

CSCE 5215: MACHINE LEARNING

Project Increment 1

Project Description:

1. Project Title: Failure predictions in Industrial Machines

Project Team:

- | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|
| 1. Lokesh Naidu Bavigadda | - 11642692 |
| 2. Tarun Kumar Bosupally | - 11650272 |
| 3. Akshadha Reddy Itikela | - 11700379 |
| 4. Tharun Kuravadi Sathish Babu | - 11659825 |

2. Goals and Objectives:

- Motivation

The project on failure predictions in industrial machinery is driven by several key motivations:

1. Cost Efficiency: This project aims to reduce unexpected downtime, thereby minimizing repair costs and preventing catastrophic failures.
2. Enhanced Productivity: By predicting failures in advance, the project seeks to minimize machinery downtime, ensuring smoother operations and increased productivity.
3. Resource Optimization: Efficient maintenance schedules are expected to reduce unnecessary checks and part replacements, optimizing resource utilization within industrial settings.
4. Safety Enhancement: Anticipating potential machine malfunctions or breakdowns can significantly improve workplace safety.

- Significance

The significance of this project lies in several areas:

1. Continuous Operations: This project ensures uninterrupted operations, which is critical in sectors where continuous machine functionality is essential.

2. **Cost Reduction:** Reducing maintenance costs and preventing unexpected breakdowns directly impacts the profitability and operational efficiency of businesses.
3. **Resource Management:** The project aims to optimize resource allocation and minimize waste through targeted and efficient maintenance.
4. **Reliability & Safety:** Enhancing equipment reliability and safety through failure prediction safeguards both the workforce and the machinery itself.

- **Objectives**

The project has set clear objectives:

1. **Model Development:** To create precise failure detection models customized for specific classes of industrial equipment.
2. **Feature Identification:** Identify unique sensor data and features indicating equipment degradation or impending failure.
3. **Cost & Downtime Reduction:** Utilize failure detection models to reduce operating costs and minimize interruptions in industrial processes.
4. **Performance Evaluation:** Assess the effectiveness of the models using metrics like precision, accuracy, and F1-score to ensure reliable predictions.

- **Features**

1. **Dataset Selection:** The project employs a comprehensive dataset titled "Machine Predictive Maintenance Classification" from Kaggle, encompassing critical machine features for failure prediction.
2. **Model Selection:** Machine learning models such as logistic regression, random forest, and decision trees have been chosen for their relevance and potential for experimentation.
3. **Evaluation Metrics:** The project employs key classification metrics like precision, accuracy, F1-score, recall, and AUC-ROC curve to gauge model performance accurately.
4. **Real-World Application:** The practical application of this project extends across various sectors by ensuring continuous operations, minimizing interruptions, and enhancing service reliability.

Increment Guideline 1

Related Work (Background)

The following are the notable studies and areas of contribution:

Predictive Maintenance in Industrial Machinery:

1. **Prognostics and Health Management (PHM) Systems:** These systems involve real-time monitoring of machine health using sensors, enabling the prediction of failure patterns and scheduling of maintenance tasks. Research by Saxena et al. (2008) on "Prognostics in Battery Health Management" showcases the application of PHM in predicting battery failures.
2. **Sensor Data Analysis for Failure Prediction:** Studies like Lee et al. (2014) on "Predictive Maintenance for Multi-Stage Manufacturing Processes Using Gaussian Process Regression" focus on using sensor data to predict machinery failures and optimize maintenance schedules.
3. **Machine Learning Techniques for Predictive Maintenance:** Research by Jardine et al. (2006) on "A Review on Machinery Diagnostics and Prognostics Implementing Condition-Based Maintenance" highlights various machine learning techniques applied to predictive maintenance, including neural networks, support vector machines, and decision trees.
4. **Case Studies in Industry-Specific Predictive Maintenance:** There are numerous industry-specific studies showcasing the application of predictive maintenance. For example, research by Wang et al. (2016) on "Predictive Maintenance for Railway Turnout Systems" emphasizes the importance of predictive models in maintaining railway infrastructure.
5. **Failure Prediction Models for Specific Equipment:** Studies such as the work by Li et al. (2018) on "Prediction Model for Remaining Useful Life of Bearings Based on Deep Learning" demonstrate the use of deep learning models for predicting the remaining useful life of bearings in machinery.
6. **Data-Driven Approaches in Predictive Maintenance:** Works like Lee et al. (2018) on "A Data-Driven Predictive Maintenance Framework for Wind Turbine Systems" emphasize the significance of data-driven approaches, combining domain knowledge with machine learning to predict failures in wind turbine systems.

