to help discover and exploit the parallelism dynamically (e.g., Pentium 4, AMD Opteron, IBM Power) , and
  2) Rely on software technology to find parallelism, statically at compile-time (e.g., Itanium 2)

Instruction-Level Parallelism (ILP)

- Basic Block (BB) ILP is quite small
  - BB: a straight-line code sequence with no branches in except to the entry and no branches out except at the exit
  - average dynamic branch frequency 15% to 25%
    => 4 to 7 instructions execute between a pair of branches
  - Plus instructions in BB likely to depend on each other

- To obtain substantial performance enhancements, we must exploit ILP across multiple basic blocks

- Simplest: loop-level parallelism to exploit parallelism among iterations of a loop. E.g.,

```
for (i=1; i<=1000; i=i+1)
        x[i] = x[i] + y[i];
```

Loop-Level Parallelism

- Exploit loop-level parallelism by “unrolling loop” either by

1. dynamic via branch prediction or
2. static via loop unrolling by compiler

- Determining instruction dependence is critical to Loop Level Parallelism
- If 2 instructions are
  - parallel, they can execute simultaneously in a pipeline of arbitrary depth without causing any stalls (assuming no structural hazards)
  - dependent, they are not parallel and must be executed in order, although they may often be partially overlapped

Data Dependence and Hazards

- $Instr_J$ is data dependent (aka true dependence) on $Instr_I$:
  1. $Instr_J$ tries to read operand before $Instr_I$ writes it



  2. or $Instr_J$ is data dependent on $Instr_K$ which is dependent on $Instr_I$
- If two instructions are data dependent, they cannot execute simultaneously or be completely overlapped
- If data dependence caused a hazard in pipeline, called a Read After Write (RAW) hazard

ILP and Data Dependencies,Hazards

- HW/SW must preserve program order:
  order instructions would execute in if executed sequentially as determined by original source program
  - Dependences are a property of programs
- Presence of dependence indicates potential for a hazard, but actual hazard and length of any stall is property of the pipeline
- Importance of the data dependencies

  1) indicates the possibility of a hazard

  2) determines order in which results must be calculated

  3) sets an upper bound on how much parallelism can possibly be exploited
- HW/SW goal: exploit parallelism by preserving program order only where it affects the outcome of the program

Name Dependence #1: Anti-dependence

- Name dependence: when 2 instructions use same register or memory location, called a name, but no flow of data between the instructions associated with that name; 2 versions of name dependence
- $Instr_J$ writes operand *before* $Instr_I$ reads it



  Called an "anti-dependence" by compiler writers.
  This results from reuse of the name "r1"

- If anti-dependence caused a hazard in the pipeline, called a Write After Read (WAR) hazard

Name Dependence #2: Output dependence

- $Instr_J$ writes operand *before* $Instr_I$ writes it.



- Called an "output dependence" by compiler writers
  This also results from the reuse of name "r1"
- If anti-dependence caused a hazard in the pipeline, called a Write After Write (WAW) hazard
- Instructions involved in a name dependence can execute simultaneously if name used in instructions is changed so instructions do not conflict
  - Register renaming resolves name dependence for regs
  - Either by compiler or by HW

Control Dependencies

- Every instruction is control dependent on some set of branches, and, in general, these control dependencies must be preserved to preserve program order

```
if p1 {
  S1;
};
if p2 {
  S2;
}
```

- `S1` is control dependent on `p1`, and `S2` is control dependent on `p2` but not on `p1`.

Control Dependence Ignored

- Control dependence need not be preserved
  - willing to execute instructions that should not have been executed, thereby violating the control dependences, if can do so without affecting correctness of the program
- Instead, 2 properties critical to program correctness are
  1) exception behavior and
  2) data flow

Exception Behavior

- Preserving exception behavior
  $\Rightarrow$ any changes in instruction execution order must not change how exceptions are raised in program
  ($\Rightarrow$ no new exceptions)
- Example:

```
        DADDU       R2,R3,R4
        BEQZ        R2,L1
        LW          R1,0(R2)
L1:
```

- Problem with moving `LW` before `BEQZ`?

Data Flow

- Data flow: actual flow of data values among instructions that produce results and those that consume them
  - branches make flow dynamic, determine which instruction is supplier of data
- Example:

```
DADDU    R1,R2,R3
BEQZ     R4,L
DSUBU    R1,R5,R6
L:  …
OR       R7,R1,R8
```

- `OR` depends on `DADDU` or `DSUBU`?
  Must preserve data flow on execution

Compiler techniques to increase ILP

Software Techniques - Example

- This code, add a scalar to a vector:

```
for (i=1000; i>0; i=i-1)
    x[i] = x[i] + s;
```

- Assume following latencies for all examples
  - Ignore delayed branch in these examples

Instruction producing result	*Instruction using result*	*stalls between in cycles*
FP ALU op	**Another FP ALU op**	**3**
FP ALU op	**Store double**	**2**
Load double	**FP ALU op**	**1**
Load double	**Store double**	**0**
Integer op	**Integer op**	**0**

FP Loop: Where are the Hazards?

