

**Problem 1.**

For any positive integer  $n$ , there are  $n$  possible modulo from 0 to  $n-1$ . Consider all numbers of the form  $a_i = 7...7, 777, 77, 7$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ , and consider the value  $a_i$  modulo  $n$ . Since there are  $n+1$  different  $a_i$  but only  $n$  possible modulo, there must be at least two different  $a_i$  share a same remainder, say  $a_i$  and  $a_j$ . Then we take the value:  $a_i - a_j = 0 \pmod{n}$ , notice that  $a_i - a_j$  contains only 7 and 0, so we have proved the statement.

**Problem 2.**

(1.) A pair of consecutive numbers

The set:  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$  can be transformed into the set:  $\{(2i, 2i-1) \mid \forall 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . There are  $n$  elements in the second set, and each element consists of two consecutive numbers. When we choose  $n+1$  numbers from the second set, since there are only  $n$  elements, there are at least one element we have to choose both numbers from the pair, which guarantees that we will always choose a pair of consecutive number.

(2.) A pair whose sum is  $2n+1$

The set:  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$  can be transformed into the set:  $\{(i, 2n-i+1) \mid \forall 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . There are  $n$  elements in the second set, and each element consists of a pair of numbers whose sum is  $2n+1$ .

Applying the same argument ( $n+1$  numbers from  $n$  pairs) as in (1.) and we are guaranteed to choose a pair of numbers whose sum is  $2n+1$ .

**Problem 3.**

If we color the vertices in some order  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n$ . For each  $v_k$ , let  $N_k$  be the number of neighbors precedes it in the ordered sequence, we can color  $v_k$  with the color  $C_{N_k+1}$ . Since each  $v_k$  contains at most  $\Delta(G)$  neighbors, the color used won't exceed  $C_{\Delta(G)+1}$ , which means we can color the graph with  $\Delta(G)+1$  colors.

**Problem 4.**

Note that an independent set in graph  $G$  is a clique in its complement  $\overline{G}$ .

By Turan's theorem, if  $G$  contains no  $(k+1)$ -cliques, where  $k \geq 2$ , then  $|E| \leq (1 - \frac{1}{k})\frac{n^2}{2}$ .

For this particular  $G$  with  $\frac{nk}{2}$  edges, we consider the complement  $\overline{G}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{nk}{2} &\leq (1 - \frac{1}{\omega(G)})\frac{n^2}{2} \\ \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{nk}{2} &\leq (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha(G)})\frac{n^2}{2} \\ n - (k+1) &\leq (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha(G)})n \\ \alpha(G) &\geq \frac{n}{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 5.**

Prove by contradiction: If less than  $(1 - \lambda)|Y|$  elements of  $Y$  are  $\lambda$ -large, then we have:

$$\sum_{B_i \text{ is not } \lambda\text{-large}} |B_i| > \lambda|Y|.$$

Also from the definition of  $\lambda$ -large, we have:  $\sum_{B_i \text{ is not } \lambda\text{-large}} |B_i| < \sum_{B_i \text{ is not } \lambda\text{-large}} \lambda \frac{|Y|}{|X|} |A_i|$

From this relation, we could derive:  $\sum_{B_i \text{ is not } \lambda\text{-large}} \lambda \frac{|Y|}{|X|} |A_i| < \sum_i \lambda \frac{|Y|}{|X|} |A_i| = \lambda \frac{|Y|}{|X|} |X| = \lambda|Y|$  As a result, we get two contradictory relation:  $\sum_{B_i \text{ is not } \lambda\text{-large}} |B_i| > \lambda|Y|$ , and  $\sum_{B_i \text{ is not } \lambda\text{-large}} |B_i| < \lambda|Y|$