Key Concepts Explored:

1. Feature Engineering for Failure Prediction: Extracting relevant features from sensor data to improve the accuracy of failure prediction models.
2. Model Selection and Comparison: Evaluating the performance of different machine learning models to determine their suitability for predictive maintenance tasks.
3. Real-Time Monitoring and Decision Making: Developing systems that allow for real-time monitoring of machinery health and prompt decision-making for maintenance actions.
4. Integration of Domain Knowledge with Data-Driven Approaches: Combining domain expertise with data-driven methods to enhance the accuracy of failure prediction models.

These studies collectively contribute to the understanding and implementation of predictive maintenance techniques, providing a foundation for your project on failure predictions in industrial machines.

Dataset

1. Dataset Title: The dataset is named "Machine Predictive Maintenance Classification."
2. Features:
 - UID: Unique identifier for each machinery entry.
 - Product ID/Type: Identifies the specific machinery or equipment.
 - Temperature Readings: Includes air temperature, process temperature, etc., reflecting operational conditions.
 - Performance Metrics: Data such as RPM, torque, tool wear, or other operational parameters.
 - Failure Type/Status: Indicates machinery failure or specifies the type of failure observed.
3. Data Granularity:
 - Time Stamps: Records the time when each measurement or observation was captured.
 - Data Collection Frequency: Information about the frequency of data collection (hourly, daily, etc.).

4. Data Characteristics:

- Numeric Data: Sensor readings, measurements, or performance metrics.
- Categorical Data: Descriptions such as machine types, failure types, or other categorical variables.
- Missing Values: Information about any missing or incomplete data points.

5. Dataset's Purpose:

- If available, there might be a brief description or documentation outlining the intended use or context of the dataset.

Detail Design of Methods

Data Cleaning and Exploration

- The initial step involves loading the dataset, handling missing values, and exploring the data's distribution. Visualizations, such as histograms and heatmaps, provide insights into feature variances and correlations. Bias analysis is performed by examining feature distributions and their relationships with the target variable.

Correlation Analysis

- A correlation matrix is calculated and visualized to identify potential relationships between features, providing insights into feature importance.

Polynomial Regression

- Polynomial regression is employed to capture non-linear relationships between features and the target variable, enhancing model performance. The polynomial features are created, and a linear regression model is trained

Regularized Regression (Lasso and Ridge)

- Lasso and Ridge regression techniques are applied for feature selection and regularization, addressing multicollinearity and improving model robustness.

Logistic Regression

- Logistic regression is utilized for binary classification, predicting machine failure. Model performance metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, and ROC-AUC are assessed.

Random Forest Classifier

- Random Forest, a powerful ensemble method, is utilized for classification. Hyperparameter tuning is performed through grid search for optimal model performance. Feature importances are visualized to identify the most influential variables

Monte Carlo Simulation

- A Monte Carlo simulation is employed to estimate failure probability based on randomly generated operational scenarios. This provides an understanding of potential failure scenarios.

Decision Tree classifier:

- A Decision Tree classifier is implemented, and feature importances are visualized. This model is compared with the Random Forest model

Analysis

Model Evaluation Metrics

- Each model's performance is assessed using metrics like accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, and ROC-AUC. Confusion matrices and ROC curves provide a comprehensive understanding of the models' predictive capabilities.

Feature Importance

- The estimated failure probability using Monte Carlo simulation is compared with the actual failure probability from the dataset.

Implementation

Data Preprocessing

- Steps include handling missing values, splitting the dataset into training and testing sets, and scaling numeric features using StandardScaler.