- **First translate into MIPS code:**
  - **To simplify, assume 8 is lowest address**

```
Loop: L.D    F0,0(R1);F0=vector element
      ADD.D  F4,F0,F2;add scalar from F2
      S.D    0(R1),F4;store result
      DADDUI R1,R1,-8;decrement pointer 8B (DW)
      BNEZ   R1,Loop ;branch R1!=zero
```

FP Loop Showing Stalls

```
1 Loop: L.D    F0,0(R1)  ;F0=vector element
2       stall
3       ADD.D  F4,F0,F2  ;add scalar in F2
4       stall
5       stall
6       S.D    0(R1),F4  ;store result
7       DADDUI R1,R1,-8  ;decrement pointer 8B (DW)
8       stall            ;assumes can't forward to branch
9       BNEZ   R1,Loop   ;branch R1!=zero
```

Instruction producing result	*Instruction using result*	*Latency in clock cycles*
FP ALU op	Another FP ALU op	3
FP ALU op	Store double	2
Load double	FP ALU op	1

- 9 clock cycles: Rewrite code to minimize stalls?

Revised FP Loop Minimizing Stalls

```
1 Loop: L.D     F0,0(R1)
2       DADDUI  R1,R1,-8
3       ADD.D   F4,F0,F2
4       stall
5       stall
6       S.D     8(R1),F4   ;altered offset
7       BNEZ    R1,Loop
```

Swap DADDUI and S.D by changing address of S.D

Instruction producing result	*Instruction using result*	*Latency in clock cycles*
FP ALU op	Another FP ALU op	3
FP ALU op	Store double	2
Load double	FP ALU op	1

7 clock cycles, but just 3 for execution (L.D, ADD.D,S.D), 4 for loop overhead;
How make faster?

Unroll Loop Four Times (straightforward way)

```
1  Loop: L.D     F0,0(R1)
3        ADD.D   F4,F0,F2
6        S.D     0(R1),F4        ;drop DSUBUI & BNEZ
7        L.D     F6,-8(R1)
9        ADD.D   F8,F6,F2
12       S.D     -8(R1),F8       ;drop DSUBUI & BNEZ
13       L.D     F10,-16(R1)
15       ADD.D   F12,F10,F2
18       S.D     -16(R1),F12     ;drop DSUBUI & BNEZ
19       L.D     F14,-24(R1)
21       ADD.D   F16,F14,F2
24       S.D     -24(R1),F16
25       DADDUI  R1,R1,#-32      ;alter to 4*8
27       BNEZ    R1,LOOP
```

1 cycle stall

2 cycles stall

Rewrite loop to minimize stalls?

27 clock cycles, or 6.75 per iteration

(Assumes R1 is multiple of 4)

Unrolled Loop Detail

- Do not usually know upper bound of loop
- Suppose it is n, and we would like to unroll the loop to make k copies of the body
- Instead of a single unrolled loop, we generate a pair of consecutive loops:
  - 1st executes (n mod k) times and has a body that is the original loop
  - 2nd is the unrolled body surrounded by an outer loop that iterates (n/k) times
- For large values of n, most of the execution time will be spent in the unrolled loop

Unrolled Loop That Minimizes Stalls

```
1 Loop:L.D    F0,0(R1)
2       L.D    F6,-8(R1)
3       L.D    F10,-16(R1)
4       L.D    F14,-24(R1)
5       ADD.D  F4,F0,F2
6       ADD.D  F8,F6,F2
7       ADD.D  F12,F10,F2
8       ADD.D  F16,F14,F2
9       S.D    0(R1),F4
10      S.D    -8(R1),F8
11      S.D    -16(R1),F12
12      DSUBUI R1,R1,#32
13      S.D    8(R1),F16 ; 8-32 = -24
14      BNEZ   R1,LOOP
```

14 clock cycles, or 3.5 per iteration

5 Loop Unrolling Decisions

- Requires understanding how one instruction depends on another and how the instructions can be changed or reordered given the dependences:

