Mbh-n paper

G. A. D. ${\rm Savorgnan^1}$ and A. W. ${\rm Graham^1}$

Centre for Astrophysics and Supercomputing, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, Victoria 3122, Australia.

gsavorgn@astro.swin.edu.au

ABSTRACT

blah blah

Subject headings: keywords

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Results

Table 1: Linear regression analysis of the $L_{\rm sph}-n_{\rm sph}$ diagram.

Subsample (size)	Regression	α	$oldsymbol{eta}$	$\langle \log n_{\rm sph} \rangle$	ϵ	Δ
	$\log[M_{ m BH}/{ m M}_{\odot}] = \alpha + \beta$	$\beta[(MAG_{\rm sph} - \langle M$	$(AG_{\mathrm{sph}}\rangle)/\mathrm{mag}$			
All (62)	$\begin{array}{l} \text{BCES}\;(Y X) \\ \text{mFITEXY}\;(Y X) \\ \text{linmix_err}\;(Y X) \end{array}$	-23.88 ± 0.15 -23.95 ± 0.13 -23.92 ± 0.15	-7.17 ± 0.80 -6.70 ± 0.45 -6.40 ± 0.57	0.51 0.51 0.51	$-0.56^{+0.15}_{-0.10}\\0.74 \pm 0.13$	1.18 0.98 1.07
	$\begin{array}{l} \text{BCES } (X Y) \\ \text{mFITEXY } (X Y) \\ \text{linmix_err } (X Y) \end{array}$	-23.88 ± 0.14 -23.94 ± 0.14 -23.94 ± 0.16	-6.70 ± 0.51 -7.50 ± 0.52 -7.51 ± 0.62	0.51 0.51 0.51	$0.59^{+0.17}_{-0.11} \\ 0.81 \pm 0.16$	1.11 1.23 1.23
	BCES Bisector mFITEXY Bisector linmix_err Bisector	-23.88 ± 0.14 -23.94 ± 0.13 -23.93 ± 0.16	-6.93 ± 0.60 -7.08 ± 0.34 -6.91 ± 0.42	0.51 0.51 0.51	_ _ _	1.14 1.16 1.14
Elliptical (30)	$\begin{array}{l} \text{BCES}\;(Y X) \\ \text{mFITEXY}\;(Y X) \\ \text{linmix_err}\;(Y X) \end{array}$	$-25.46 \pm 1.12 -25.74 \pm 0.18 -25.65 \pm 0.21$	38.47 ± 114.45 -9.74 ± 1.59 -7.87 ± 2.15	0.76 0.76 0.76	$0.24^{+0.32}_{-0.24} \\ 0.61 \pm 0.22$	6.37 0.94 1.06
	$\begin{array}{l} \text{BCES } (X Y) \\ \text{mFITEXY } (X Y) \\ \text{linmix_err } (X Y) \end{array}$	-25.46 ± 0.23 -25.74 ± 0.20 -25.72 ± 0.28	-10.73 ± 3.21 -10.42 ± 1.79 -10.92 ± 2.70	0.76 0.76 0.76	$-0.22^{+0.38}_{-0.22}\\0.73 \pm 0.34$	1.29 1.29 1.33
	BCES Bisector mFITEXY Bisector linmix_err Bisector	$-25.46 \pm 0.20 -25.74 \pm 0.19 -25.68 \pm 0.25$	0.03 ± 0.05 -10.07 ± 1.19 -9.15 ± 1.74	0.76 0.76 0.76	_ _ _	1.14 1.26 1.16
Lenticular (11)	$\begin{array}{l} \text{BCES}\;(Y X) \\ \text{mFITEXY}\;(Y X) \\ \text{linmix_err}\;(Y X) \end{array}$	-22.08 ± 1.66 -22.11 ± 0.24	33.52 ± 98.87 -6.31 ± 2.45	0.33 0.33 0.33	$0.42^{+0.28}_{-0.17}$	6.09 0.71
	$\begin{array}{l} \text{BCES } (X Y) \\ \text{mFITEXY } (X Y) \\ \text{linmix_err } (X Y) \end{array}$	-22.08 ± 0.19 -21.94 ± 0.44	-6.83 ± 1.16 -13.16 ± 7.91	0.33 0.33 0.33	$0.61^{+0.60}_{-0.56}$	0.71 1.39
	BCES Bisector mFITEXY Bisector linmix_err Bisector	-22.08 ± 0.30 -22.05 ± 0.35	0.06 ± 0.05 -8.55 ± 2.79	0.33 0.33 0.33	- - -	1.09 0.84
Spiral (17)	$\begin{array}{l} \text{BCES}\;(Y X) \\ \text{mFITEXY}\;(Y X) \\ \text{linmix_err}\;(Y X) \end{array}$	$-22.33 \pm 0.26 -22.22 \pm 0.19 -22.26 \pm 0.24$	-5.31 ± 5.83 -2.17 ± 0.98 -1.53 ± 1.88	0.18 0.18 0.18	$0.53^{+0.24}_{-0.13} \\ 0.71 \pm 0.22$	1.15 0.72 0.78
	$\begin{array}{l} \text{BCES } (X Y) \\ \text{mFITEXY } (X Y) \\ \text{linmix_err } (X Y) \end{array}$	-22.33 ± 0.26 -22.28 ± 0.44 -22.24 ± 0.71	-5.19 ± 3.77 -9.08 ± 5.31 -11.12 ± 13.59	0.18 0.51 0.18	$-1.12^{+0.54}_{-0.31}$ 1.95 ± 2.47	1.13 1.83 2.24
	BCES Bisector mFITEXY Bisector linmix_err Bisector	-22.33 ± 0.26 -22.23 ± 0.33 -22.25 ± 0.53	-5.25 ± 3.38 -3.60 ± 1.29 -2.88 ± 2.66	0.18 0.18 0.18	_ _ _	1.14 0.92 0.84