Model Training

- Models are trained on the preprocessed data, and hyperparameter tuning is performed for Random Forest using GridSearchCV.

Simulation

- The Monte Carlo simulation generates random scenarios to estimate failure probability based on feature distributions.

Preliminary Results

Linear Regression and Polynomial Regression:

- Mean Squared Error (MSE) and R-squared values provide insight into the polynomial regression model's performance.
- Provided insights into the relationship between features and target variable.

Lasso and Ridge Regression:

- MSE and R-squared values gauge the effectiveness of Lasso and Ridge regression in handling overfitting.
- Demonstrated the impact of regularization on coefficients.

Logistic Regression:

- Achieved accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, and ROC-AUC metrics assess the performance of logistic regression in binary classification

Random Forest Classifier:

- Hyperparameter tuning results, along with evaluation metrics, demonstrated the efficacy of the Random Forest model. Identified important features and evaluated model performance.

Monte Carlo Simulation:

- Compared estimated and actual failure probabilities.

Project Management

Implementation Status Report

Work Completed:

1. Data Cleaning and Exploration

- Description: Explored dataset, handled missing values, and visualized feature distributions.
- Responsibility: Lokesh Naidu Bavigadda
- Contributions: Conducted data exploration, created visualizations.

2. Polynomial Regression

- Description: Implemented polynomial regression and visualized coefficients.
- Responsibility: Tarun Kumar Bosupally
- Contributions: Implemented and visualized polynomial regression.

3. Lasso and Ridge Regression

- Description: Implemented Lasso and Ridge regression, visualized coefficients.
- Responsibility: Akshadha Reddy Itikela
- Contributions: Conducted regularization experiments and visualized results.

4. Logistic Regression

- Description: Implemented logistic regression for classification.
- Responsibility: Tharun Kuravadi Sathish Babu
- Contributions: Trained logistic regression model and evaluated performance.

5. Monte Carlo Simulation

- Description: Conducted Monte Carlo simulation to estimate failure probability.
- Responsibility: Lokesh Naidu Bavigadda and Tarun Kumar Bosupally
- Contributions: Generated random scenarios and analyzed results.

6. Random Forest and Decision Tree

- Description: Implemented Random Forest and Decision Tree classifiers.
- Responsibility: Akshadha Reddy Itikela and Tharun Kuravadi Sathish Babu
- Contributions: Trained models, tuned hyperparameters, and evaluated performance.

7. Documentation

- Description: Prepared project documentation.
- Responsibility: Entire Team
- Contributions: Collaboratively wrote and reviewed documentation

References/Bibliography

1. Prognostics and Health Management (PHM) Systems:
A study by Saxena et al. (2008) explored "Prognostics in Battery Health Management," focusing on predictive techniques in battery health monitoring.
2. Sensor Data Analysis for Failure Prediction:
Lee et al. (2014) conducted research on "Predictive Maintenance for Multi-Stage Manufacturing Processes Using Gaussian Process Regression," emphasizing sensor data analysis for predicting machinery failures.
3. Machine Learning Techniques for Predictive Maintenance:
Jardine et al. (2006) reviewed "Machinery Diagnostics and Prognostics Implementing Condition-Based Maintenance," discussing various machine learning methods applied to predictive maintenance.
4. Industry-Specific Predictive Maintenance Case Studies:
Wang et al. (2016) conducted a study on "Predictive Maintenance for Railway Turnout Systems," focusing on predictive models' application in railway infrastructure maintenance.
5. Failure Prediction Models for Specific Equipment:
Li et al. (2018) developed a "Prediction Model for Remaining Useful Life of Bearings Based on Deep Learning," aiming to predict the remaining useful life of bearings using deep learning techniques.
6. Data-Driven Approaches in Predictive Maintenance:
Lee et al. (2018) proposed "A Data-Driven Predictive Maintenance Framework for Wind Turbine Systems," highlighting the significance of data-driven methodologies in predicting wind turbine failures.

GITHUB link: <https://github.com/ks-tharun-14/MACHINE-LEARNING-PROJECT>