1. Determine if loop unrolling useful by finding that loop iterations were independent (except for maintenance code)
2. Use different registers to avoid unnecessary constraints forced by using same registers for different computations
3. Eliminate the extra test and branch instructions and adjust the loop termination and iteration code
4. Determine that loads and stores in unrolled loop can be interchanged by observing that loads and stores from different iterations are independent
    - Transformation requires analyzing memory addresses and finding that they do not refer to the same address
5. Schedule the code, preserving any dependences needed to yield the same result as the original code

3 Limits to Loop Unrolling

1. Decrease in amount of overhead amortized with each extra unrolling
   - Amdahl's Law
2. Growth in code size
   - For larger loops, concern it increases the instruction cache miss rate
3. Register pressure: potential shortfall in registers created by aggressive unrolling and scheduling
   - If may not be possible to allocate all live values to registers, may lose some or all of its advantage

- Loop unrolling reduces impact of branches on pipeline; another way is branch prediction

Overcoming Data Hazards with Dynamic Scheduling

Why Dynamic Scheduling…?



Dynamic scheduling reduces this stall via ILP: Instruction Level Parallelism

Goal of ILP: To get as many instructions as possible executing in parallel while respecting dependencies

Advantages of Dynamic Scheduling

- Dynamic scheduling - hardware rearranges the instruction execution to reduce stalls while maintaining data flow and exception behavior
- It handles cases when dependences unknown at compile time
  - it allows the processor to tolerate unpredictable delays such as cache misses, by executing other code while waiting for the miss to resolve
- It allows code that compiled for one pipeline to run efficiently on a different pipeline
- It simplifies the compiler
- Hardware speculation, a technique with significant performance advantages, builds on dynamic scheduling (next)

HW Schemes: Instruction Parallelism

- Key idea: Allow instructions behind stall to proceed

```
DIVD   F0,F2,F4
ADDD   F10,F0,F8
SUBD   F12,F8,F14
```

- Enables out-of-order execution and allows out-of-order completion (e.g., SUBD)
  - In a dynamically scheduled pipeline, all instructions still pass through issue stage in order (in-order issue)
- Will distinguish when an instruction *begins execution* and when it *completes execution*; between 2 times, the instruction is *in execution*
- Note: Dynamic execution creates WAR and WAW hazards and makes exceptions harder

Dynamic Scheduling

- Simple pipeline had 1 stage to check both structural and data hazards: Instruction Decode (ID), also called Instruction Issue
- Split the ID pipe stage of simple 5-stage pipeline into 2 stages:
- *Issue*—Decode instructions, check for structural hazards
- *Read operands*—Wait until no data hazards, then read operands

A Dynamic Algorithm: Tomasulo's

- For IBM 360/91 (before caches!)
  - $\Rightarrow$ Long memory latency
- Goal: High Performance without special compilers
- Small number of floating point registers (4 in 360) prevented interesting compiler scheduling of operations
  - This led Tomasulo to try to figure out how to get more effective registers — renaming in hardware!
- Why Study 1966 Computer?
- The descendants of this have flourished!
  - Alpha 21264, Pentium 4, AMD Opteron, Power 5, …

Tomasulo Algorithm

- Control & buffers distributed with Function Units (FU)
  - FU buffers called "reservation stations"; have pending operands
- Registers in instructions replaced by values or pointers to reservation stations(RS); called register renaming ;
  - Renaming avoids WAR, WAW hazards
  - More reservation stations than registers, so can do optimizations compilers can't
- Results to FU from RS, not through registers, over Common Data Bus that broadcasts results to all FUs
  - Avoids RAW hazards by executing an instruction only when its operands are available
- Load and Stores treated as FUs with RSs as well
- Integer instructions can go past branches (predict taken), allowing FP ops beyond basic block in FP queue

Tomasulo Organization



Reservation Station Components

Op: Operation to perform in the unit (e.g., + or –)

Vj, Vk: Value of Source operands

- Store buffers has V field, result to be stored

Qj, Qk: Reservation stations producing source registers (value to be written)

- Note: Qj,Qk=0 => ready
- Store buffers only have Qi for RS producing result

Busy: Indicates reservation station or FU is busy

Register result status—Indicates which functional unit will write each register, if one exists. Blank when no pending instructions that will write that register.

Three Stages of Tomasulo Algorithm

1. Issue—get instruction from FP Op Queue

   If reservation station free (no structural hazard),
   control issues instr & sends operands (renames registers).

