



Final Presentation Rubric

Team: 105

Consideration	Key Evaluation Points	Score
System Functionality (25 points)	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Did the team accomplish a sufficient amount of functionality?2. Did the team achieve what it set out to do?3. Is it useful for the client? Basic functionalities are there.	23
Job Quality (20 points)	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Did the team present evidence that it did a quality job?2. Did the team improve its performance over time? Quality claims supported.	18
Process and Teamwork (25 points)	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Did the team work as a team?2. Did the team use process well or was the project unorganized or poorly organized?3. Was the team able to automate the build, test, and promote processes, especially steps to enhance the backlog to dev connections?4. Did the team flow ideas, improvements, issues or changes between sprints (ideally via the backlog)?5. Did the team recognize short-comings? If so, how well did they work-around the challenges? Liked how the team drove team-mates participation (shows the team is looking out for each other)	23
Technology Transfer (10 points)	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is the system in shape to be handed over to the client?2. Did they present next steps or recommendations should the project continue in the future? Didn't show Prattle installation.	8
Presentation (20 points)	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Was the presentation clear and did it flow?2. Did everyone participate and contribute to the discussion?3. Was the allotted time managed well?4. Were the presenters clear?	18
	Total	90

The demo video was too long. It's a nice video, just too long. Liked the use of split screens. The system set-up video should have been for prattle.

The retrospective is well put.

Appreciate the suggestions to offer more variety in projects. FWIW, this is how we used to do the course. Having 26 projects running simultaneously proved too hard to manage effectively.