Table 2: Linear regression analysis of the $L_{\rm sph}-n_{\rm sph}$ diagram.

Subsample (size)	Regression	α	$oldsymbol{eta}$	$\langle \log n_{\rm sph} \rangle$	ϵ	Δ
Early-type (43)	BCES $(Y X)$ mFITEXY $(Y X)$ linmix_err $(Y X)$	-24.55 ± 0.22 -24.74 ± 0.14 -24.70 ± 0.17	-11.84 ± 2.29 -8.86 ± 0.66 -8.28 ± 0.87	$0.64 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.64$	$-0.27^{+0.20}_{-0.27}\\0.58 \pm 0.17$	1.50 0.87 0.98
	$\begin{array}{l} \text{BCES}\;(X Y) \\ \text{mFITEXY}\;(X Y) \\ \text{linmix_err}\;(X Y) \end{array}$	-24.55 ± 0.14 -24.74 ± 0.14 -24.73 ± 0.18	-8.25 ± 0.63 -9.13 ± 0.68 -9.08 ± 0.87	$0.64 \\ 0.64 \\ 0.64$	$-0.23^{+0.25}_{-0.23}\\0.60 \pm 0.21$	0.96 1.08 1.07
	BCES Bisector mFITEXY Bisector linmix_err Bisector	$-24.55 \pm 0.17 \\ -24.74 \pm 0.14 \\ -24.72 \pm 0.17$	-9.73 ± 1.05 -8.99 ± 0.48 -8.66 ± 0.63	$0.64 \\ 0.64 \\ 0.64$	_ _ _	1.14 1.06 1.02
Bulges (30)	$\begin{array}{l} \text{BCES}\;(Y X) \\ \text{mFITEXY}\;(Y X) \\ \text{linmix_err}\;(Y X) \end{array}$	$-22.25 \pm 0.20 \\ -22.19 \pm 0.14 \\ -22.20 \pm 0.17$	-5.88 ± 3.06 -2.99 ± 0.73 -2.48 ± 1.21	$0.26 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.26$	$- \\ 0.52^{+0.18}_{-0.10} \\ 0.67 \pm 0.15$	1.16 0.75 0.83
	$\begin{array}{l} \text{BCES } (X Y) \\ \text{mFITEXY } (X Y) \\ \text{linmix_err } (X Y) \end{array}$	$-22.25 \pm 0.20 \\ -22.17 \pm 0.25 \\ -22.16 \pm 0.31$	-5.85 ± 1.83 -7.65 ± 2.43 -7.80 ± 3.89	$0.26 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.26$	$-0.87^{+0.30}_{-0.18}\\1.18 \pm 0.65$	1.15 1.46 1.48
	BCES Bisector mFITEXY Bisector linmix_err Bisector	-22.25 ± 0.20 -22.18 ± 0.20 -22.19 ± 0.25	-5.87 ± 2.06 -4.34 ± 0.84 -3.83 ± 1.39	0.26 0.26 0.26	- - -	1.16 0.96 0.91

Note.—For each subsample, we indicate $\langle MAG_{\rm sph} \rangle$, its average value of spheroid magnitudes. In the last two columns, we report ϵ , the intrinsic scatter, and Δ , the total rms scatter in the $\log(M_{\rm BH})$ direction. Both the early- and late-type subsamples do not contain the two galaxies classified as S0/Sp and the two galaxies classified as mergers (45+17=66-2-2).