2. Execute—operate on operands (EX)

   When both operands ready then execute;
   if not ready, watch Common Data Bus for result

3. Write result—finish execution (WB)

   Write on Common Data Bus to all awaiting units;
   mark reservation station available

- Normal data bus: data + destination ("go to" bus)
- Common data bus: data + source ("come from" bus)
  - 64 bits of data + 4 bits of Functional Unit source address
  - Write if matches expected Functional Unit (produces result)
  - Does the broadcast
- Example speed:
  3 clocks for Fl .pt. +,-; 10 for * ; 40 clks for /

Example

```
1.  L.D      F6,  34(R2)
2.  L.D      F2,  45(R3)
3.  MUL.D    F0,  F2, F4
4.  SUB.D    F8,  F2, F6
5.  DIV.D    F10, F0, F6
6.  ADD.D    F6,  F8, F2
```

Latencies

- Assume operation latencies
  - load: 2 clock cycles
  - add/sub: 2 clock cycles
  - multiply: 10 clock cycles
  - divide: 40 clock cycles

Tomasulo Example

Instruction stream

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2			
LD	F2	45+	R3			
MULTD	F0	F2	F4			
SUBD	F8	F6	F2			
DIVD	F10	F0	F6			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2			

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

3 Load/Buffers

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	No					
	Mult2	No					

FU count down

3 FP Adder R.S.
2 FP Mult R.S.

Register result status:

Clock	*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
0	*FU*								

Clock cycle counter

Tomasulo Example Cycle 1

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1		
LD	F2	45+	R3			
MULTD	F0	F2	F4			
SUBD	F8	F6	F2			
DIVD	F10	F0	F6			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2			

	Busy	*Address*
Load1	Yes	34+R2
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	No					
	Mult2	No					

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
1	*FU*				Load1					

Tomasulo Example Cycle 2

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1		
LD	F2	45+	R3	2		
MULTD	F0	F2	F4			
SUBD	F8	F6	F2			
DIVD	F10	F0	F6			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2			

	Busy	Address
Load1	Yes	34+R2
Load2	Yes	45+R3
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	No					
	Mult2	No					

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
2	*FU*		Load2		Load1					

Note: Can have multiple loads outstanding

Tomasulo Example Cycle 3

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	
LD	F2	45+	R3	2		
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2			
DIVD	F10	F0	F6			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2			

	Busy	Address
Load1	Yes	34+R2
Load2	Yes	45+R3
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	MULTD		R(F4)	Load2	
	Mult2	No					

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
3	FU	Mult1	Load2		Load1					

- **Note: registers names are removed ("renamed") in Reservation Stations; MULT issued**
- **Load1 completing; what is waiting for Load1?**

Tomasulo Example Cycle 4

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4		
DIVD	F10	F0	F6			
ADDD	F6	F8	F2			

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	Yes	45+R3
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	Yes	SUBD	M(A1)			Load2
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	MULTD		R(F4)	Load2	
	Mult2	No					

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
4	FU	Mult1	Load2		M(A1)	Add1				

- **Load2 completing; what is waiting for Load2?**

Tomasulo Example Cycle 5

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4		
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2			

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
2	Add1	Yes	SUBD	M(A1)	M(A2)		
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
10	Mult1	Yes	MULTD	M(A2)	R(F4)		
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD		M(A1)	Mult1	

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
5	*FU*	Mult1	M(A2)		M(A1)	Add1	Mult2			

- **Timer starts down for Add1, Mult1**

Tomasulo Example Cycle 6

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4		
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6		

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
1	Add1	Yes	SUBD	M(A1)	M(A2)		
	Add2	Yes	ADDD		M(A2)	Add1	
	Add3	No					
9	Mult1	Yes	MULTD	M(A2)	R(F4)		
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD		M(A1)	Mult1	

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
6	*FU*	Mult1	M(A2)		Add2	Add1	Mult2			

- **Issue ADDD here despite name dependency on F6?**

Tomasulo Example Cycle 7

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6		

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
0	Add1	Yes	SUBD	M(A1)	M(A2)		
	Add2	Yes	ADDD		M(A2)	Add1	
	Add3	No					
8	Mult1	Yes	MULTD	M(A2)	R(F4)		
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD		M(A1)	Mult1	

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
7	FU	Mult1	M(A2)		Add2	Add1	Mult2			

- **Add1 (SUBD) completing; what is waiting for it?**

Tomasulo Example Cycle 8

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6		

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
2	Add2	Yes	ADDD	(M-M)	M(A2)		
	Add3	No					
7	Mult1	Yes	MULTD	M(A2)	R(F4)		
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD		M(A1)	Mult1	

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
8	*FU*	Mult1	M(A2)		Add2	(M-M)	Mult2			

Tomasulo Example Cycle 9

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6		

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
1	Add2	Yes	ADDD	(M-M)	M(A2)		
	Add3	No					
6	Mult1	Yes	MULTD	M(A2)	R(F4)		
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD		M(A1)	Mult1	

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
9	*FU*	Mult1	M(A2)		Add2	(M-M)	Mult2			

Tomasulo Example Cycle 10

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6	10	

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
0	Add2	Yes	ADDD	(M-M)	M(A2)		
	Add3	No					
5	Mult1	Yes	MULTD	M(A2)	R(F4)		
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD		M(A1)	Mult1	

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
10	*FU*	Mult1	M(A2)		Add2	(M-M)	Mult2			

- **Add2 (ADDD) completing; what is waiting for it?**

Tomasulo Example Cycle 11

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6	10	11

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
4	Mult1	Yes	MULTD	M(A2)	R(F4)		
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD		M(A1)	Mult1	

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
11	*FU*	Mult1	M(A2)		(M-M+M	(M-M)	Mult2			

- **Write result of ADDD here?**
- **All quick instructions complete in this cycle!**

Tomasulo Example Cycle 12

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6	10	11

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
3	Mult1	Yes	MULTD	M(A2)	R(F4)		
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD		M(A1)	Mult1	

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
12	*FU*	Mult1	M(A2)		(M-M+M	(M-M)	Mult2			

Tomasulo Example Cycle 13

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6	10	11

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
2	Mult1	Yes	MULTD	M(A2)	R(F4)		
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD		M(A1)	Mult1	

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
13	*FU*	Mult1	M(A2)		(M-M+M	(M-M)	Mult2			

Tomasulo Example Cycle 14

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3		
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6	10	11

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
1	Mult1	Yes	MULTD	M(A2)	R(F4)		
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD		M(A1)	Mult1	

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
14	*FU*	Mult1	M(A2)		(M-M+M	(M-M)	Mult2			

Tomasulo Example Cycle 15

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3	15	
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6	10	11

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
0	Mult1	Yes	MULTD	M(A2)	R(F4)		
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD		M(A1)	Mult1	

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
15	*FU*	Mult1	M(A2)		(M-M+M	(M-M)	Mult2			

- **Mult1 (MULTD) completing; what is waiting for it?**

Tomasulo Example Cycle 16

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3	15	16
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6	10	11

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	No					
40	Mult2	Yes	DIVD	M*F4	M(A1)		

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
16	*FU*	M*F4	M(A2)		(M-M+M	(M-M)	Mult2			

- **Just waiting for Mult2 (DIVD) to complete**

skip a couple of cycles

Tomasulo Example Cycle 55

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3	15	16
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5		
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6	10	11

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	No					
1	Mult2	Yes	DIVD	M*F4	M(A1)		

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
55	*FU*	M*F4	M(A2)		(M-M+M	(M-M)	Mult2			

Tomasulo Example Cycle 56

Instruction status:

Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3	15	16
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5	56	
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6	10	11

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	No					
0	Mult2	Yes	DIVD	M*F4	M(A1)		

Register result status:

Clock		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
56	*FU*	M*F4	M(A2)		(M-M+M	(M-M)	Mult2			

- **Mult2 (DIVD) is completing; what is waiting for it?**

Tomasulo Example Cycle 57

Instruction status:

Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
LD	F6	34+	R2	1	3	4
LD	F2	45+	R3	2	4	5
MULTD	F0	F2	F4	3	15	16
SUBD	F8	F6	F2	4	7	8
DIVD	F10	F0	F6	5	56	57
ADDD	F6	F8	F2	6	10	11

	Busy	Address
Load1	No	
Load2	No	
Load3	No	

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	No					
	Mult2	Yes	DIVD	M*F4	M(A1)		

Register result status:

Clock		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
56	FU	M*F4	M(A2)		(M-M+M	(M-M)	Result			

- **Once again: In-order issue, out-of-order execution and out-of-order completion.**

Tomasulo Drawbacks

- Complexity
- Many associative stores (CDB) at high speed
- Performance limited by Common Data Bus
  - Each CDB must go to multiple functional units ⇒high capacitance, high wiring density
  - Number of functional units that can complete per cycle limited to one!
    - » Multiple CDBs ⇒ more FU logic for parallel assoc stores
- Non-precise interrupts!
  - We will address this later

Tomasulo Loop Example

```
Loop:LD      F0    0     R1
     MULTD   F4    F0    F2
     SD      F4    0     R1
     SUBI    R1    R1    #8
     BNEZ    R1    Loop
```

- This time assume Multiply takes 4 clocks
- Assume 1st load takes 8 clocks (L1 cache miss), 2nd load takes 1 clock (hit)
- Show 2 iterations

Loop Example

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction	j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD F0	0	R1			
1	MULTD F4	F0	F2			
1	SD F4	0	R1			
2	LD F0	0	R1			
2	MULTD F4	F0	F2			
2	SD F4	0	R1			

Iter-ation Count

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	No		
Load2	No		
Load3	No		
Store1	No		
Store2	No		
Store3	No		

Added Store Buffers

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	No					
	Mult2	No					

Code:

```
LD    F0  0     R1
MULTD F4  F0    F2
SD    F4  0     R1
SUBI  R1  R1    #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Instruction Loop

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
0	80	Fu									

Value of Register used for address, iteration control

Loop Example Cycle 1

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction	*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	

	Busy	*Addr*	*Fu*
Load1	Yes	80	
Load2	No		
Load3	No		
Store1	No		
Store2	No		
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	No					
	Mult2	No					

Code:

```
LD    F0   0    R1
MULTD F4   F0   F2
SD    F4   0    R1
SUBI  R1   R1   #8
BNEZ  R1   Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	**R1**		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
1	**80**	*Fu*	Load1								

Loop Example Cycle 2

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction	j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD F0	0	R1	1		
1	MULTD F4	F0	F2	2		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	Yes	80	
Load2	No		
Load3	No		
Store1	No		
Store2	No		
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	Vj	S1 Vk	S2 Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load1	
	Mult2	No					

Code:

```
LD    F0  0   R1
MULTD F4  F0  F2
SD    F4  0   R1
SUBI  R1  R1  #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
2	80	Fu	Load1		Mult1						

Loop Example Cycle 3

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction	*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
1	LD F0	0	R1	1		
1	MULTD F4	F0	F2	2		
1	SD F4	0	R1	3		

	Busy	*Addr*	*Fu*
Load1	Yes	80	
Load2	No		
Load3	No		
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	No		
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load1	
	Mult2	No					

Code:

```
LD    F0  0   R1
MULTD F4  F0  F2
SD    F4  0   R1
SUBI  R1  R1  #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	**R1**		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
3	**80**	*Fu*	Load1		Mult1						

- Implicit renaming sets up data flow graph

Loop Example Cycle 4

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Comp*	*Result*
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1		
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2		
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		

Exec Write

	Busy	*Addr*	*Fu*
Load1	Yes	80	
Load2	No		
Load3	No		
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	No		
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*Vj*	*S1* *Vk*	*S2* *Qj*	*RS* *Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load1	
	Mult2	No					

Code:

LD	F0	0	R1
MULTD	F4	F0	F2
SD	F4	0	R1
SUBI	R1	R1	#8
BNEZ	R1	Loop	

Register result status

Clock	**R1**		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
4	**80**	*Fu*	Load1		Mult1						

- Dispatching SUBI Instruction (not in FP queue)

Loop Example Cycle 5

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1		
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2		
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		

	Busy	*Addr*	*Fu*
Load1	Yes	80	
Load2	No		
Load3	No		
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	No		
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load1	
	Mult2	No					

Code:

```
LD    F0  0  R1
MULTD F4  F0 F2
SD    F4  0  R1
SUBI  R1  R1 #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	**R1**		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
5	**72**	*Fu*	Load1		Mult1						

- And, BNEZ instruction (not in FP queue)

Loop Example Cycle 6

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction	*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
1	LD F0	0	R1	1		
1	MULTD F4	F0	F2	2		
1	SD F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD F0	0	R1	6		

	Busy	*Addr*	*Fu*
Load1	Yes	80	
Load2	Yes	72	
Load3	No		
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	No		
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*Vj*	*S1 Vk*	*S2 Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load1	
	Mult2	No					

Code:

```
LD    F0  0  R1   <-
MULTD F4  F0 F2
SD    F4  0  R1
SUBI  R1  R1 #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	**R1**		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
6	**72**	*Fu*	Load2		Mult1						

- Notice that F0 never sees Load from location 80

Loop Example Cycle 7

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1		
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2		
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6		
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	Yes	80	
Load2	Yes	72	
Load3	No		
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	No		
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load1	
	Mult2	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load2	

Code:

```
LD    F0  0  R1
MULTD F4  F0 F2   <-
SD    F4  0  R1
SUBI  R1  R1 #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
7	72	Fu	Load2		Mult2						

- Register file completely detached from computation
- First and Second iteration completely overlapped

Loop Example Cycle 8

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1		
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2		
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6		
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7		
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	Yes	80	
Load2	Yes	72	
Load3	No		
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	Yes	72	Mult2
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	Vj	S1 Vk	S2 Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load1	
	Mult2	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load2	

Code:

```
LD    F0  0  R1
MULTD F4  F0 F2
SD    F4  0  R1   <-
SUBI  R1  R1 #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
8	72	Fu	Load2		Mult2						

Loop Example Cycle 9

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2		
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6		
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7		
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	Yes	80	
Load2	Yes	72	
Load3	No		
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	Yes	72	Mult2
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	Vj	S1 Vk	S2 Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load1	
	Mult2	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load2	

Code:

```
LD    F0  0  R1
MULTD F4  F0 F2
SD    F4  0  R1
SUBI  R1  R1 #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
9	72	Fu	Load2		Mult2						

- Load1 completing: who is waiting?
- Note: Dispatching SUBI

Loop Example Cycle 10

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	10
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2		
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6	10	
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7		
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8		

	Busy	*Addr*	*Fu*
Load1	No		
Load2	Yes	72	
Load3	No		
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	Yes	72	Mult2
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*Vj*	*Vk*	*Qj*	*Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
4	Mult1	Yes	Mult	M[80]	R(F2)		
	Mult2	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load2	

Code:

LD	F0	0	R1
MULTD	F4	F0	F2
SD	F4	0	R1
SUBI	R1	R1	#8
BNEZ	R1	Loop	

Register result status

Clock	**R1**		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
10	**64**	*Fu*	Load2		Mult2						

- Load2 completing: who is waiting?
- Note: Dispatching BNEZ

Loop Example Cycle 11

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	10
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2		
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6	10	11
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7		
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	No		
Load2	No		
Load3	Yes	64	
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	Yes	72	Mult2
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
3	Mult1	Yes	Multd	M[80]	R(F2)		
4	Mult2	Yes	Multd	M[72]	R(F2)		

Code:

```
LD    F0  0  R1
MULTD F4  F0 F2
SD    F4  0  R1
SUBI  R1  R1 #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
11	64	Fu	Load3		Mult2						

- Next load in sequence

Loop Example Cycle 12

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	10
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2		
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6	10	11
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7		
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	No		
Load2	No		
Load3	Yes	64	
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	Yes	72	Mult2
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
2	Mult1	Yes	Multd	M[80]	R(F2)		
3	Mult2	Yes	Multd	M[72]	R(F2)		

Code:

```
LD    F0  0  R1
MULTD F4  F0 F2   <-
SD    F4  0  R1
SUBI  R1  R1 #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
12	64	Fu	Load3		Mult2						

- Why not issue third multiply?

Loop Example Cycle 13

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	10
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2		
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6	10	11
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7		
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	No		
Load2	No		
Load3	Yes	64	
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	Yes	72	Mult2
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
1	Mult1	Yes	Multd	M[80]	R(F2)		
2	Mult2	Yes	Multd	M[72]	R(F2)		

Code:

```
LD    F0  0  R1
MULTD F4  F0 F2
SD    F4  0  R1
SUBI  R1  R1 #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
13	64	Fu	Load3		Mult2						

- Why not issue third store?

Loop Example Cycle 14

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	10
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2	14	
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6	10	11
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7		
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	No		
Load2	No		
Load3	Yes	64	
Store1	Yes	80	Mult1
Store2	Yes	72	Mult2
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
0	Mult1	Yes	Multd	M[80]	R(F2)		
1	Mult2	Yes	Multd	M[72]	R(F2)		

Code:

```
LD    F0  0  R1
MULTD F4  F0 F2
SD    F4  0  R1
SUBI  R1  R1 #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
14	64	Fu	Load3		Mult2						

- Mult1 completing. Who is waiting?

Loop Example Cycle 15

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	10
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2	14	15
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6	10	11
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7	15	
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	No		
Load2	No		
Load3	Yes	64	
Store1	Yes	80	[80]*R2
Store2	Yes	72	Mult2
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	No					
0	Mult2	Yes	Multd	M[72]	R(F2)		

Code:

```
LD    F0  0  R1
MULTD F4  F0 F2
SD    F4  0  R1
SUBI  R1  R1 #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
15	64	Fu	Load3		Mult2						

- Mult2 completing. Who is waiting?

Loop Example Cycle 16

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	10
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2	14	15
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6	10	11
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7	15	16
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	No		
Load2	No		
Load3	Yes	64	
Store1	Yes	80	[80]*R2
Store2	Yes	72	[72]*R2
Store3	No		

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	Vj	S1 Vk	S2 Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
4	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load3	
	Mult2	No					

Code:

```
LD    F0  0   R1
MULTD F4  F0  F2
SD    F4  0   R1
SUBI  R1  R1  #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
16	64	Fu	Load3		Mult1						

Loop Example Cycle 17

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	10
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2	14	15
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3		
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6	10	11
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7	15	16
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	No		
Load2	No		
Load3	Yes	64	
Store1	Yes	80	[80]*R2
Store2	Yes	72	[72]*R2
Store3	Yes	64	Mult1

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	S1 Vj	S2 Vk	RS Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd	R(F2)	Load3		
	Mult2	No					

Code:

```
LD    F0  0   R1
MULTD F4  F0  F2
SD    F4  0   R1   <-
SUBI  R1  R1  #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
17	64	Fu	Load3		Mult1						

Loop Example Cycle 18

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	10
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2	14	15
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3	18	
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6	10	11
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7	15	16
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8		

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	No		
Load2	No		
Load3	Yes	64	
Store1	Yes	80	[80]*R2
Store2	Yes	72	[72]*R2
Store3	Yes	64	Mult1

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	Vj	S1 Vk	S2 Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load3	
	Mult2	No					

Code:

```
LD    F0  0   R1
MULTD F4  F0  F2
SD    F4  0   R1
SUBI  R1  R1  #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
18	64	Fu	Load3		Mult1						

Loop Example Cycle 19

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		*j*	*k*	*Issue*	*Exec Comp*	*Write Result*
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	10
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2	14	15
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3	18	19
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6	10	11
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7	15	16
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8	19	

	Busy	*Addr*	*Fu*
Load1	No		
Load2	No		
Load3	Yes	64	
Store1	No		
Store2	Yes	72	[72]*R2
Store3	Yes	64	Mult1

Reservation Stations:

Time	*Name*	*Busy*	*Op*	*S1 Vj*	*S2 Vk*	*RS Qj*	*RS Qk*
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load3	
	Mult2	No					

Code:

```
LD    F0  0   R1
MULTD F4  F0  F2
SD    F4  0   R1
SUBI  R1  R1  #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	**R1**		*F0*	*F2*	*F4*	*F6*	*F8*	*F10*	*F12*	...	*F30*
19	**56**	*Fu*	Load3		Mult1						

Loop Example Cycle 20

Instruction status:

ITER	Instruction		j	k	Issue	Exec Comp	Write Result
1	LD	F0	0	R1	1	9	10
1	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	2	14	15
1	SD	F4	0	R1	3	18	19
2	LD	F0	0	R1	6	10	11
2	MULTD	F4	F0	F2	7	15	16
2	SD	F4	0	R1	8	19	20

	Busy	Addr	Fu
Load1	Yes	56	
Load2	No		
Load3	Yes	64	
Store1	No		
Store2	No		
Store3	Yes	64	Mult1

Reservation Stations:

Time	Name	Busy	Op	Vj	S1 Vk	S2 Qj	RS Qk
	Add1	No					
	Add2	No					
	Add3	No					
	Mult1	Yes	Multd		R(F2)	Load3	
	Mult2	No					

Code:

```
LD    F0  0   R1
MULTD F4  F0  F2
SD    F4  0   R1
SUBI  R1  R1  #8
BNEZ  R1  Loop
```

Register result status

Clock	R1		F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
20	56	Fu	Load1		Mult1						

- **Once again: In-order issue, out-of-order execution and out-of-order completion.**

Why can Tomasulo overlap iterations of loops?

- Register renaming
  - Multiple iterations use different physical destinations for registers (dynamic loop unrolling).
- Reservation stations
  - Also buffer old values of registers - totally avoiding the WAR stall.
- Other perspective: Tomasulo building data flow dependency graph on the fly.

Tomasulo's scheme offers 2 major advantages

(1) the distribution of the hazard detection logic

- distributed reservation stations and the CDB
- If multiple instructions waiting on single result, & each instruction has other operand, then instructions can be released simultaneously by broadcast on CDB
- If a centralized register file were used, the units would have to read their results from the registers when register buses are available.

(2) the elimination of stalls for WAW and WAR hazards

What about Precise Interrupts?

- Tomasulo had:

  In-order issue, out-of-order execution, and out-of-order completion

- Need to “fix” the out-of-order completion aspect so that we can find precise breakpoint in instruction stream.

Relationship between precise interrupts and specultation:

- Speculation is a form of guessing.
- Important for branch prediction:
  - Need to “take our best shot” at predicting branch direction.
- If we speculate and are wrong, need to back up and restart execution to point at which we predicted incorrectly:
  - This is exactly same as precise exceptions!
- Technique for both precise interrupts/exceptions and speculation: *in-order completion or commit*

Summary

- Reservations stations: *implicit register renaming* to larger set of registers + buffering source operands
  - Prevents registers as bottleneck
  - Avoids WAR, WAW hazards
  - Allows loop unrolling in HW
- Not limited to basic blocks
- Today, helps cache misses as well
  - Don’t stall for L1 Data cache miss
- Lasting Contributions
  - Dynamic scheduling
  - Register renaming
- 360/91 descendants are Pentium III; PowerPC 604; MIPS R10000; HP-PA 8000; Alpha 21264